From: Cherry, Brian K

Sent: 10/1/2010 12:09:23 PM

To: 'paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov' (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: RE:

I understand. Let's chat.

---- Original Message -----

From: Clanon, Paul <paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov>

To: Cherry, Brian K

Sent: Fri Oct 01 12:08:35 2010

Subject: RE:

I understand Ed's take, but it leads inexorably to people wondering why PG&E doesn't trust the goddamn Fire Chief. They have keys to banks and skyscrapers and the PUC building, for christ's sake! I'm just talking about information now, not the ability to operate the valves themselves.

(I read the note you just sent too, while I was writing this.)

Let's talk this afternoon if there's time. Any influence you can exert internally to head off action by me would be useful. This is the kind of thing that's tailor-made for a letter from me demanding stuff, and although that would help the PUC be visibly tough with PG&E, it wouldn't assure the public that everything's going in the right direction.

How about confidentiality agreements with local responders? How about a secure online-dissemination method that lets PG&E keep the maps updated, and keeps a record of who's viewed them? Ed's faith in the security of paper over online is maybe a little outdated, don't you think?

Maybe Frank and I can come talk to Ed and his people about the concerns?

----Original Message----

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com] Sent: Friday, October 01, 2010 11:58 AM

To: Clanon, Paul

Subject:

Here's what I got internally:

We have been sharing pipeline information with municipalities who ask. We have not been including Valve and Reg/Pressure limiting station detail. While we can be compelled to provide we have concerns about how that information is used and how broadly it is shared. Those facilities cannot be safely exercised by city personnel without utility direction. Those facitiles are also security targets. The CCSF Fire Chief asked same question earlier this week - should they be trained to shut off gas from valves. We were able to steer away from that discussion on the

basis of safety and potential consequences (unintended) to the public. We'll do as directed but decision makers ought to appreciate risks inherent in sharing. Also - our plant changes over time, so depending on how muni's intend to use or publish information are we expected to keep all these records updated on some basis? I think if compelled it would be best to restrict information to first responders. I also would not want to give electronic copies of maps but rather paper copies to help contain potential security risk of wide publication. I do not believe this is a good idea. My opinion.