

From: Zafar, Marzia
Sent: 10/1/2010 8:58:34 AM
To: [Redacted]; Harvey, Sommer C. (sommer.harvey@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); Esquivias, Roland (roland.esquivias@cpuc.ca.gov); Meeusen, Karl (karl.meeusen@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted] Caron, Jennifer (jennifer.caron@cpuc.ca.gov); Prosper, Terrie D. (terrie.prosper@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Our Suggested Revisions to the Large Ag 60-Day Default Letter

Hello,

We took that sentence from your power point that has the blue plan for large Ag, so maybe you can tell us what PG&E meant by that; I took it as CPUC directive and the CPUC in this case is the State of California. Also, if the letter is in draft we'd like to see what you incorporated before it goes out.

And thank you for spending a good two hour with us yesterday. We appreciate the dialogue and hope that we can build on this.

marzia

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2010 7:37 AM
To: Harvey, Sommer C.; [Redacted]
Cc: Dietz, Sidney; [Redacted]; Zafar, Marzia; Esquivias, Roland; Meeusen, Karl; Caron, Jennifer; Prosper, Terrie D.
Subject: RE: Our Suggested Revisions to the Large Ag 60-Day Default Letter

Sommer,

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback. We will seek to incorporate key points into the letters.

I had a questions about one point in your comments - the comments suggest framing the customer feedback that this new pricing structure affects many customers with the following language: "The State of California has directed all utilities, including PG&E, to make rates available to customers which reflect the true cost of electricity...."

I wanted to check with you all (and I'll check with our legal team as well) - to confirm the details of the statement. I understood (though, I could be mistaken) that time varying pricing is a policy direction from the CPUC (vs from Sacramento). So that all

IOUs are implementing this policy - but I thought that MUNIs/CCA/DA customers, etc would not necessarily be required to implement this new pricing structure.

I don't have a strong POV on this point - in fact, I like the proposed language from your comments. I just wanted to double "fact check" the point.

Thanks again,
Erin

Redacted

Director, Solutions Marketing
Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

From: Harvey, Sommer C. [mailto:sommer.harvey@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2010 4:49 PM

To: Redacted

Cc: Dietz, Sidney; Redacted; Zafar, Marzia; Esquivias, Roland; Meeusen, Karl; Caron, Jennifer; Prosper, Terrie D.

Subject: Our Suggested Revisions to the Large Ag 60-Day Default Letter

Hi Erin & John,

Thanks for meeting with us earlier today. We've reviewed the 60-day default letter for Large Ag that you will be loading into your system tomorrow. I've attached a revised letter with our suggested changes. Unfortunately, we did not have time to review the 60-day default letter for Small Ag or the 30-day default letters by the end of today, but we're hoping that the attached revision will give you a sense of what we would like to see in those letters as well. Please let me know if you have any questions about our suggested revisions.

Regards,
Sommer

Sommer Harvey
Small Business Outreach Officer
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco CA 94102-3298
Desk: (415) 703-1182, Cell: (415) 810-8904
som@cpuc.ca.gov

