From:	Redacted
Sent:	10/1/2010 11:34:55 AM
To:	'Lai, Peter' (peter.lai@cpuc.ca.gov)
Co	Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); Redacted
Cc:	Redacted
Bcc:	
Subject:	RE: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section
Hi Peter,	
Thanks fo	r the information. Do you have a sense of the timeline for when the Phase 2 mechanism wil
be ready a	and we will be able to submit new workpapers for review? I am coordinating with internal
umennes i guidance.	for new product releases that are contingent on workpaper approval. Thanks again for your
<u>Regards,</u> Redacted	
Redacted	
_	Engineer as & Electric Company
	oducts / Product Lifecycle Team
Technica	I Product Support
Redacted	
From: La	i, Peter [mailto:peter.lai@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Fric	day, October 01, 2010 9:51 AM
To: Redac	
Cc: Subject:	RE: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section
-	
Ui lannif.	
Hi Jennife	er,
Sorry for	the delay. We are in the process of putting contractors together to assist ED with
this task.	I will let the utilities know as soon as we are ready.

Thanks for your patience.

SB_GT&S_0030776

From: Redacted
Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2010 11:12 AM To: Lai, Peter
Cc: Redacted ; Ramaiya, Shilpa R
Subject: RE: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section
Hello Peter,
I wanted to check in and see if you had any updates regarding when the Phase 2 workpaper submission mechanism will be available. I have 7 workpapers that need to be reviewed by the ED before the product savings can be implemented. Thanks in advance for your guidance.
Best Regards, Redacted
From: Lai, Peter [mailto:peter.lai@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Tuesday, August 31, 2010 10:40 AM To: Redacted Cc: ; Ramaiya, Shilpa R Subject: RE: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section
Hi Jennifer,
I apologize for the late response. I was out on vacation the past couple of weeks.
ED is finalizing the mechanism for Phase 2 workpaper submissions and reviews. This includes review of resubmissions from utilities as well as new workpapers. Please hold off on any request for review of workpapers for now.
Thank you.

Peter

From: Redacted

Sent: Tuesday, August 31, 2010 8:53 AM

To: Lai, Peter

Cc: Redacted ; Ramaiya, Shilpa R

Subject: RE: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section

Hello Peter,

To follow up on my email from a couple of weeks ago, will the ED be able to review the cost portion of the TV workpaper? I would appreciate any guidance you could provide.

Best Regards, Redacted From: Redacted Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2010 11:36 AM To: 'Peter Lai' Cc: Redacted Subject: RE: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section

Peter,

I wanted to follow up with you to see if you had received my message below that was sent on August 13. Will the ED be able to review this portion of the revised PG&E TV workpaper?

Thank you,
Redacted

From: Redacted

Sent: Friday, August 13, 2010 3:27 PM

To: 'Peter Lai'

Cc: Redacted

Subject: PG&E Revised TV Workpaper - Cost Section

Peter,

The PG&E Energy Efficient TV workpaper was revised per ED recommendations and resubmitted to basecamp in mid-June. The cost section of the workpaper was also revised independent of ED

recommendations, and this was noted when the workpaper was posted in June.

We would appreciate if this new cost section could be reviewed. The workpaper is attached, and the cost section can be found in Section 4 on page 26. Please note that this version of the workpaper contains a few corrections to the one that was submitted to base camp in mid-June (referenced above); the corrections were to fix errors in tables and the therm interactive effect calculations.

Thank you and please contact me with questions.

<< File: TV PGECOAPP104 R3_v4.doc >>
Regards, Redacted
Redacted
Program Engineer
Pacific Gas & Electric Company
IDSM Products / Product Lifecycle Team
Technical Product Support
Redacted