

From: Simon, Sean A.
Sent: 10/6/2010 3:55:36 PM
To: Carruthers, John (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=JBC4)
Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAE); Marks, Jaclyn (jaclyn.marks@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: PG&E Map

John,

Following up on our conversation. One request and one question.

Comment: Staff have heard from several developers that SCE's map is more user friendly because the data is published in a KMZ format, which can be used as a Google Earth layer in conjunction with other software. SCE identifies the date of the KMZ file so that stakeholders/developers know the vintage of the information. Please look into using this format for PG&E's location map.

Question: Since PG&E's location information seems primarily based on the substation and transformer capacity, I am wondering how PG&E's map would change over time as solar projects are added to the system. It strikes me that the map under the current methodology would change very little over time, unless significant distribution upgrades occur. Would you agree?

Regards,
Sean

Sean A. Simon | Energy Division - Analyst | CA Public Utilities Commission | Tel (415) 703-3791

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables>

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and it may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone call at the number listed above.