

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 10/11/2010 10:04:24 AM
To: 'Clanon, Paul' (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Data on Pipe Segments

Thx. We may give it one more try but I'm getting older every day....

From: Clanon, Paul [mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, October 11, 2010 10:00 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: RE: Data on Pipe Segments

Shit. I'm sorry. Hard times.

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Monday, October 11, 2010 9:47 AM
To: Clanon, Paul
Subject: RE: Data on Pipe Segments

Thx.

Regarding my personal matter, it didn't work. Lost it this weekend.

From: Clanon, Paul [mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, October 11, 2010 9:37 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: FW: Data on Pipe Segments

FYI:

"I checked with Sunil who is in Virginia with NTSB investigators. According to Sunil, there may be a report coming out today. This would be more like an update (which NTSB normally issues within 30 days). This report will not address any specifics such as causes or the pipe test results. It is just a status update. "

From: Clanon, Paul
Sent: Monday, October 11, 2010 9:26 AM

To: Halligan, Julie; Stepanian, Raffy; [Redacted] Shori, Sunil
Cc: Lindh, Frank; Clark, Richard W.; Prosper, Terrie D.
Subject: FW: Data on Pipe Segments

Have we heard anything about a preliminary NTSB report tomorrow on San Bruno?

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Monday, October 11, 2010 9:24 AM
To: Clanon, Paul; Lindh, Frank
Subject: RE: Data on Pipe Segments

Let me check. My gut reaction says no to your question. There are over 1000 miles of unpiggable lines and buried welds that aren't on the schematic diagrams. God knows what is underground. That said, they are working feverishly to come up with answers.

At today's leadership meeting, I heard that the NTSB preliminary report may come out as early as tomorrow morning.

From: Clanon, Paul [mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, October 11, 2010 9:06 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K; Lindh, Frank
Subject: Data on Pipe Segments

Brian, this is a follow-up to the discussion I had with Ed Salas and Kirk Johnson last week. Frank and I are asking ourselves this question:

"If there's another explosion in a gas transmission line tomorrow, what will we wish we'd done today?"

and one thing that keeps coming back on me is that I'm not sure how confident I am that PG&E knows enough detail about every pipe segment to be able to respond to an NTSB finding that a particular weld needs down-rating, or a particular design of old join, or a particular manufacturer's method of the 1940s or 50s, stuff like that. Should I be confident? Do your people actually have the data? Or should PG&E be doing an all-hands effort to make absolutely sure it knows what's down there for every pipe segment?