

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 10/1/2010 11:34:55 AM
To: 'Lai, Peter' (peter.lai@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); [Redacted]
[Redacted]

Bcc:

Subject: RE: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section

Hi Peter,

Thanks for the information. Do you have a sense of the timeline for when the Phase 2 mechanism will be ready and we will be able to submit new workpapers for review? I am coordinating with internal timelines for new product releases that are contingent on workpaper approval. Thanks again for your guidance.

Regards,

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Program Engineer
Pacific Gas & Electric Company
IDSM Products / Product Lifecycle Team
Technical Product Support

[Redacted]

From: Lai, Peter [mailto:peter.lai@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2010 9:51 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]; Ramaiya, Shilpa R
Subject: RE: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section

Hi Jennifer,

Sorry for the delay. We are in the process of putting contractors together to assist ED with this task. I will let the utilities know as soon as we are ready.

Thanks for your patience.

From: Redacted
Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 11:12 AM
To: Lai, Peter
Cc: Redacted; Ramaiya, Shilpa R
Subject: RE: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section

Hello Peter,

I wanted to check in and see if you had any updates regarding when the Phase 2 workpaper submission mechanism will be available. I have 7 workpapers that need to be reviewed by the ED before the product savings can be implemented. Thanks in advance for your guidance.

Best Regards,
Redacted

From: Lai, Peter [mailto:peter.lai@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 31, 2010 10:40 AM
To: Redacted
Cc: Redacted; Ramaiya, Shilpa R
Subject: RE: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section

Hi Jennifer,

I apologize for the late response. I was out on vacation the past couple of weeks.

ED is finalizing the mechanism for Phase 2 workpaper submissions and reviews. This includes review of resubmissions from utilities as well as new workpapers. Please hold off on any request for review of workpapers for now.

Thank you.

Peter

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, August 31, 2010 8:53 AM
To: Lai, Peter
Cc: [Redacted]; Ramaiya, Shilpa R
Subject: RE: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section

Hello Peter,

To follow up on my email from a couple of weeks ago, will the ED be able to review the cost portion of the TV workpaper? I would appreciate any guidance you could provide.

Best Regards,

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2010 11:36 AM
To: 'Peter Lai'
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section

Peter,

I wanted to follow up with you to see if you had received my message below that was sent on August 13. Will the ED be able to review this portion of the revised PG&E TV workpaper?

Thank you,

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, August 13, 2010 3:27 PM
To: 'Peter Lai'
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section

Peter,

The PG&E Energy Efficient TV workpaper was revised per ED recommendations and resubmitted to basecamp in mid-June. The cost section of the workpaper was also revised independent of ED

recommendations, and this was noted when the workpaper was posted in June.

We would appreciate if this new cost section could be reviewed. The workpaper is attached, and the cost section can be found in Section 4 on page 26. Please note that this version of the workpaper contains a few corrections to the one that was submitted to base camp in mid-June (referenced above); the corrections were to fix errors in tables and the therm interactive effect calculations.

Thank you and please contact me with questions.

<< File: TV PGECOAPP104 R3_v4.doc >>

Regards,

Redacted

Redacted

Program Engineer

Pacific Gas & Electric Company

IDS Products / Product Lifecycle Team

Technical Product Support

Redacted