

From: Cherry, Brian K  
Sent: 10/11/2010 11:57:01 AM  
To: 'paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov' (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: Re: NTSB Update/Press Release

Thx.

---

**From:** Clanon, Paul <paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov>  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Sent:** Mon Oct 11 11:55:07 2010  
**Subject:** FW: NTSB Update/Press Release

Don't tell  
him I already had.

**From:** Peevey, Michael R.  
**Sent:**  
Monday, October 11, 2010 11:54 AM  
**To:** Clanon, Paul  
**Subject:**  
RE: NTSB Update/Press Release

OK. Tell Brian C what  
we know.

**From:** Clanon, Paul  
**Sent:** Mon  
10/11/2010 11:52 AM  
**To:** Peevey, Michael R.; Clark, Richard  
W.

**Subject:** RE: NTSB Update/Press Release

This is from Raffy:

I checked with Sunil who is in Virginia with NTSB investigators. According to Sunil, there may be a report coming out today. This would be more like an update (which NTSB normally issues within 30 days). This report will not address any specifics such as causes or the pipe test results. It is just a status update.

Sounds like no problem to me.

**From:** Peevey, Michael R.  
**Sent:** Monday, October 11, 2010 11:50 AM  
**To:** Clanon, Paul; Clark, Richard W.  
**Subject:** FW: NTSB Update/Press Release

Do we have any idea what NTSB may say, if this happens? Should we consider asking them to hold off for a week?

**From:** Cherry, Brian K  
[mailto:BKC7@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Mon 10/11/2010 11:41 AM  
**To:** Peevey, Michael R.; Clanon, Paul; Lindh, Frank  
**Subject:** NTSB Update/Press Release

Mike/Paul/Frank - we believe NTSB will be releasing an update of their investigation into San Bruno tomorrow and that there will be a corresponding press release. The press release could happen between 10am-noon East Coast time. If so, Chris John will want to respond to the press in person. The problem is that Chris is scheduled to represent PG&E from 9am - noon tomorrow at the Diversity En Banc. We have a couple of options we would like you to consider. The first would be to

have Chris send an alternate: Jack Keenan our COO or Des Bell our Chief Procurement Officer (between the three of us, Des would be better). The other option would be to have Chris attend, speak first and answer questions and then leave. We could have Jack or Des there to replace him and available to answer questions. In the event the NTSB does not take any action, Chris could remain for the session. Do any of these ideas work for you ?