BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Rulemaking Regarding Whether, or Subject to What Conditions, the Suspension of Direct Access May Be Lifted Consistent with Assembly Bill 1X and Decision 01-09-060. Rulemaking 07-05-025 (Filed May 24, 2007)

REPLY TO PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO THE MOTION OF COMMERCIAL ENERGY OF CALIFORNIA REQUESTINGANORDERTOSHOWCAUSE

Michael B. Day Suzy Hong GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI, DAY & LAMPREY, LLP 505 Sansome Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415-392-7900 Facsimile: 415-398-4321 Email: mday@goodinmacbride.com

For Commercial Energy of California

October 22, 2010

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Rulemaking Regarding Whether, or Subject to What Conditions, the Suspension of Direct Access May Be Lifted Consistent with Assembly Bill 1X and Decision 01-09-060. Rulemaking 07-05-025 (Filed May 24, 2007)

REPLY TO PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO THE MOTION OF COMMERCIAL ENERGY OF CALIFORNIA REQUESTINGANORDERTOSHOWCAUSE

Pursuant to Administrative Law Judge Pulsifer's email granting Commercial Energy of California's ("Commercial Energy") request to file this Reply (dated October 18, 2010) and Rule 11.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Commercial Energy hereby submits this Reply to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E) Response to Commercial Energy's Motion Requesting an Order Requiring PG&E to Show Cause why it should not be deemed out of compliance with the Commission's Decision ("D".) 10-03-022, regarding implementation of the reopening of Direct Access ("DA"). Commercial Energy believes that PG&E has not complied with D.10-03-022 by: (1) improperly allowing DA-eligible customers to submit 6-month notices to switch to DA between the effective date of D.10-03-022 and the effective date of the DA Open Enrollment Window ("OEW"); and (2) incorrectly calculating the amount of DA available for 2010.

In response to Commercial Energy's Motion Requesting an Order to Show Cause ("Motion"), PG&E argues that PG&E's 2010 load limit is consistent with the requirements of D.10-03-022, that the language of D.10-03-022 and PG&E's Rule 22.1 support PG&E's actions, and that the Commission's Energy Division reviewed and confirmed PG&E's compliance with the Commission's requirements. PG&E's arguments are inconsistent and unsupported by the Commission's implementation of SB 695.

More importantly, PG&E's Response provides conclusive evidence that Commercial Energy is entitled to the relief it seeks. PG&E cannot have it both ways. If, as PG&E claims, the SB 695 DA protocols adopted by the Commission became effective on April 11, 2010, the load of the DA eligible customers it permitted to file DASRs prior to April 11, 2010 cannot count toward the available DA load to be allocated during the first OEW. In that case, PG&E should have updated the DA load available for the OEW. However, if the SB 695 protocols went into effect when D.10-03-022 became effective, all DA eligible preferences were extinguished, and PG&E should not have allowed those customers to file DASRs in advance of the OEW. Whichever legal analysis of the implementation of SB 695 prevails, PG&E has misapplied the statute and its own tariffs.

I. PG&E'S CALCULATION OF ITS 2010 DA LOAD LIMIT IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH D.10-03-022 AND IS INCONSISTENT WITH ITS OWN ARGUMENTS.

In its response, PG&E argues that its calculation of its 2010 DA load limit is consistent with D.10-03-022 because it used the 2010 DA load cap provided in the Decision and calculated the cap as provided in its tariff.¹ Neither the tariff nor the load cap set forth in the Decision take into consideration PG&E's continued acceptance of 6-month notices through April 11, 2010. The Decision does, however, include language providing for publicly-available information to be updated by the utilities, including information regarding changes in usage to determine the DA load availability.² This language indicates that PG&E should have recalculated its baseline and 2010 load limit rather than simply relying on the numbers set forth in the Decision, which were based on data collected in late 2009.

¹ Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Response to Commercial Energy of California's Motion Requesting an Order to Show Cause, p. 5 (October 12, 2010).

² D.10-03-022, Appendix 2, pgs. 6-7.

Furthermore, PG&E argues that the effective date for the reopening of DA under SB 695 was April 11, 2010.³ Under PG&E's own argument those customers who enrolled in DA prior to April 11th should not have counted toward the 2010 DA load limit because they enrolled prior to the reopening of DA. It is fundamentally inconsistent, and simply nonsensical, for PG&E to argue that customers who admittedly enrolled *prior* to the DA reopening should count toward the load limit established in D.10-03-022 for the DA reopening. Customers who enrolled prior to April 11th should have counted towards PG&E's baseline amount of DA *or* all customers should have been prohibited from enrolling in DA except through the OEW and been counted towards the load available for 2010. D.10-03-022 carefully considered the phase-in of expanded DA and the annual percentages associated with each phase. PG&E significantly undermined this aspect of the Decision by calculating its 2010 load limit in a manner that substantially diminished the amount of load available for 2010.

II. THE LANGUAGE OF D.10-03-022 AND SB 695 DO NOT SUPPORT PG&E'S ACTIONS.

PG&E cites language in D.10-03-022 which states that "DA remains suspended, except as provided by this decision implementing SB 695. Existing rules and processes currently in place for DA service shall remain in place, except for changes specified herein as necessary to implement the provisions of SB 695."⁴ PG&E cites this language to support its continued acceptance of 6-month notices to switch between the effective date of the Decision (March 15, 2010) and April 11, 2010 (the effective date of the OEW). This language does not justify PG&E's actions. Rather, this language makes clear that D.10-03-022 implements the provisions of SB 695 (Public Utilities Code section 365.1), which, as discussed in detail in Commercial Energy's Motion,

³ PG&E Response, p. 2.

⁴ D.10-03-022, p. 2 (emphasis added).

do *not* provide for separate enrollment procedures that favor so-called "existing" DA eligible customers over other customers.

III. PG&E DID NOT PROVIDE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION FOR PARTIES TO DETERMINE THE POTENTIAL IMPACT OF ITS ACTIONS.

PG&E further argues that its Tariff Rule 22.1, which implements D. 10-03-022, explicitly permits the continued acceptance of 6-month notices through April 11, 2010 and that Commercial Energy had the opportunity to protest the Advice Letter after it was filed on April 2, 2010.⁵ Until PG&E filed its Advice Letter, on April 2, 2010, it was not clear that PG&E was continuing to accept 6-month notices and would continue to do so through April 11th.⁶ In addition, PG&E's intent to count customers who submitted 6-month notices prior to April 11th toward the 2010 DA load limit was not evident from the Advice Letter filing. The implications of PG&E's actions were not disclosed to Commercial Energy or any other party until the release of the Energy Division's report on the utilities' implementation of SB 695 (Status Report) on August 2, 2010, which revealed that PG&E's load limit for 2010 was significantly lower than the level estimated in D.10-03-022.⁷

IV. ENERGY DIVISION'S STATUS REPORT ADDRESSES ADMINISTRATION OF THE NOTICE OF INTENT PROCESS, NOT THE SPECIFIC CONCERNS RAISED BY COMMERCIAL ENERGY IN ITS MOTION.

Finally, PG&E argues that the Energy Division's Status Report confirmed PG&E's compliance with D.10-03-022.⁸ The purpose of the Status Report, as stated by PG&E itself, was to determine the fairness of the utilities' administration of the Notice of Intent (NOI) process. PG&E states that Energy Division's conclusion that the utilities fairly administered the NOI process

⁵ PG&E Response, p. 2-3.

⁶ PG&E's Advice Letter instructed parties wishing to protest the Advice Letter to do so by April 22, 2010. The OEW occurred on April 16, 2010.

⁷ Status Report on the Results of the Energy Division's Review of the Utilities' Senate Bill 695 Implementation for 2010 per D.10-03-022 (updated August 2, 2010).

⁸ PG&E Response, p. 4.

implies that its acceptance of 6-month notices through April 11th was appropriate. The Status Report acknowledges that "Grandfathered DA-Eligible customers were not prohibited from submitting six-month notices to switch to DA service prior to April 11, 2010..."⁹ However, this statement does not indicate that Energy Division considered or resolved any of the legal arguments raised by Commercial Energy in its Motion.

V. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing and Commercial Energy's Motion, Commercial Energy respectfully requests that the Commission issue an Order requiring PG&E to Show Cause why it should not be ordered to:

- ffi disclose the number of customers allowed to enroll between the effective date of D.10-03-022 and the effective date of the OEW and the amount of GWHs associated with those customers;
- ffi disallow the 6-month notices to switch received between the effective date of D.10-03-022 and the effective date of the OEW;
- ffi recalculate the amount of DA available for 2010 by either:
 - disallowing 6-month notices submitted between the effective date of the Decision and April 11, 2010,
 - o (ii) counting such customers towards PG&E's baseline amount of DA, or
 - \circ (iii) both.
- ffi restore the DA queue consistent with the requirements of D.10-03-022 and distribute
 expanded DA capacity to those who would otherwise have been able to enroll during the
 OEW if not for PG&E's continued acceptance of 6-month notices in violation of D.10-03022 and the miscalculation of available DA load for 2010; and

⁹ Status Report, p.2.

ffi reconfigure the enrollment queue for 2011 in a manner consistent with the revised OEW for 2010 and the requirements of D.10-03-022.

Commercial Energy understands and acknowledges the difficulty of disallowing the 6-month notices to switch received between the effective date of D.10-03-022 and the effective date of the OEW; therefore, the most practical solution is to allow more load from the OEW period and grant accepted customers the opportunity to choose to enroll in DA by November 30, 2010. That load would then be deducted from the remaining DA balance for the last two years of the phase-in.

Respectfully submitted this 22nd day of October, 2010 at San Francisco, California.

GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI, DAY & LAMPREY, LLP Michael B. Day Suzy Hong 505 Sansome Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 392-7900 Facsimile: (415) 398-4321 Email: mday@goodinmacbride.com

By <u>/s/ Michael B. Day</u> Michael B. Day

Attorneys for Commercial Energy of California

3418/001/X123297.v1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Melinda LaJaunie, certify that I have on this 22nd day of October 2010 caused a copy of the foregoing

REPLY TO PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPNSE TO THE MOTION OF COMMERCIAL ENERGY OF CALIFORNIA REQUESTINGANORDERTOSHOWCAUSE

to be served on all known parties to R.07-05-025 listed on the most recently updated service list available on the California Public Utilities Commission website, via email to those listed with email and via U.S. mail to those without email service. I also caused courtesy copies to be hand delivered as follows:

Commissioner President Michael R. Peevey California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 5218

San Francisco, CA 94102

ALJ. Thomas R. Pulsifer California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 5005 San Francisco, CA 94102

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed

this 22nd day of October 2010 at San Francisco, California.

<u>/s/ Melinda LaJaunie</u> Melinda LaJaunie

3418/001/X122491.v1

ANDREW B. BROWN abb@eslawfirm.com

AKBAR JAZAYERI AdviceTariffManager@sce.com

Andrew Kotch ako@cpuc.ca.gov

AMBER E. WYATT amber.wyatt@sce.com

ROBERT ANDERSON AndersonR@conedsolutions.com

ANN L. TROWBRIDGE atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com

Amy C. Yip-Kikugawa ayk@cpuc.ca.gov

MATTHEW BARMACK barmackm@calpine.com

BRIAN T. CRAGG bcragg@goodinmacbride.com

RYAN BERNARDO bernardo@braunlegal.com

Brewster Fong bfs@cpuc.ca.gov

ROBERT L. HINES bhines@svlg.org

BRIAN K. CHERRY bkc7@pge.com

BLAIR JACKSON blairj@mid.org

SCOTT BLAISING blaising@braunlegal.com

BARBARA R. BARKOVICH brbarkovich@earthlink.net

CATHIE ALLEN californiadockets@pacificorp.com

CASE ADMINISTRATION case.admin@sce.com

CASSANDRA SWEET cassandra.sweet@dowjones.com

CINDY L. CASSELMAN ccasselman@pilotpowergroup.com

CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS cem@newsdata.com

HILARY CORRIGAN cem@newsdata.com

CARLOS PENA CFPena@SempraUtilities.com Charlyn A. Hook chh@cpuc.ca.gov

CHRISTOPHER A. HILEN chilen@nvenergy.com

CHRISTOPHER J. WARNER cjw5@pge.com

CARLOS LAMAS-BABBINI clamasbabbini@comverge.com

Chloe Lukins clu@cpuc.ca.gov

CAROLYN KEHREIN cmkehrein@ems-ca.com

COLIN CUSHNIE colin.cushnie@sce.com

CHARLES R. MIDDLEKAUFF CRMd@pge.com

Christopher R Villarreal crv@cpuc.ca.gov

DAVID OLIVER david.oliver@navigantconsulting.com

David Peck dbp@cpuc.ca.gov

Donald J. Brooks dbr@cpuc.ca.gov

DEBORAH K. CURRIE dcurrie@rrienergy.com

DOUGLAS DAVIE ddavie@wellhead.com

DAVID DICKEY ddickey@tenaska.com

DEBORAH BERGER debeberger@cox.net

DEBRA S. GALLO debra.gallo@swgas.com

DOUGLAS M. GRANDY, P.E. dgrandy@caonsitegen.com

DHAVAL DAGLI dhaval.dagli@sce.com

DAVID L.. HUARD dhuard@manatt.com

DIANE I. FELLMAN Diane.Fellman@nrgenergy.com

DAVID ORTH dorth@krcd.org

DANIEL DOUGLASS douglass@energyattorney.com DANIEL W. DOUGLASS douglass@energyattorney.com

DONALD R. SCHOONOVER ds1957@att.com

DAVID VIDAVER dvidaver@energy.state.ca.us

Elizabeth Dorman edd@cpuc.ca.gov

EVELYN KAHL ek@a-klaw.com

ELIZABETH RASMUSSEN erasmussen@marinenergyauthority.org

ERIC A. ARTMAN eric.a.artman@gmail.com

EDWARD TOPPI etoppi@ces-ltd.com

EDWIN W. DUNCAN ewdlaw@sbcglobal.net

GURCHARAN BAWA gbawa@cityofpasadena.net

E. GARTH BLACK gblack@cwclaw.com

GINA M. DIXON GDixon@SempraUtilities.com

GEORGE WAIDELICH george.waidelich@safeway.com

GIFFORD JUNG gifford.jung@powerex.com

GREGG MORRIS gmorris@emf.net

GWENNETH O'HARA gohara@calplg.com

GURDIP REHAL grehal@water.ca.gov

HOWARD V. GOLUB hgolub@nixonpeabody.com

HARRY KINGERSKI HKingerski@mxenergy.com

INGER GOODMAN igoodman@commerceenergy.com

IGNACIO IBARGUREN iibarguren@tyrenergy.com

IRYNA KWASNY iryna.kwasny@doj.ca.gov

JAMES SCHICHTL james.schichtl@sce.com

Service List – R.07-05-025 (Updated October 20, 2010)

JANET COMBS janet.combs@sce.com

JEANNE B. ARMSTRONG jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com

JON M. CASADONT jcasadont@bluestarenergy.com

JACQUELINE DEROSA jderosa@ces-Itd.com

JEANNE M. SOLE jeanne.sole@sfgov.org

JEFF MALONE jeff.malone@calpeak.com

JEFFREY P. GRAY jeffgray@dwt.com

JENNIFER TSAO SHIGEKAWA jennifer.shigekawa@sce.com

GERALD L. LAHR JerryL@abag.ca.gov

JEDEDIAH J. GIBSON jjg@eslawfirm.com

JOSEPH M. KARP jkarp@winston.com

EMAIL ONLY jkern@bluestarenergy.com

JOHN W. LESLIE, ESQ. jleslie@luce.com

JAMES MCMAHON jmcmahon@8760energy.com

JAMES MCMAHON jmcmahon@8760energy.com

JOHN HOLTZ john.holtz@greenmountain.com

JOE DONOVAN joseph.donovan@constellation.com

JOSHUA DAVIDSON joshdavidson@dwt.com

JOY A. WARREN joyw@mid.org

JOHN PACHECO jpacheco@water.ca.gov

JANINE L. SCANCARELLI jscancarelli@crowell.com

JIM SPENCE jspence@water.ca.gov

JUDY PAU judypau@dwt.com JULIE L. MARTIN julie.martin@bp.com

Service List – R.07-05-025 (Updated October 20, 2010)

Jake Wise jw2@cpuc.ca.gov

Karin M. Hieta kar@cpuc.ca.gov

KAREN LINDH karen@klindh.com

KIMBERLY C. JONES Kcj5@pge.com

Kathryn Auriemma kdw@cpuc.ca.gov

KEITH R. MCCREA keith.mccrea@sablaw.com

KELLIE SMITH kellie.smith@sen.ca.gov

KEN BOHN ken@in-houseenergy.com

KENNY SWAIN kenneth.swain@navigantconsulting.com

KERRY HATTEVIK kerry.hattevik@nexteraenergy.com

KELLY M. FOLEY KFoley@SempraUtilities.com

KIM HASSAN khassan@semprautilities.com

Ke Hao Ouyang kho@cpuc.ca.gov

KEVIN J. SIMONSEN kjsimonsen@ems-ca.com

KRISTIN JUEDES kjuedes@urmgroup.com

KARI KLOBERDANZ KKloberdanz@SempraUtilities.com

Karl Meeusen kkm@cpuc.ca.gov

GREGORY S.G. KLATT klatt@energyattorney.com

KAREN NORENE MILLS kmills@cfbf.com

AVIS KOWALEWSKI kowalewskia@calpine.com

Karen P. Paull kpp@cpuc.ca.gov

ALEXIS WODTKE lex@consumercal.org DONALD C. LIDDELL liddell@energyattorney.com

LISA WEINZIMER lisa_weinzimer@platts.com

LISA ZYCHERMAN lisazycherman@dwt.com

LYNN MARSHALL Imarshal@energy.state.ca.us

LYNN M. HAUG Imh@eslawfirm.com

Louis M. Irwin Imi@cpuc.ca.gov

Carlos A. Velasquez los@cpuc.ca.gov

LEN PETTIS lpettis@calstate.edu

LON W. HOUSE, PH.D lwhouse@innercite.com

Lee-Whei Tan lwt@cpuc.ca.gov

MARY U. AKENS makens@water.ca.gov

MARCIE A. MILNER marcie.milner@shell.com

MARTIN HOMEC martinhomec@gmail.com

MARTIN HOMEC martinhomec@gmail.com

MARY LYNCH mary.lynch@constellation.com

MARY TUCKER mary.tucker@sanjoseca.gov

MARY C. HOFFMAN mary@solutionsforutilities.com

MARK BYRON mbyron@gwfpower.com

MEGHAN K. COX mcox@calplg.com

MICHAEL B. DAY mday@goodinmacbride.com

MICHAEL B. DAY mday@goodinmacbride.com

MARC D. JOSEPH mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com

MICHEL PETER FLORIO mflorio@turn.org MICHAEL S. HINDUS michael.hindus@pillsburylaw.com

MICHAEL MCDONALD michael.mcdonald@ieee.org

MICHAEL E. BOYD michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net

MICHELLE R. MISHOE michelle.mishoe@pacificorp.com

MICHAEL D. MONTOYA mike.montoya@sce.com

MICHAEL LAMOND mike@alpinenaturalgas.com

RUSTY MILLS millsr@water.ca.gov

MICHAEL R. JASKE mjaske@energy.state.ca.us

Matthew Deal mjd@cpuc.ca.gov

MADELON A. KUCHERA mkuchera@bluestarenergy.com

MIKE MCCLENAHAN MMcclenahan@SempraUtilities.com

MICHAEL G. NELSON, ESQ. mnelson@mccarthylaw.com

MANUEL RAMIREZ mramirez@sfwater.org

MARK R. HUFFMAN mrh2@pge.com

MRW & ASSOCIATES, LLC mrw@mrwassoc.com

MICHAEL SHAMES mshames@ucan.org

MONA TIERNEY-LLOYD mtierney-lloyd@enernoc.com

MICHAEL WOFFORD mwofford@water.ca.gov

MICHAEL A. YUFFEE myuffee@mwe.com

NORA SHERIFF nes@a-klaw.com

NORMAN J. FURUTA norman.furuta@navy.mil

NAT TREADWAY ntreadway@defgllc.com

S. NANCY WHANG nwhang@manatt.com

Service List – R.07-05-025 (Updated October 20, 2010)

Ourania M. Vlahos omv@cpuc.ca.gov

ERNEST PASTERS pasteer@sbcglobal.net

FRANK J. PERDUE perdue@montaguederose.com

PETER W. HANSCHEN phanschen@mofo.com

PHILIPPE AUCLAIR phil@auclairconsulting.com

PHILLIP MULLER philm@scdenergy.com

PAUL KERKORIAN pk@utilitycostmanagement.com

PATRICIA E. LOOK plook@rrienergy.com

RANDALL W. KEEN pucservice@manatt.com

PAUL HOLTON pvh1@pge.com

JOHN DUTCHER ralf1241a@cs.com

RALPH E. DENNIS ralphdennis@insightbb.com

RICHARD SMITH rasmith@sfwater.org

CASES ADMINISTRATION TEAM RegRelCpucCases@pge.com

ROGER GOLDSTEIN rfg2@pge.com

Risa Hernandez rhh@cpuc.ca.gov

RONALD MOORE rkmoore@gswater.com

ROBERT RYNEARSON rob@teamryno.com

ROGER VAN HOY rogerv@mid.org

RONALD L. PERRY ron.perry@commercialenergy.net

RYAN PISTOCHINI rpistoc@smud.org

REED V. SCHMIDT rschmidt@bartlewells.com

RANDY SHILLING rshilling@krcd.org SAEED FARROKHPAY Saeed.Farrokhpay@ferc.gov

ANNIE STANGE sas@a-klaw.com

C SUSIE BERLIN sberlin@mccarthylaw.com

SARAH BESERRA sbeserra@sbcglobal.net

SHERYL CARTER scarter@nrdc.org

Steve Roscow scr@cpuc.ca.gov

SETH D. HILTON sdhilton@stoel.com

SEAN P. BEATTY sean.beatty@mirant.com

MICHAEL ROCHMAN Service@spurr.org

SHANNON MALONEY shannonrmaloney@msn.com

SOPHIA PARK SJP@cpuc.ca.gov

STEVE LIU sliu@bear.com

STEVEN C. NELSON SNelson@SempraUtilities.com

J. STEVE RAHON SRahon@SempraUtilities.com

STACEY RANTALA srantala@energymarketers.com

SARA STECK MYERS ssmyers@att.net

STEVEN F. GREENWALD stevegreenwald@dwt.com

STEVEN HUHMAN steven.huhman@morganstanley.com

STEVEN KELLY steven@iepa.com

SUE MARA sue.mara@rtoadvisors.com

STACY W. WALTER sww9@pge.com

SUJATA PAGEDAR Sxpg@pge.com

STEPHEN ZAMINSKI szaminski@starwood.com TAM HUNT tam.hunt@gmail.com

THERESA BURKE tburke@sfwater.org

TRENT CARLSON tcarlson@rrienergy.com

TARYN CIARDELLA tciardella@nvenergy.com

TOM CORR TCorr@SempraUtilities.com

TREVOR DILLARD tdillard@sppc.com

THOMAS R. DEL MONTE thomas.r.del.monte@qmail.com

TIM LOCASCIO tlocascio@libertypowercorp.com

TODD EDMISTER todd.edmister@bingham.com

THEODORE E. ROBERTS TRoberts@SempraUtilities.com

Thomas R. Pulsifer trp@cpuc.ca.gov

THOMAS W. SOLOMON tsolomon@winston.com

TOM WERTZ twertz@tyrenergy.com

WAYNE AMER wamer@kirkwood.com

WILLIAM H. BOOTH wbooth@booth-law.com

DAVE SMITH WDSmith@SempraUtilities.com

RAY CZAHAR westgas@aol.com

BRAD WETSTONE wetstone@alamedamp.com

WENDY KEILANI WKeilani@SempraUtilities.com

MIKE CADE wmc@a-klaw.com

Rebecca Tsai-Wei Lee wtr@cpuc.ca.gov

ZACH DAVIS zdavis@advantageiq.com

Service List – R.07-05-025 (Updated October 20, 2010)

CLINT SANDIDGE MANAGER, POLICY & REGULATION RRI ENERGY, INC. 1000 MAIN STREET HOUSTON, TX 77002

LES GULIASI DIRECTOR, REGULATORY AFFAIRS RRI ENERGY, INC 720 WILDCAT CANYON ROAD BERKELEY, CA 94708

MALCOLM REINHARDT ACCENT ENERGY 1299 FOURTH STREET, SUITE 302 SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901

PUC/X123295.v1