

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Rulemaking Regarding Whether, or Subject to
What Conditions, the Suspension of Direct Access
May Be Lifted Consistent with Assembly Bill 1X
and Decision 01-09-060.

Rulemaking 07-05-025
(Filed May 24, 2007)

**REPLY TO PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO THE
MOTION OF COMMERCIAL ENERGY OF CALIFORNIA
REQUESTING AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

Michael B. Day
Suzy Hong
GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI,
DAY & LAMPREY, LLP
505 Sansome Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: 415-392-7900
Facsimile: 415-398-4321
Email: mday@goodinmacbride.com

For Commercial Energy of California

October 22, 2010

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Rulemaking Regarding Whether, or Subject to
What Conditions, the Suspension of Direct Access
May Be Lifted Consistent with Assembly Bill 1X
and Decision 01-09-060.

Rulemaking 07-05-025
(Filed May 24, 2007)

**REPLY TO PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO THE
MOTION OF COMMERCIAL ENERGY OF CALIFORNIA
REQUESTING AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

Pursuant to Administrative Law Judge Pulsifer's email granting Commercial Energy of California's ("Commercial Energy") request to file this Reply (dated October 18, 2010) and Rule 11.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Commercial Energy hereby submits this Reply to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) Response to Commercial Energy's Motion Requesting an Order Requiring PG&E to Show Cause why it should not be deemed out of compliance with the Commission's Decision ("D") 10-03-022, regarding implementation of the reopening of Direct Access ("DA"). Commercial Energy believes that PG&E has not complied with D.10-03-022 by: (1) improperly allowing DA-eligible customers to submit 6-month notices to switch to DA between the effective date of D.10-03-022 and the effective date of the DA Open Enrollment Window ("OEW"); and (2) incorrectly calculating the amount of DA available for 2010.

In response to Commercial Energy's Motion Requesting an Order to Show Cause ("Motion"), PG&E argues that PG&E's 2010 load limit is consistent with the requirements of D.10-03-022, that the language of D.10-03-022 and PG&E's Rule 22.1 support PG&E's actions, and that the Commission's Energy Division reviewed and confirmed PG&E's compliance with the Commission's requirements. PG&E's arguments are inconsistent and unsupported by the Commission's implementation of SB 695.

More importantly, PG&E's Response provides conclusive evidence that Commercial Energy is entitled to the relief it seeks. PG&E cannot have it both ways. If, as PG&E claims, the SB 695 DA protocols adopted by the Commission became effective on April 11, 2010, the load of the DA eligible customers it permitted to file DASRs prior to April 11, 2010 cannot count toward the available DA load to be allocated during the first OEW. In that case, PG&E should have updated the DA load available for the OEW. However, if the SB 695 protocols went into effect when D.10-03-022 became effective, all DA eligible preferences were extinguished, and PG&E should not have allowed those customers to file DASRs in advance of the OEW. Whichever legal analysis of the implementation of SB 695 prevails, PG&E has misapplied the statute and its own tariffs.

I. PG&E'S CALCULATION OF ITS 2010 DA LOAD LIMIT IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH D.10-03-022 AND IS INCONSISTENT WITH ITS OWN ARGUMENTS.

In its response, PG&E argues that its calculation of its 2010 DA load limit is consistent with D.10-03-022 because it used the 2010 DA load cap provided in the Decision and calculated the cap as provided in its tariff.¹ Neither the tariff nor the load cap set forth in the Decision take into consideration PG&E's continued acceptance of 6-month notices through April 11, 2010. The Decision does, however, include language providing for publicly-available information to be updated by the utilities, including information regarding changes in usage to determine the DA load availability.² This language indicates that PG&E should have recalculated its baseline and 2010 load limit rather than simply relying on the numbers set forth in the Decision, which were based on data collected in late 2009.

¹ Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Response to Commercial Energy of California's Motion Requesting an Order to Show Cause, p. 5 (October 12, 2010).

² D.10-03-022, Appendix 2, pgs. 6-7.

Furthermore, PG&E argues that the effective date for the reopening of DA under SB 695 was April 11, 2010.³ Under PG&E’s own argument those customers who enrolled in DA prior to April 11th should not have counted toward the 2010 DA load limit because they enrolled prior to the reopening of DA. It is fundamentally inconsistent, and simply nonsensical, for PG&E to argue that customers who admittedly enrolled *prior* to the DA reopening should count toward the load limit established in D.10-03-022 for the DA reopening. Customers who enrolled prior to April 11th should have counted towards PG&E’s baseline amount of DA *or* all customers should have been prohibited from enrolling in DA except through the OEW and been counted towards the load available for 2010. D.10-03-022 carefully considered the phase-in of expanded DA and the annual percentages associated with each phase. PG&E significantly undermined this aspect of the Decision by calculating its 2010 load limit in a manner that substantially diminished the amount of load available for 2010.

II. THE LANGUAGE OF D.10-03-022 AND SB 695 DO NOT SUPPORT PG&E’S ACTIONS.

PG&E cites language in D.10-03-022 which states that “DA remains suspended, *except as provided by this decision implementing SB 695*. Existing rules and processes currently in place for DA service shall remain in place, *except for changes specified herein as necessary to implement the provisions of SB 695.*”⁴ PG&E cites this language to support its continued acceptance of 6-month notices to switch between the effective date of the Decision (March 15, 2010) and April 11, 2010 (the effective date of the OEW). This language does not justify PG&E’s actions. Rather, this language makes clear that D.10-03-022 implements the provisions of SB 695 (Public Utilities Code section 365.1), which, as discussed in detail in Commercial Energy’s Motion,

³ PG&E Response, p. 2.

⁴ D.10-03-022, p. 2 (emphasis added).

do *not* provide for separate enrollment procedures that favor so-called “existing” DA eligible customers over other customers.

III. PG&E DID NOT PROVIDE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR PARTIES TO DETERMINE THE POTENTIAL IMPACT OF ITS ACTIONS.

PG&E further argues that its Tariff Rule 22.1, which implements D. 10-03-022, explicitly permits the continued acceptance of 6-month notices through April 11, 2010 and that Commercial Energy had the opportunity to protest the Advice Letter after it was filed on April 2, 2010.⁵ Until PG&E filed its Advice Letter, on April 2, 2010, it was not clear that PG&E was continuing to accept 6-month notices and would continue to do so through April 11th.⁶ In addition, PG&E’s intent to count customers who submitted 6-month notices prior to April 11th toward the 2010 DA load limit was not evident from the Advice Letter filing. The implications of PG&E’s actions were not disclosed to Commercial Energy or any other party until the release of the Energy Division’s report on the utilities’ implementation of SB 695 (Status Report) on August 2, 2010, which revealed that PG&E’s load limit for 2010 was significantly lower than the level estimated in D.10-03-022.⁷

IV. ENERGY DIVISION’S STATUS REPORT ADDRESSES ADMINISTRATION OF THE NOTICE OF INTENT PROCESS, NOT THE SPECIFIC CONCERNS RAISED BY COMMERCIAL ENERGY IN ITS MOTION.

Finally, PG&E argues that the Energy Division’s Status Report confirmed PG&E’s compliance with D.10-03-022.⁸ The purpose of the Status Report, as stated by PG&E itself, was to determine the fairness of the utilities’ administration of the Notice of Intent (NOI) process. PG&E states that Energy Division’s conclusion that the utilities fairly administered the NOI process

⁵ PG&E Response, p. 2-3.

⁶ PG&E’s Advice Letter instructed parties wishing to protest the Advice Letter to do so by April 22, 2010. The OEW occurred on April 16, 2010.

⁷ Status Report on the Results of the Energy Division’s Review of the Utilities’ Senate Bill 695 Implementation for 2010 per D.10-03-022 (updated August 2, 2010).

⁸ PG&E Response, p. 4.

implies that its acceptance of 6-month notices through April 11th was appropriate. The Status Report acknowledges that “Grandfathered DA-Eligible customers were not prohibited from submitting six-month notices to switch to DA service prior to April 11, 2010...”⁹ However, this statement does not indicate that Energy Division considered or resolved any of the legal arguments raised by Commercial Energy in its Motion.

V. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing and Commercial Energy’s Motion, Commercial Energy respectfully requests that the Commission issue an Order requiring PG&E to Show Cause why it should not be ordered to:

- ffi disclose the number of customers allowed to enroll between the effective date of D.10-03-022 and the effective date of the OEW and the amount of GWHs associated with those customers;
- ffi disallow the 6-month notices to switch received between the effective date of D.10-03-022 and the effective date of the OEW;
- ffi recalculate the amount of DA available for 2010 by either:
 - o disallowing 6-month notices submitted between the effective date of the Decision and April 11, 2010,
 - o (ii) counting such customers towards PG&E’s baseline amount of DA, or
 - o (iii) both.
- ffi restore the DA queue consistent with the requirements of D.10-03-022 and distribute expanded DA capacity to those who would otherwise have been able to enroll during the OEW if not for PG&E’s continued acceptance of 6-month notices in violation of D.10-03-022 and the miscalculation of available DA load for 2010; and

⁹ Status Report, p.2.

ffi reconfigure the enrollment queue for 2011 in a manner consistent with the revised OEW for 2010 and the requirements of D.10-03-022.

Commercial Energy understands and acknowledges the difficulty of disallowing the 6-month notices to switch received between the effective date of D.10-03-022 and the effective date of the OEW; therefore, the most practical solution is to allow more load from the OEW period and grant accepted customers the opportunity to choose to enroll in DA by November 30, 2010. That load would then be deducted from the remaining DA balance for the last two years of the phase-in.

Respectfully submitted this 22nd day of October, 2010 at San Francisco, California.

GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI,
DAY & LAMPREY, LLP
Michael B. Day
Suzy Hong
505 Sansome Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 392-7900
Facsimile: (415) 398-4321
Email: mday@goodinmacbride.com

By /s/ Michael B. Day
Michael B. Day

Attorneys for Commercial Energy of California

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Melinda LaJaunie, certify that I have on this 22nd day of October 2010 caused a copy of the foregoing

**REPLY TO PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S
RESPONSE TO THE MOTION OF COMMERCIAL ENERGY OF
CALIFORNIA REQUESTING AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE**

to be served on all known parties to R.07-05-025 listed on the most recently updated service list available on the California Public Utilities Commission website, via email to those listed with email and via U.S. mail to those without email service. I also caused courtesy copies to be hand delivered as follows:

Commissioner President Michael R. Peevey
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 5218
San Francisco, CA 94102

ALJ. Thomas R. Pulsifer
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 5005
San Francisco, CA 94102

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 22nd day of October 2010 at San Francisco, California.

/s/ Melinda LaJaunie
Melinda LaJaunie

**Service List – R.07-05-025
(Updated October 20, 2010)**

ANDREW B. BROWN
abb@eslawfirm.com

AKBAR JAZAYERI
AdviceTariffManager@sce.com

Andrew Kotch
ako@cpuc.ca.gov

AMBER E. WYATT
amber.wyatt@sce.com

ROBERT ANDERSON
AndersonR@conedsolutions.com

ANN L. TROWBRIDGE
atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com

Amy C. Yip-Kikugawa
ayk@cpuc.ca.gov

MATTHEW BARMACK
barmackm@calpine.com

BRIAN T. CRAGG
bcragg@goodinmacbride.com

RYAN BERNARDO
bernardo@braunlegal.com

Brewster Fong
bfs@cpuc.ca.gov

ROBERT L. HINES
bhines@svlg.org

BRIAN K. CHERRY
bk7@pge.com

BLAIR JACKSON
blairj@mid.org

SCOTT BLAISING
blaising@braunlegal.com

BARBARA R. BARKOVICH
brbarkovich@earthlink.net

CATHIE ALLEN
californiadockets@pacificcorp.com

CASE ADMINISTRATION
case.admin@sce.com

CASSANDRA SWEET
cassandra.sweet@dowjones.com

CINDY L. CASSELMAN
ccasselman@pilotpowergroup.com

CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS
cem@newsdata.com

HILARY CORRIGAN
cem@newsdata.com

CARLOS PENA
CFPena@SempraUtilities.com

Charlyn A. Hook
chh@cpuc.ca.gov

CHRISTOPHER A. HILEN
chilen@nenergy.com

CHRISTOPHER J. WARNER
cjw5@pge.com

CARLOS LAMAS-BABBINI
clamasbabbini@comverge.com

Chloe Lukins
clu@cpuc.ca.gov

CAROLYN KEHREIN
cmkehrein@ems-ca.com

COLIN CUSHNIE
colin.cushnie@sce.com

CHARLES R. MIDDLEKAUFF
CRMd@pge.com

Christopher R Villarreal
crv@cpuc.ca.gov

DAVID OLIVER
david.oliver@navigantconsulting.com

David Peck
dbp@cpuc.ca.gov

Donald J. Brooks
dbr@cpuc.ca.gov

DEBORAH K. CURRIE
dcurrie@rrienergy.com

DOUGLAS DAVIE
ddavie@wellhead.com

DAVID DICKEY
ddickey@tenaska.com

DEBORAH BERGER
debeberger@cox.net

DEBRA S. GALLO
debra.gallo@swgas.com

DOUGLAS M. GRANDY, P.E.
dgrandy@caonsitegen.com

DHAVAL DAGLI
dhaval.dagli@sce.com

DAVID L. HUARD
dhuard@manatt.com

DIANE I. FELLMAN
Diane.Fellman@nrgenergy.com

DAVID ORTH
dorth@krcd.org

DANIEL DOUGLASS
douglass@energyattorney.com

DANIEL W. DOUGLASS
douglass@energyattorney.com

DONALD R. SCHOONOVER
ds1957@att.com

DAVID VIDAVER
dvidaver@energy.state.ca.us

Elizabeth Dorman
edd@cpuc.ca.gov

EVELYN KAHL
ek@a-klaw.com

ELIZABETH RASMUSSEN
erasmussen@marinenergyauthority.org

ERIC A. ARTMAN
eric.a.artman@gmail.com

EDWARD TOPPI
etoppi@ces-ltd.com

EDWIN W. DUNCAN
ewdlaw@sbcglobal.net

GURCHARAN BAWA
gbawa@cityofpasadena.net

E. GARTH BLACK
gblack@cwclaw.com

GINA M. DIXON
GDixon@SempraUtilities.com

GEORGE WAIDELICH
george.waidelich@safeway.com

GIFFORD JUNG
gifford.jung@powerex.com

GREGG MORRIS
gmorris@emf.net

GWENNETH O'HARA
gohara@calplg.com

GURDIP REHAL
grehal@water.ca.gov

HOWARD V. GOLUB
hgolub@nixonpeabody.com

HARRY KINGERSKI
HKingerski@mxenergy.com

INGER GOODMAN
igoodman@commerceenergy.com

IGNACIO IBARGUREN
iibarguren@tyrenergy.com

IRYNA KWASNY
iryna.kwasny@doj.ca.gov

JAMES SCHICHTL
james.schichtl@sce.com

**Service List – R.07-05-025
(Updated October 20, 2010)**

JANET COMBS janet.combs@sce.com	JULIE L. MARTIN julie.martin@bp.com	DONALD C. LIDDELL liddell@energyattorney.com
JEANNE B. ARMSTRONG jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com	Jake Wise jw2@cpuc.ca.gov	LISA WEINZIMER lisa_weinzimer@platts.com
JON M. CASADONT jcasadont@bluestarenergy.com	Karin M. Hieta kar@cpuc.ca.gov	LISA ZYCHERMAN lisazycherman@dwt.com
JACQUELINE DEROSA jderosa@ces-ltd.com	KAREN LINDH karen@klindh.com	LYNN MARSHALL lmarshall@energy.state.ca.us
JEANNE M. SOLE jeanne.sole@sfgov.org	KIMBERLY C. JONES Kcj5@pge.com	LYNN M. HAUG lmh@eslawfirm.com
JEFF MALONE jeff.malone@calpeak.com	Kathryn Auriemma kdw@cpuc.ca.gov	Louis M. Irwin lmi@cpuc.ca.gov
JEFFREY P. GRAY jeffgray@dwt.com	KEITH R. MCCREA keith.mccrea@sablaw.com	Carlos A. Velasquez los@cpuc.ca.gov
JENNIFER TSAO SHIGEKAWA jennifer.shigekawa@sce.com	KELLIE SMITH kellie.smith@sen.ca.gov	LEN PETTIS lpettis@calstate.edu
GERALD L. LAHR JerryL@abag.ca.gov	KEN BOHN ken@in-houseenergy.com	LON W. HOUSE, PH.D lwhouse@innercite.com
JEDEDIAH J. GIBSON jig@eslawfirm.com	KENNY SWAIN kenneth.swain@navigantconsulting.com	Lee-Whei Tan lwt@cpuc.ca.gov
JOSEPH M. KARP jkarp@winston.com	KERRY HATTEVIK kerry.hattevik@nexteraenergy.com	MARY U. AKENS makens@water.ca.gov
EMAIL ONLY jkern@bluestarenergy.com	KELLY M. FOLEY KFoley@SempraUtilities.com	MARCIE A. MILNER marcie.milner@shell.com
JOHN W. LESLIE, ESQ. jleslie@luce.com	KIM HASSAN khassan@semprautilities.com	MARTIN HOMECE martinhomece@gmail.com
JAMES MCMAHON jmcmahon@8760energy.com	Ke Hao Ouyang kho@cpuc.ca.gov	MARTIN HOMECE martinhomece@gmail.com
JAMES MCMAHON jmcmahon@8760energy.com	KEVIN J. SIMONSEN kjsimonsen@ems-ca.com	MARY LYNCH mary.lynych@constellation.com
JOHN HOLTZ john.holtz@greenmountain.com	KRISTIN JUEDES kjuedes@urmgroupp.com	MARY TUCKER mary.tucker@sanjoseca.gov
JOE DONOVAN joseph.donovan@constellation.com	KARI KLOBERDANZ KKloberdanz@SempraUtilities.com	MARY C. HOFFMAN mary@solutionsforutilities.com
JOSHUA DAVIDSON joshdavidson@dwt.com	Karl Meeusen kkm@cpuc.ca.gov	MARK BYRON mbyron@gwfpower.com
JOY A. WARREN joyw@mid.org	GREGORY S.G. KLATT klatt@energyattorney.com	MEGHAN K. COX mcox@calplg.com
JOHN PACHECO jpacheco@water.ca.gov	KAREN NORENE MILLS kmills@cfbf.com	MICHAEL B. DAY mday@goodinmacbride.com
JANINE L. SCANCARELLI jscancarelli@crowell.com	AVIS KOWALEWSKI kowalewskia@calpine.com	MICHAEL B. DAY mday@goodinmacbride.com
JIM SPENCE jspence@water.ca.gov	Karen P. Paull kpp@cpuc.ca.gov	MARC D. JOSEPH mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com
JUDY PAU judypau@dwt.com	ALEXIS WODTKE lex@consumercal.org	MICHEL PETER FLORIO mflorio@turn.org

Service List – R.07-05-025 (Updated October 20, 2010)

MICHAEL S. HINDUS michael.hindus@pillsburylaw.com	Ourania M. Vlahos omv@cpuc.ca.gov	SAEED FARROKHPAY Saeed.Farrokhpay@ferc.gov
MICHAEL MCDONALD michael.mcdonald@ieee.org	ERNEST PASTERS pasteer@sbcglobal.net	ANNIE STANGE sas@a-klaw.com
MICHAEL E. BOYD michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net	FRANK J. PERDUE perdue@montaguederose.com	C SUSIE BERLIN sberlin@mccarthylaw.com
MICHELLE R. MISHOE michelle.mishoe@pacificcorp.com	PETER W. HANSCHEN phansch@mofo.com	SARAH BESERRA sbeserra@sbcglobal.net
MICHAEL D. MONTOYA mike.montoya@sce.com	PHILIPPE AUCLAIR phil@auclairconsulting.com	SHERYL CARTER scarter@nrdc.org
MICHAEL LAMOND mike@alpinenaturalgas.com	PHILLIP MULLER philm@scdenergy.com	Steve Roscow scr@cpuc.ca.gov
RUSTY MILLS millsr@water.ca.gov	PAUL KERKORIAN pk@utilitycostmanagement.com	SETH D. HILTON sdhilton@stoel.com
MICHAEL R. JASKE mjaske@energy.state.ca.us	PATRICIA E. LOOK plook@rrienergy.com	SEAN P. BEATTY sean.beatty@mirant.com
Matthew Deal mjd@cpuc.ca.gov	RANDALL W. KEEN pucservice@manatt.com	MICHAEL ROCHMAN Service@spurr.org
MADELON A. KUCHERA mkuchera@bluestarenergy.com	PAUL HOLTON pvh1@pge.com	SHANNON MALONEY shannonmaloney@msn.com
MIKE MCCLENAHAN MMcclenahan@SempraUtilities.com	JOHN DUTCHER ralf1241a@cs.com	SOPHIA PARK SJP@cpuc.ca.gov
MICHAEL G. NELSON, ESQ. mnelson@mccarthylaw.com	RALPH E. DENNIS ralphdennis@insightbb.com	STEVE LIU sliu@bear.com
MANUEL RAMIREZ mramirez@sfgwater.org	RICHARD SMITH rasmith@sfgwater.org	STEVEN C. NELSON SNelson@SempraUtilities.com
MARK R. HUFFMAN mrh2@pge.com	CASES ADMINISTRATION TEAM RegRelCpucCases@pge.com	J. STEVE RAHON SRahon@SempraUtilities.com
MRW & ASSOCIATES, LLC mrw@mrwassoc.com	ROGER GOLDSTEIN rfg2@pge.com	STACEY RANTALA srantala@energymarketers.com
MICHAEL SHAMES mshames@ucan.org	Risa Hernandez rhh@cpuc.ca.gov	SARA STECK MYERS ssmyers@att.net
MONA TIERNEY-LLOYD mtierney-lloyd@enernoc.com	RONALD MOORE rkmoore@gswater.com	STEVEN F. GREENWALD stevegreenwald@dw.com
MICHAEL WOFFORD mwofford@water.ca.gov	ROBERT RYNEARSON rob@teamryno.com	STEVEN HUZHMAN steven.huzhman@morganstanley.com
MICHAEL A. YUFFEE myuffee@mwe.com	ROGER VAN HOY rogerv@mid.org	STEVEN KELLY steven@iepa.com
NORA SHERIFF nes@a-klaw.com	RONALD L. PERRY ron.perry@commercialenergy.net	SUE MARA sue.mara@rtoadvisors.com
NORMAN J. FURUTA norman.furuta@navy.mil	RYAN PISTOCHINI rpistoc@smud.org	STACY W. WALTER sww9@pge.com
NAT TREADWAY ntreadway@defgllc.com	REED V. SCHMIDT rschmidt@bartlewells.com	SUJATA PAGEDAR Sxpg@pge.com
S. NANCY WHANG nwhang@manatt.com	RANDY SHILLING rshilling@krco.com	STEPHEN ZAMINSKI szaminski@starwood.com

**Service List – R.07-05-025
(Updated October 20, 2010)**

TAM HUNT
tam.hunt@gmail.com

THERESA BURKE
tburke@sfrwater.org

TRENT CARLSON
tcarlson@rrienergy.com

TARYN CIARDELLA
tciardella@nvenergy.com

TOM CORR
TCorr@SempraUtilities.com

TREVOR DILLARD
tdillard@sppc.com

THOMAS R. DEL MONTE
thomas.r.del.monte@gmail.com

TIM LOCASCIO
tlocascio@libertypowercorp.com

TODD EDMISTER
todd.edmister@bingham.com

THEODORE E. ROBERTS
TRoberts@SempraUtilities.com

Thomas R. Pulsifer
trp@cpuc.ca.gov

THOMAS W. SOLOMON
tsolomon@winston.com

TOM WERTZ
twertz@tyrenergy.com

WAYNE AMER
wamer@kirkwood.com

WILLIAM H. BOOTH
wbooth@booth-law.com

DAVE SMITH
WDSmith@SempraUtilities.com

RAY CZA HAR
westgas@aol.com

BRAD WETSTONE
wetstone@alamedamp.com

WENDY KEILANI
WKeilani@SempraUtilities.com

MIKE CADE
wmc@a-klaw.com

Rebecca Tsai-Wei Lee
wtr@cpuc.ca.gov

ZACH DAVIS
zdavis@advantageiq.com

CLINT SANDIDGE
MANAGER, POLICY & REGULATION
RRI ENERGY, INC.
1000 MAIN STREET
HOUSTON, TX 77002

LES GULIASI
DIRECTOR, REGULATORY AFFAIRS
RRI ENERGY, INC
720 WILDCAT CANYON ROAD
BERKELEY, CA 94708

MALCOLM REINHARDT
ACCENT ENERGY
1299 FOURTH STREET, SUITE 302
SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901

PUC/X123295.v1