From:	Roscow, Steve
Sent:	10/20/2010 4:53:28 PM
To:	Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); Kaneshiro, Bruce (bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:	Redacted
Bcc:	
Subject:	RE: Call with Redacted
Looking fo	orward to the call—
	clarify Bruce's clarification earlier today, we are focusing on the tiering, and wondering why cessary? What would a rate design w/o 5 tiers look like?
Steve	
To: 'Dietz Cc: Redac	
Sid- 10 a up a conf.	m on Monday, works for us. Will you all be in one location that we can call or do I need to set call line?
Bruce	
Sent: We	etz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com] ednesday, October 20, 2010 11:50 AM
To: Kanes	shiro, Bruce ted Roscow, Steve
Subject:	RE: Call with Redacted

Bruce
Redacted s out on Friday, could we shoot for Monday at 1000a?
yours,
sid
From: Kaneshiro, Bruce [mailto:bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2010 9:33 AM To: Dietz, Sidney Cc: Redacted Roscow, Steve Subject: RE: Call with Redacted
Sid-
How about 10 am on Friday?
To clarify the purpose of the call, Steve and I would like to understand the rationale for the residential PDP design. Specifically, what CPUC policies or state laws are in play that influenced the design of the rate? We want to better understand why this rate has a tiered structure with a TOU/CPP overlay.
If you can send us the cites to the relevant CPUC policy or state law in advance of the meeting, that would be appreciated.
Bruce

From: Kaneshiro, Bruce Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2010 5:05 PM To: 'Dietz, Sidney' Cc: Redacted Roscow, Steve Subject: RE: Call with Redacted
Sid-
Tomorrow won't work for us. Let me get back to you with a timeslot and date.
Bruce
From: Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com] Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2010 4:55 PM To: Kaneshiro, Bruce Cc: Bell, Andrew; Pease, Daniel Subject: Call with Redacted
Bruce
You mentioned wanting to chat with them about the rates that have both tiers and TOU, would a call tomorrow at 1130a work for you?
yours,
sid