

From: Deal, Matthew
Sent: 10/25/2010 12:34:17 PM
To: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Rates/ policy meeting

Aight! I'll keep Nov 4 locked up for y'all. We can shift on the fly if need be.

From: Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com]
Sent: Monday, October 25, 2010 11:28 AM
To: Deal, Matthew
Subject: RE: Rates/ policy meeting

As of right now, it looks like your 4Nov date works best for us. I will be gone for the rest of the week, so I won't be able to make many adjustments to that until I get back next Monday.

yours,

sid

From: Deal, Matthew [mailto:matthew.deal@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2010 5:11 PM
To: Dietz, Sidney
Subject: RE: Rates/ policy meeting

Lets discuss next week as to participants.

From: Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2010 5:05 PM
To: Deal, Matthew
Subject: Re: Rates/ policy meeting

Well, I might want Dan Pease from the rates group as well. Is that enough or too many? I will try to get this on calendars Mon am. This will be great.

Do I seem terse? Blame the thumb keyboard.

From: Deal, Matthew <matthew.deal@cpuc.ca.gov>
To: Dietz, Sidney
Sent: Fri Oct 22 16:59:20 2010
Subject: RE: Rates/ policy meeting

I was thinking more theater in the round (although cocktails might help). It will be just PG&E and CPUC folks.

From: Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, October 22, 2010 4:02 PM
To: Deal, Matthew
Subject: Re: Rates/ policy meeting

I'm in an all-day meeting on this exact issue. I'd like to bring Steve Malnight (VP customer care) and Andrew Tang (Director in CC). Is this a stadium event or a cocktail party? Is it all iuos?

Do I seem terse? Blame the thumb keyboard.

From: Deal, Matthew <matthew.deal@cpuc.ca.gov>
To: Dietz, Sidney
Cc: Deal, Matthew <matthew.deal@cpuc.ca.gov>
Sent: Fri Oct 22 15:38:12 2010
Subject: Rates/ policy meeting

Sid:

In advance of our touching base on the phone - here's the advance scoop on the meeting that I want to schedule. The meeting is looking at two separate but related issues/themes. First, rate design and

implementation issues. This would focus on the issues being raised in the various dynamic rate proceedings currently open before the Commission. Additionally, taking a step back and looking at rate design policies in general – what are good principles to follow, how do we implement rational rates, what are the pitfalls with implementation to date, is there any hurdles or obstacles that we envision running up against in the future. . .Second, I want to look at bigger picture issues such as how can AMI help energy efficiency, Demand Response, GHG mitigation, etc.

In short, this effort is being looked at thru the lens of how can the Commission and the utilities maximize the investment in AMI across its various programs and policies. It is a lot to tackle in one meeting and I don't anticipate that we will get to each and every topic, but I want to begin the discussion and attempt to bring things in line, if they are indeed, out of whack.

I am planning on about 3 hours for the formal discussion/agenda but leaving an hour at the end if we get into a good discussion and want to let it run long. My intent will be to circulate a written agenda complete with several questions in order to guide the discussion a bit and have your folks provide written follow ups if necessary.

Possible dates/times: (earlier is better)

10/28: 1 – 4

11/02: 1 – 4

11/04: 1 – 4

11/08: 1 – 4

11/09: 10 – 12:30

11/12: 1 – 4