

From: Fulcher, Jack
Sent: 10/19/2010 5:35:00 PM
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Lunch?

Thanks again for the meet, Erik. I had a quick chat with ALJ Duda (who is the assigned judge for the ongoing rulemaking (R.10-05-004)) and she sees no problem with us expanding the scope of the OIR to include this issue. She'd like some specifics regarding what constraints and rules would be most effective and efficient, at least as a starting point, so maybe this would be a chance for you guys to come up with a suggestion or two. You know my concerns (e.g., financial incentive for PA to help its "associates," everything re applications and installations is left to PAs' discretion, little realistic recourse for small installers (except by formal complaint to commission), little guidance or control of PAs exercised through the handbook, that sort of stuff), so any suggestions would be helpful when I draft the request to the judge. Please don't suggest more audits. ;))

Thanks, Erik. Jack

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
[mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2010 7:23 AM
To: Fulcher, Jack
Subject: Re: lunch?

Today works. Shall we meet in the CPUC courtyard at noon?

From: Fulcher, Jack
<jack.fulcher@cpuc.ca.gov>
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)

Sent: Mon Oct 18 15:02:05 2010
Subject: RE: lunch?

Sure, Erik. I've been swamped with (of all things) a water OIR, but that's pretty much over with for the moment. Let's get together either tomorrow or wednesday, if either works for you. I'm open for either. Jack

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
[mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2010 5:21 PM
To: Fulcher, Jack
Subject: lunch?

Jack, Do you have any time next week to get together for lunch? Among other things, we could catch up on next steps for the affiliate advice letters.

Erik