

From: Roscow, Steve  
Sent: 10/21/2010 12:05:45 PM  
To: Lee, Diana (diana.lee@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]  
[Redacted] Barbara George  
(wem@igc.org); Cynthia Mitchell (cynthiakmitchell@gmail.com)  
Ouyang, Ke Hao (kehao.ouyang@cpuc.ca.gov); Velasquez, Carlos A.  
(carlos.velasquez@cpuc.ca.gov); Mahoney, Kim (kmb@cpuc.ca.gov); Premo, Anne  
W. (anne.premo@cpuc.ca.gov); Ramaiya, Shilpa R  
Cc: (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); ABesa@SempraUtilities.com  
(ABesa@SempraUtilities.com); Klotz, Michael (Law)  
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=M1Ke);  
JYamagata@SempraUtilities.com (JYamagata@SempraUtilities.com);  
SDPatrick@SempraUtilities.com (SDPatrick@SempraUtilities.com); [Redacted]  
[Redacted] Buller, Susan  
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SMB4);  
FSpasaro@semprautilities.com (FSpasaro@semprautilities.com); mang@turn.org  
(mang@turn.org); larry.cope@sce.com (larry.cope@sce.com);  
don.arambula@sce.com (don.arambula@sce.com); ann.kelly@sfgov.org  
(ann.kelly@sfgov.org); lettenson@nrdc.org (lettenson@nrdc.org); [Redacted]  
[Redacted] Pitcock, Maril  
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MxWL); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
erasmussen@marinenergyauthority.org (erasmussen@marinenergyauthority.org);  
mcampbell@sfgwater.org (mcampbell@sfgwater.org); Sheila.Lee@sce.com  
(Sheila.Lee@sce.com); cal.broomhead@sfgov.org (cal.broomhead@sfgov.org);  
nancy.jenkins@sce.com (nancy.jenkins@sce.com); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
Gregory.haney@sce.com (Gregory.haney@sce.com); [Redacted]  
[Redacted] mokeefe@efficiencycouncil.org  
(mokeefe@efficiencycouncil.org); [Redacted]  
[Redacted] craigtyler@comcast.net  
(craigtyler@comcast.net); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
[Redacted] blaising@braunlegal.com  
(blaising@braunlegal.com); [Redacted]  
[Redacted] vien@greenforall.org  
(vien@greenforall.org); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]; megan@themmob.org (megan@themmob.org);  
ctufenkjian@krcd.org (ctufenkjian@krcd.org); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Pls add Lynch dissent excerpt to statutes/decisions language (appendix)

I think it would speak better of the group if you all can complete this yourselves. As others have pointed out, you will all have the opportunity to make your case via comments, so there is no need to do that via

edits to this report.

Steve Roscow

CPUC Energy Division

415-703-1189

**From:** Lee, Diana

**Sent:** Thursday, October 21, 2010 11:28 AM

**To:** [Redacted]; 'Barbara George'; [Redacted] Roscow, Steve

**Cc:** Premo, Anne W.; Ouyang, Ke Hao; Mahoney, Kim; Velasquez, Carlos A.;

ABesa@semprautilities.com; FSpasaro@semprautilities.com; jyamagata@semprautilities.com;

sdpatrik@semprautilities.com; 'Klotz, Michael (Law)'; 'Ramaiva, Shilpa R'; 'Buller, Susan'; [Redacted]

[Redacted] 'Pitcock, Maril'; [Redacted] Sheila.lee@sce.com;

Gregory.haney@sce.com; larry.cope@sce.com; Don.arambula@sce.com; Nancy.Jenkins@sce.com;

mcampbell@sfwater.org; Cal.broomhead@sfgov.org; Ann.kelly@sfaov.org; lettenson@nrdc.org;

mang@turn.org; erasmussen@marinenergyauthority.org; [Redacted]

ctufenkjian@krcd.org; mokeefe@efficiencycouncil.org; vien@greenforall.org; meagan@themmob.org;

[Redacted] blaising@braunlegal.com; [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

**Subject:** RE: Pls add Lynch dissent excerpt to statutes/decisions language (appendix)

Hi, [Redacted] suggested approach seems like a productive recommendation. Diana

**From:** [Redacted]

**Sent:** Thursday, October 21, 2010 11:25 AM

**To:** 'Barbara George'; [Redacted] Roscow, Steve

**Cc:** Premo, Anne W.; Lee, Diana; Ouyang, Ke Hao; Mahoney, Kim; Velasquez, Carlos A.;

ABesa@semprautilities.com; FSpasaro@semprautilities.com; jyamagata@semprautilities.com;

sdpatrik@semprautilities.com; 'Klotz, Michael (Law)'; 'Ramaiva, Shilpa R'; 'Buller, Susan'; [Redacted]

[Redacted] 'Pitcock, Maril'; [Redacted] Sheila.lee@sce.com;

Gregory.haney@sce.com; larry.cope@sce.com; Don.arambula@sce.com; Nancy.Jenkins@sce.com;

mcampbell@sfwater.org; Cal.broomhead@sfgov.org; Ann.kelly@sfaov.org; lettenson@nrdc.org;

mang@turn.org; erasmussen@marinenergyauthority.org; [Redacted]

ctufenkjian@krcd.org; mokeefe@efficiencycouncil.org; vien@greenforall.org; meagan@themmob.org;

[Redacted] blaising@braunlegal.com; [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

**Subject:** RE: Pls add Lynch dissent excerpt to statutes/decisions language (appendix)

Hello Redacted and All Parties,

Apologies for TURN being out of the loop on this the past week or so! The process of developing a workshop report appears to have gotten severely muddled from what TURN understood would be the process at the Sept. 27<sup>th</sup> workshop. Per the Sept workshop, it was evident that the workshop report would not be “consensus”. This continues to be the case even with the ALJ’s extension in report filing from Oct 15<sup>th</sup> to Oct 22<sup>nd</sup>.

TURN proposes that the CCA and utilities should each prepare their own positions and submit them directly to Steve Roscow; and that Steve, not the utilities, should prepare a short position-neutral introduction and file the document by COB tomorrow October 22<sup>nd</sup>. This can be extremely short; just summarizing the process to date.

Any discussion of statutory and regulatory language, including legal interpretation, should be in the parties’ respective report section. In other words, the current utility draft introduction should be folded into the utilities report section.

Also, there is no need for the utilities or CCAs to edit each other’s report sections. The parties have that opportunity per the comment and reply comment schedule November 15 and 29<sup>th</sup>.

Cynthia Mitchell

TURN Consultant

**From:** Barbara George [mailto:wem@igc.org]

**Sent:** Thursday, October 21, 2010 9:41 AM

**To:** Redacted

**Cc:** Scr@cpuc.ca.gov; awp@cpuc.ca.gov; dil@cpuc.ca.gov; kho@cpuc.ca.gov; kmb@cpuc.ca.gov; los@cpuc.ca.gov; ABesa@semprautilities.com; FSpasaro@semprautilities.com; jyamagata@semprautilities.com; sdpatrick@semprautilities.com; Klotz, Michael (Law); Ramaiya, Shilpa R; Buller, Susan; Redacted Pitcock, Maril; Redacted Sheila.lee@sce.com; Gregory.haney@sce.com; larry.cope@sce.com; Don.arambula@sce.com; Nancy.Jenkins@sce.com; mcampbell@sfgov.org; Cal.broomhead@sfgov.org; Ann.kelly@sfgov.org; lettenson@nrdc.org; mang@turn.org Redacted erasmussen@marinenergyauthority.org; Redacted ctufenkjian@krcd.org; mokeefe@efficiencycouncil.org; vien@greenforall.org; megan@themmob.org; Redacted blaising@braunlegal.com; Redacted Redacted

**Subject:** Pls add Lynch dissent excerpt to statutes/decisions language (appendix)

Hi Redact

Please include the attached as part of the Appendix - Lynch dissent to D0401032. I cut about a page, but the rest is all relevant.

Thanks,

Barbara

\* \* \* \* \*

Barbara George

(c) 510-915-6215 (O) 415-457-1737

wem@igc.org

www.womensenergymatters.org

Hi Redacte

Thanks for the extension to 10 am Thurs.

Will the IOUs be able to respond to WEM's data request today? It is essential to clarify what portion of the funds IOUs propose to retain.

Barbara

Barbara and all,

I need time enough time to incorporate comments into the final draft, and then circulate the final report for parties to review and sign off by Friday morning. If you need more time for provide comments, I can extend until tomorrow morning at 10.

Please remember that substantive comments to particular options need to be reviewed with the sponsoring leads prior to them being incorporated into the report. (Beth Rasmussen is backing up Mike Campbell on the CCA proposal).

Also, for clarification parties can be a Joint Party to the report without signing on to a specific option.

Redacted

**From:** Barbara George [mailto:wem@igc.org]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 20, 2010 2:18 PM  
**To:** Redacted; Scr@cpuc.ca.gov; awp@cpuc.ca.gov; dil@cpuc.ca.gov; kho@cpuc.ca.gov; kmb@cpuc.ca.gov; los@cpuc.ca.gov; ABesa@semprautilities.com; FSpasaro@semprautilities.com; jyamagata@semprautilities.com; sdpatrick@semprautilities.com; Klotz, Michael (Law); Ramaiya, Shilpa R; Buller, Susan; Redacted; Pitcock, Maril; Redacted; Sheila.lee@sce.com; Gregory.haney@sce.com; larry.cope@sce.com; Don.arambula@sce.com; Nancy.Jenkins@sce.com; mcampbell@sfgwater.org; Cal.broomhead@sfgov.org; Ann.kelly@sfgov.org; lettenson@nrdc.org; mang@turn.org; Redacted; erasmussen@marinenergyauthority.org; Redacted; wem@igc.org; ctufenkjian@krcd.org; mokeefe@efficiencycouncil.org; vien@greenforall.org; meghan@themmob.org; Redacted; blajsinn@braunleal.com; Redacted  
**Cc:** Redacted  
**Subject:** extend deadline for input on report to Thurs. 3pm

Redacted and others,

I see no reason why there is a 3 pm deadline today for people to finalize their input and sign on to this report. That is not enough time to work on all the sections. Let's make it 3pm Thurs. instead.

Barbara

\* \* \* \* \*

Barbara George

(c) 510-915-6215 (O) 415-457-1737  
wem@igc.org

www.womensenergymatters.org

CCA/EE Workshop Participants:

Thank you for your participation on the conference call today. As we discussed, and for those who were not able to join the call, here is a recap of the schedule for finalizing/signing off on the joint report. Edits should be made to the documents attached below, with revision marks showing to avoid version control problems.

The following comments are due to the leads on Wed (10/20) by 9 am  
IOU Third Party Proposal (Option A) to Sheila Lee (sheila.lee@sce.com)  
CCA Application Proposal (Option B) to Mike Campbell (mcampbell@swater.org)  
PG&E LGP Proposal (Option C) to [Redacted]

All Comments on Draft Report including Appendix A Summary are due to [Redacted]  
[Redacted] Wed (10/20) by 3 pm

Participants should indicate the sections/options they intend to support (or if they do not wish to sign on to the report). The final consolidated version of the report will be circulated to the Joint Parties for their review and sign-off.

A consolidated Final Draft Report will be circulated to the Joint Parties on Thursday (10/21 as early as possible) for final review and sign-off.

Also included will be a cover letter indicating the Joint Parties to the Report and affirming that a joint party that is a party to the proceeding can still provide comments on 10/29 and/or reply comments on (11/4) per ALJ ruling.

Joint Parties sign-off on the final report by sending an e-mail to PG&E no later than 10 am Friday (10/22) - to Mike Klotz ( M1Ke@pge.com) and [Redacted]

PG&E will file the Joint Report on behalf of parties and cc: all workshop participants and service list on Friday (10/22)

Please contact me or the other leads if you have any questions.

[Redacted]

PG&E - Regulatory Relations

[Redacted]

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2010 1:38 PM  
**To:** [Redacted] 'Scr@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'awp@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'dil@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'kho@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'kmb@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'los@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'ABesa@semprautilities.com'; 'FSpasaro@semprautilities.com'; 'iyamagata@semprautilities.com'; 'sdpatrick@semprautilities.com'; Klotz, Michael (Law); Ramaiya, Shilpa R; Buller, Susan [Redacted] Pitcock, Maril; [Redacted]; 'Sheila.lee@sce.com'; 'Gregory.haney@sce.com'; 'larry.cope@sce.com'; 'Don.arambula@sce.com'; 'Nancy.Jenkins@sce.com'; 'mcampbell@sfgwater.org'; 'Cal.broomhead@sfgov.org'; 'Ann.kelly@sfgov.org'; 'lettenson@nrdc.org'; 'mang@turn.org'; [Redacted]; 'erasmussen@marinenergyauthority.org'; 'tkcconsulting@aol.com'; 'wem@igc.org'; 'ctufenkjian@krcd.org'; 'mokeefe@efficiencycouncil.org'; 'vien@greenforall.org'; 'megan@themmob.org'; [Redacted] 'blaising@braunlegal.com'; [Redacted]  
[Redacted]

**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** CCA Workshop Report - Conference Call - DRAFT Joint CCA Workshop Report

**CCA Workshop Participants:**

Here is the agenda and the draft documents for discussion [Redacted]

\*\*\*\*\*

Information for the Conference Call  
Tuesday 10/19 2:-3:30  
Dial-in#: [Redacted]  
Mtg#: [Redacted]

\*\*\*\*\*

**Agenda**

1. Discuss process for filing and getting participants' OK on final reports (e.g. PG&E can file on behalf of parties)
2. Schedule for finalizing report: Comments on draft by Wed 9 am; Circulate Final Report Thursday as early as possible; Final sign off e-mails by Friday 10 am
3. Overall Structure of Report and Appendices [Redacted]
4. Introduce Options: Option A - Don Arambula; Option B - Mike Campbell; Option C - Shilpa Ramaiya
5. Clarifying Comments/Questions

**Draft Joint Report (same as sent out on Friday)**

<<Joint Workshop Report\_DRAFT to Participants\_10-15-10.doc>>

**Draft Summary (Appendix A)**

Attached is a draft summary of the workshop discussion (Appendix A to the report) for your review and comment. It is a revised version of Barbara's summary of outcomes with edits to clarify points and to provide for a more balanced presentation of the discussion. Advocacy is also removed that would be better presented by WEM or other parties in their comments to the workshop report.

<<CCA-EE Workshop\_WEM Draft with edits-10-19-10\_clean.doc>>

\*\*\*\*\*

[Attached for reference is the red-line version]

[copy removed to avoid version control problems]

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2010 5:24 PM  
**To:** 'Scr@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'awp@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'dil@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'kho@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'kmb@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'los@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'ABesa@semprautilities.com'; 'FSpasaro@semprautilities.com'; 'ivamacata@semprautilities.com'; 'sdpatrick@semprautilities.com'; Klotz, Michael (Law); Ramaiya, Shilpa R; [Redacted] Buller, Susan; [Redacted] Pitcock, Maril; [Redacted] Sheila.lee@sce.com'; 'Gregory.haney@sce.com'; 'larry.cope@sce.com'; 'Don.arambula@sce.com'; 'Nancy.Jenkins@sce.com'; 'mcampbell@sfgwater.org'; 'Cal.broomhead@sfgov.org'; 'Ann.kelly@sfgov.org'; 'lettenson@nrdc.org'; 'mang@turn.org'; [Redacted] erasmussen@marinenergyauthority.org'; 'tkcconsulting@aol.com'; 'wem@lgc.org'; 'ctufenkjian@krccd.org'; 'mokeefe@efficiencycouncil.org'; 'vien@greenforall.org'; 'megan@themmob.org'; [Redacted] 'blaising@braunlegal.com'; [Redacted]  
**Cc:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** DRAFT - Joint CCA Workshop Report

CCA/EE Workshop Participants:

Attached is the Draft Joint CCA Workshop Report for your review and comments. The attached draft was prepared and reviewed by representatives of City and County of San Francisco, Marin Energy Authority, San Joaquin Valley Power Authority, PG&E and Southern California Gas Company. The document should be considered a work in progress and does not represent the final approval of the parties management.

We propose to include an edited version of WEM's workshop summary as Appendix A to the draft, but were not able to complete the edits to include here. That will come early next week.

<< File: Joint Workshop Report\_DRAFT to Participants\_10-15-10.doc >>

Next Steps:

Edits to WEM Summary Monday (10/18)

In order to complete the report we will need your comments by 9 am on Wednesday (10/20).

We have scheduled a conference call on Tuesday (10/19) from 2-3:30 to discuss the draft and substantive concerns. To facilitate that discussion, please provide any substantive comments to parties prior to the call.

Circulate Final Report draft Thursday (10/23)

File Final Report Friday (10/22)

\*\*\*\*\*  
Information for the Conference Call  
Tuesday 10/19 2:-3:30

Dial-in#: [Redacted]  
Mtg#: [Redacted]

\*\*\*\*\*

[Redacted]  
PG&E  
[Redacted]



Content-Type: application/msword;  
name="Joint Workshop Report\_DRAFT to Participants\_10-15-10.doc"  
Content-Description: Joint Workshop Report\_DRAFT to Participants\_10-15-10.doc  
Content-Disposition: attachment;  
filename="Joint Workshop Report\_DRAFT to Participants\_10-15-10.doc"

Content-Type: application/msword;  
name="CCA-EE Workshop\_WEM Draft with edits-10-19-10\_clean.doc"  
Content-Description: CCA-EE Workshop\_WEM Draft with edits-10-19-10\_clean.doc  
Content-Disposition: attachment;  
filename="CCA-EE Workshop\_WEM Draft with edits-10-19-10\_clean.doc"