

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 10/20/2010 6:07:23 PM
To: 'Roscow, Steve' (steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
'bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov' (bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Call with [Redacted]

Steve: I think the single biggest answer is that moving to PDP (or TOU) without tiers would suggest that large customers could do this just for the sake of avoiding the high upper tier prices. Similarly, it would make it very hard for low use customers to benefit on PDP (or TOU) because they lose the benefit of low tier rates. I don't think that there are 'requirements' for this one way or the other, but I believe it was discussed pretty extensively in 2002/3 in preparation for the Statewide Pricing Pilot where ultimately I believe they adopted a 2 tier approach for the pilot. Note also that current SmartRate is also loaded on tiered rates. Having said that, I believe [Redacted] knows more of the history so I was glad to see you could wait on this until Monday. Thanks, [Red]

From: Roscow, Steve [mailto:steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2010 4:53 PM
To: Kaneshiro, Bruce; Dietz, Sidney
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Call with [Redacted]

Looking forward to the call—

To further clarify Bruce's clarification earlier today, we are focusing on the tiering, and wondering why that is necessary? What would a rate design w/o 5 tiers look like?

Steve

From: Kaneshiro, Bruce
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2010 12:00 PM
To: 'Dietz, Sidney'
Cc: [Redacted]; Roscow, Steve
Subject: RE: Call with [Redacted]

Sid- 10 am on Monday, works for us. Will you all be in one location that we can call or do I need to set

up a conf. call line?

Bruce

From: Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2010 11:50 AM
To: Kaneshiro, Bruce
Cc: [Redacted] Roscow, Steve
Subject: RE: Call with [Redacted]

Bruce --

[Redacted] is out on Friday, could we shoot for Monday at 1000a?

yours,

sid

From: Kaneshiro, Bruce [mailto:bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2010 9:33 AM
To: Dietz, Sidney
Cc: [Redacted] Roscow, Steve
Subject: RE: Call with [Redacted]

Sid-

How about 10 am on Friday?

To clarify the purpose of the call, Steve and I would like to understand the rationale for the residential PDP design. Specifically, what CPUC policies or state laws are in play that influenced the design of the rate? We want to better understand why this rate has a tiered structure with a TOU/CPP overlay.

If you can send us the cites to the relevant CPUC policy or state law in advance of the meeting, that would be appreciated.

Bruce

From: Kaneshiro, Bruce
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2010 5:05 PM
To: 'Dietz, Sidney'
Cc: [Redacted] Roscow, Steve
Subject: RE: Call with [Redacted]

Sid-

Tomorrow won't work for us. Let me get back to you with a timeslot and date.

Bruce

From: Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2010 4:55 PM
To: Kaneshiro, Bruce
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: Call with [Redacted]

Bruce --

You mentioned wanting to chat with them about the rates that have both tiers and TOU, would a call

tomorrow at 1130a work for you?

yours,

sid