From: Simon, Timothy A.

Sent: 10/23/2010 5:41:31 PM

To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: Fw: Two Vendor IDs For Premiere Solutions

Can you discreetly look into this. Thank you!

From: Redacted
To: Simon, Timothy A.
Cc: Redacted
Sent: Fri Oct 22 18:02:36 2010
Subject: FW: Two Vendor IDs For Premiere Solutions

Commissioner Simon,

This employee, Redacted at PG&E is blatantly misrepresenting the facts as it relates to my work on the Invoicing and Auditing project. In my Consulting agreement with PG&E, he was supposed to be mentoring me. He provided no such skills and in a period of 14 months, attended 3 meeting on this initiative.

This project is currently on hold due to unforeseen obstacles we ran into with PG&E and the rental vendors. Redacted never announced to ALL rental vendors that this initiative was taking place and demanded their cooperation. The first meeting was attended by only ONE of the six rental vendors.

This created communication and adaptation issues because the other rental vendors did not want to get involved in this project without a strong push from PG&E. When the decision was jointly made by Redacted, myself, and Redacted supervisor, Dave Meisel, PG&E announced on the conference call with the rental vendors that the reason was lack of language in the rental contracts that dictates electronic billing. Also, PG&E needed to be able to job-charge for any rental equipment and they are not yet set up to accomplish this goal.

When RFP's go out at the end of this year to the rental vendors, included in the proposal would be a requirement for the rental vendor to have the capability to do electronic billing. I would like to speak to you about actions I would like to take relative to this matter. I don't want PG&E slandering my name or my companies' name to their employees.

Redacted

Premiere Solutions, LLC

From: Redacted
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2010 5:56 PM
To: Redacted
Subject: RE: Two Vendor IDs For Premiere Solutions

Hi Redacted

I was able to speak with Redacted who has been working with Redacted He let me
know that the contract has not be voided but, they are aware that you do not have
the capabilities to execute the contract so they are looking for another vendor. He also said that
he worked with Accounts Payable to make sure Red allowed the two vendor numbers. Please
let me know if there's anything else I can help you with.

Redacte

From: Redacted
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2010 12:34 PM
To: Redacted
Subject: RE: Two Vendor IDs For Premiere Solutions

Hello Redacte

I wanted to just confirm that both vendor numbers would be active. Given that your email
confirms this point, then my goal is accomplished.

On another note, let me know what you find out about the job charging initiative that I discussed with you.

Thanks,

Redacted

From: Redacted
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2010 11:15 AM
To: Redacted
Subject: RE: Two Vendor IDs For Premiere Solutions

Hi Redacted

I may have been mistaken but it looks like this email started because they wanted to deactivate one of the two vendor numbers your company has. In looking at the last email it looks like they've agreed to leave both vendor numbers. Since each one of theses vendor numbers is connected to a different bank I thought leaving the two different vendor numbers active was what you wanted. Please let me know what you'd like to happen at this point and I'm sure we can make it happen.

Thanks,
Redact

From: Tracy Porter [mailto:Tracy@premieresolutionsllc.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2010 11:00 AM
To: Redacted
Subject: FW: Two Vendor IDs For Premiere Solutions

Hi Redact

Please let me know if you can assist me with this matter below.

Thanks,

Redacted

Premiere Solutions, LLC

Redacted

From Redacted
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2010 10:54 AM
To: Redacted
Cc: Redacted
Subject: RE: Two Vendor IDs For Premiere Solutions

Redacted

I was informed by the supervisor in Vendor Record Management that we would leave vendor# 1091946 active without deleting it.

Thanks,
Redacted
Sr. Accounting Analyst
PG&E - AP
Redacted

_____
From: Redacted

Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2010 3:55 PM

To: Redacted

Cc: Redacted

Subject: Two Vendor IDs For Premiere Solutions

Importance: High

Redacted

Sometime back in May, [Redacte] contacted me regarding setting up a different remittance address for Premiere Solutions and it is for Non-Altec. My understanding was that it has a different tax ID that requires a new vendor# (1091946 created in May).

Currently, there are two vendor accounts for Premiere Solutions:

#1090900 - Premiere Solutions LLC
        Remittance Address is Birmingham with Regions bank account #   ending ***3064.

#1091946 - Premiere Solutions LLC
        Premiere Solutions Non-Altec
        Remittance Address is Dublin with B Of A bank account # ending ***   7577.

However, both have the same address of [Redacted] and Federal tax ID of [Red]
[Redacted] We do not allow assigning different vendor # to the same entity. So far there are no POs for the Non-Altec account (#1091946) and we need to deactive it. Please acknowledge the non-Altec account deactivation.

Thanks,
[Redacted]
Sr. Accounting Analyst
PG&E - AP
[Redacted]

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 5188 (20100610) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 5188 (20100610) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 5188 (20100610) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 5188 (20100610) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 5188 (20100610) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 5188 (20100610) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com