

From: Fulcher, Jack
Sent: 10/20/2010 12:50:40 PM
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Lunch?

Cool, Erik. I'll ask Melicia what she knows about the workshop and handbook - is it part of the rulemaking, was it approved through resolution, does it have the same force of law decisions or resolutions have? That sort of stuff. God know we want to be able to fine you folks that \$500 available through the PU Code. Hoo-wee. Jack

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2010 5:50 PM
To: Fulcher, Jack
Subject: RE: lunch?

Thanks Jack for following up so promptly. I'm glad ALJ Duda is amenable to looking at these issues in her proceeding. I will follow-up with our CSI team to see if they can come up with some suggestions and then I will get back to you.

I understand there is a workshop on Monday in this rulemaking. Below is an email from Melicia Charles of Energy Division that I dug up after our lunch. It caught my eye because you have raised the issue of processing timelines which will be a subject of discussion Monday. So maybe there is a way to start to get these issues raised at the Monday workshop.

You also asked about whether or not the Commission actually approves the CSI handbook. I looked at the latest version of the Handbook when I got back to the office. It states the following in the introduction

On June 8, 2010 the CPUC approved the Supplemental Filing for CCSE Advice Letter 10, which was jointly filed by Pacific Gas and Electric, Advice Letter 3641-E, Southern California Edison, Advice Letter 2458-E, and the California Center for Sustainable Energy, Advice Letter 10, and made changes to the CSI Program Handbook. These Advice Letters made the following changes to the Program and Performance Section of the Handbook:

I haven't tried to dig up the resolution approving the advice letter, but it looks like it is formally approved -- so the Commission can clearly change it as appropriate.

Thanks again and I will get back to you.

Erik

To All Interested Parties:

A workshop will be held to provide parties the opportunity to discuss a subset of recommendations included in the CSI Staff Proposal, issued via [ruling](#) on July 26, 2010, containing suggested modifications to the California Solar Initiative. While the rulemaking has not yet issued a scoping memo establishing the priorities and timing of the entire proceeding, Energy Division staff will move forward with hosting a workshop to discuss issues raised in the Staff Proposal. This workshop will cover some, but not all, of the recommendations included in the CSI Staff Proposal. Other recommendations will be considered in subsequent workshops, and the full timing and prioritization of the proceeding will be determined by the scoping memo.

The workshop will be held on October 25, 2010 at 10 a.m. in the CPUC's Hearing Room D (505 Van Ness Ave, San Francisco, CA).

The Staff Proposal is available [here](#).

The following recommendations will be discussed at this workshop:

Recommendation	Staff Proposal Section
Application Processing Timelines	3.1
Project Completion Time Requirements	3.2
Project Inspections Process	3.3

PMRS Cost Cap Exemption for EPBB Systems	
Administrative Budgets	3.6
EPBB Calculator Integration with PowerClerk	3.8
Payment Intervals for Performance Based Incentive Payments	3.9
Total M&E Budget	4.2
M&E Plan Annual Review	4.3
M&E Expenditures and Reimbursement Requirement	4.4
M&E Related Metering Expenses	4.6
Marketing and Outreach Budgeting	5.4

There will be no web or telephone access for this workshop due to its meeting room location. For questions about this workshop, please contact Melicia Charles (mvc@cpuc.ca.gov/415-355-5502) or James Loewen (loe@cpuc.ca.gov/213-620-6341).

Melicia Charles

Senior Regulatory Analyst

Energy Division

California Public Utilities Commission

505 Van Ness Ave., San Francisco, CA

Phone: 415-355-5502

Email: melicia.charles@cpuc.ca.gov

From: Fulcher, Jack [<mailto:jack.fulcher@cpuc.ca.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2010 5:35 PM

To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)

Subject: RE: lunch?

Thanks again for the meet, Erik. I had a quick chat with ALJ Duda (who is the assigned judge for the ongoing rulemaking (R.10-05-004)) and she sees no problem with us expanding the scope of the OIR to

include this issue. She'd like some specifics regarding what constraints and rules would be most effective and efficient, at least as a starting point, so maybe this would be a chance for you guys to come up with a suggestion or two. You know my concerns (e.g., financial incentive for PA to help its "associates," everything re applications and installations is left to PAs' discretion, little realistic recourse for small installers (except by formal complaint to commission), little guidance or control of PAs exercised through the handbook, that sort of stuff), so any suggestions would be helpful when I draft the request to the judge. Please don't suggest more audits. ;))

Thanks, Erik. Jack

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2010 7:23 AM
To: Fulcher, Jack
Subject: Re: lunch?

Today works. Shall we meet in the CPUC courtyard at noon?

From: Fulcher, Jack <jack.fulcher@cpuc.ca.gov>
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
Sent: Mon Oct 18 15:02:05 2010
Subject: RE: lunch?

Sure, Erik. I've been swamped with (of all things) a water OIR, but that's pretty much over with for the moment. Let's get together either tomorrow or wednesday, if either works for you. I'm open for either.
Jack

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2010 5:21 PM
To: Fulcher, Jack
Subject: lunch?

Jack, Do you have any time next week to get together for lunch? Among other things, we could catch up on next steps for the affiliate advice letters.

Erik