From:	Haramati, Mikhail
Sent:	10/11/2010 3:04:04 PM
To:	Redacted
	Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd)
Cc:	
Bcc:	

Subject: FW: LMT Meeting request -- RESPONSE REQURED by COB tomorrow 10/6

Shilpa and Vanessa, I'm having a hard time getting in touch with Nate. I was hoping to get the upcoming LMT strategies meeting scheduled last Wednesday, but agreed to hold off till I heard back from him. The purpose of the meeting is to provide guidance on the LMT pipeline and pilot plans required to be submitted by June 1 starting in 2010. Obviously this didn't happen this year so I'm trying to make sure this program is on track and in compliance as quickly as possible.

ls

there any way you could check in with him to see if he's available? I don't have a phone number for him and am concerned that if we don't schedule this soon, folks calendars will fill up.

Thanks for your help,

Mikhail

Mikhail Haramati | Regulatory Analyst | CA Public Utilities Commission | Energy Division | Tel (415) 703-1458 | <u>mkh@cpuc.ca.gov</u>

From: Haramati, Mikhail Sent: Monday, October 11, 2010 2:08 PM

To: Redacted Subject: RE: LMT Meeting request -- RESPONSE REQURED by COB tomorrow 10/6

Nate, please call me as soon as you can to discuss. We're trying to get the strategies meeting scheduled, and after talking with Jackie last week, agreed to wait till you get back but haven't yet heard from you..

Can you make a 4 hours meeting on Oct. 26? If not, please suggest alternate dates and times that work for you.

Thanks,

Mikhail

Mikhail Haramati | Regulatory Analyst | CA Public Utilities Commission | Energy Division | Tel (415) 703-1458 | <u>mkh@cpuc.ca.gov</u>

From: Redacted Sent: Tuesday, October 05, 2010 3:46 PM To: Haramati, Mikhail Cc: Vireak.Ly@sce.com; Richard.Genece@sce.com; Ramaiya, Shilpa R; LJManning@semprautilities.com; Redacted ; jenna.canseco@kema.com; karl.johnson@uc-ciee.org; mjsiminovitch@ucdavis.edu; Skierka, Kristina Subject: Re: LMT Meeting request -- RESPONSE REQURED by COB tomorrow 10/6

Let's chat Mikhail. :) I definitely

am not saying we will not do any of those items, I'm just saying that there are activities that come before those deliverables that we are currently engaged in. Those meetings are a great idea, just a little early...

I'm in Denver this week, but will call on next Monday.. Best,

Nate

From: Haramati, Mikhail <mikhail.haramati@cpuc.ca.gov> To: Redacted Cc: Vireak.Ly@sce.com <Vireak.Ly@sce.com>; Richard.Genece@sce.com <Richard.Genece@sce.com>; Ramaiya, Shilpa R; LJManning@semprautilities.com <LJManning@semprautilities.com>; Redacted Canseco, Jennifer <jenna.canseco@kema.com>; Karl Johnson <karl.johnson@uc-ciee.org>; Michael Siminovitch <mjsiminovitch@ucdavis.edu>; Skierka, Kristina <kristina.skierka@cpuc.ca.gov> Sent: Tue Oct 05 13:48:00 2010 Subject: RE: LMT Meeting request -- RESPONSE REQURED by COB tomorrow 10/6

Nate, I think it would probably be most useful to set up some time soon to chat either by phone or in person, and I apologize for not having initiated this sooner.

My interest in LMT is to ensure that implementation of the program is going forward as planned and that commission direction is being complied with.

The intention of these two meetings is to assist the utilities in development of the required pilot and pipeline plans as indicated in the decision language below (D.09-09-024, p.141).

For these reasons, we direct that the utilities submit, at a minimum, the following

information on an annual basis:

• Annual plans for lighting solutions to be implemented in each key market segment (residential, commercial, industrial, agriculture and exterior lighting). • A prioritized list of key

lighting technologies, systems and

strategies that require LMT pipeline plans.

• New or revised LMT pipeline

plans for key lighting technologies with

plans based on market data. LMT pipeline plans will identify funding, partnerships and needed

coordination with the following

Commission efforts: Workforce Education and

Training, Codes and Standards, DSM Coordination and Integration, Marketing, Education and Outreach, Research and Technology and

Local Governments.

• Status update on the design

and development of at least one LMT

pilot project for each market segment (residential, commercial, industrial, agriculture and exterior

lighting). Each pilot should be used as

a vehicle to test new technology and program delivery mechanisms. Status update should include

information on each pilot and

collaboration with other utility programs and public and private

partnerships.

The utilities shall submit the above Statewide LMT Program information in a Report by June 1 of each year (beginning in 2010).

To my

knowledge, no exception to these annual submission requirements have been made, but please correct me if this is the case. I'm available by phone till 2, and again after 3. Please call me if you'd like to discuss further.

Regards,

Mikhail

Mikhail Haramati | Regulatory Analyst | CA Public Utilities Commission | Energy Division | Tel (415) 703-1458 | <u>mkh@cpuc.ca.gov</u>

From: Redacted
Sent: Tuesday, October 05, 2010 1:03 PM
To: Haramati,
Mikhail
Cc: Vireak.Ly@sce.com; Richard.Genece@sce.com; Ramaiya, Shilpa
R; LJManning@semprautilities.com;Redacted
Subject: RE: LMT Meeting
request -- RESPONSE REQURED by COB tomorrow 10/6

Mikhail,

I'm not sure if these meetings are going to be very productive at this point, as we are not at a point in the process where developing product roadmaps/pipeline templates and choosing technologies makes sense. I understand there may be some lack of clarity as to what product roadmaps/pipelines look like since it is a new concept in the energy efficiency world, but this is a standard exercise for most tech companies and one that I am familiar with. Before we get there, however, we must first conduct a market analysis to see how different products interact and assess a realistic timeframe for a technology / product.

I would be happy to go over the analysis we have done to date, the steps we are taking to meet our goals and the timeline for all our activities. However, I would strongly encourage rescheduling the meetings proposed below so we make the most effective use of everyone's time.

Thank you for your level of engagement and I look forward to sitting down with you at some point to go over our vision for this program.

Best regards,

Nate

From: Haramati, Mikhail [mailto:mikhail.haramati@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Tuesday, October 05, 2010 11:53 AM To: DLdavis@energy.state.ca.us; tclark@finelite.com; mneils@mneilsengineering.com; Gregg.Ander@sce.com; jahmed@semprautilities.com; Cscruton@energy.state.ca.us; csamla@smud.org; jparks@smud.org; Vireak.Ly@sce.com; Redacted Cc: Canseco, Jennifer; Karl Johnson; Michael Siminovitch Subject: LMT Meeting request -- RESPONSE REQURED by COB tomorrow 10/6

Dear colleagues,

The CPUC is

taking the lead in arranging two meetings and one conference call within the next two months to focus on the plans and technology list requested of the investor-owned utilities' (IOU) 2010-2012 Lighting Market Transformation (LMT) Program. The purpose of this email is to provide context for these meetings/call and request your feedback on your availability to participate in these two meetings on the dates specified below.

Context: The overarching purpose of these meetings and conference call is to continue the collaborative process of helping the IOUs achieve their LMT Program goals within the context of the Strategic Plan's new Lighting Chapter. The CPUC will be developing draft templates/guidelines for the Pilot Project Plans and LMT Pipeline Plans that the IOUs are required to develop per the Commission Decision Approving 2010-12 Programs <u>(see attached excerpt)</u>.

The first meeting is focused on the project plan and pipeline plan templates called for

in the decision. While this is an IOU responsibility, the CPUC is setting up this meeting to help ensure the development and finalization of these templates so other aspects of the program can move forward. The second meeting is an opportunity to vett these plans and technology list with a wider group of stakeholders per the decision direction.

The goal is that between the two meetings, the IOUs will be able to populate and finalize these templates

to the best of their ability at this point in time.

The CPUC will also

convene a conference call after the first

meeting to discuss and resolve any issues encountered by the IOUs as they begin to develop their draft Plans. Please note that while the IOUs may not be able to fully populate the Plan templates at this time, these meetings provide opportunities to establish a process for developing these Plans, share feedback regarding the draft Plans, and continue ongoing dialogue regarding the LMT Program.

Please respond by COB on 10/6 to let me know your availability for the two meetings and conference call on the dates described below.

1. Strategy Meeting Purpose:

Obtain feedback on draft templates/guidelines
 for Pilot Project Plans and LMT Pipeline Plans
 Obtain agreement regarding the order in which
 LMT Pipeline Plans will be developed for the technologies/applications
 identified in the LMT Program Implementation Plan (PIP; see attached)
 Obtain agreement on the number of draft Pilot
 Project Plans and LMT Pipeline Plans to be presented by the IOUs during the
 Collaborative Workshop

Proposed Dates: Oct 21 (preferred) or Oct 26 Location: CLTC (Davis, CA)

2. Check-in Phone Call

Purpose:

Discuss IOU progress on generating draft Pilot
 Project Plans and draft LMT Pipeline Plans
 Resolve any outstanding issues in preparation
 for Collaborative Workshop

Proposed Dates: Nov 2 (preferred) or Nov 11 Location: Conference line information will be distributed in advance of the call

3. Collaborative Workshop

Purpose:

O IOUs to present and obtain feedback on draft

Pilot Project Plans and LMT Pipeline Plans

O IOUs to present and obtain feedback on 2010-2012

LMT Program activities; clarify planning activities versus implementation activities O Determine best ways to work together on ongoing LMT Program efforts

Proposed Dates: Nov 18 (preferred) or Nov 23 Location: CPUC (San Francisco, CA)

Again, please respond by COB tomorrow to let me know your availability for the two meetings and conference call on the dates described above.

Regards,

Mikhail Haramati Regulatory Analyst, Energy Efficiency California Public Utilities Commission

And Consultants to the CPUC: Jenna Canseco, KEMA Inc. Karl Johnson, CIEE Michael Siminovitch, CLTC

Mikhail Haramati Regulatory Analyst, Energy Efficiency California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Ave. San Francisco, CA 94107 Tel: (415) 703-1458 Fax: (415) 703-2200 Email: mkh@cpuc.ca.gov