From: Ramaiya, Shilpa R

Sent: 10/8/2010 12:06:26 PM

To: Besa, Athena (ABesa@semprautilities.com); Baker, Simon

(simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov); 'Fogel, Cathleen A.' (cathleen.fogel@cpuc.ca.gov)

Cc: Redacted

Clinton, Jeanne (jeanne.clinton@cpuc.ca.gov); Redacted

Redacted Arambula, Don - Edison (Don.Arambula@sce.com); Baker, Simon (simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov); Lai, Peter (peter.lai@cpuc.ca.gov); Yamagata, Jov C. (JYamagata@semprautilities.com):

Redacted

Bcc:

Subject: RE: EUC workpapers

Cathy,

Thanks for your note. We did not get the same indication from the Commissioner's office regarding the savings for the Whole House program, but are happy to discuss your conversations. We also have proposed using a calculated-type approach for the savings in the recently-filed PFM.

Jeff will be reaching out to you to further discuss and close the workpaper loop.

Thanks. Shilpa

From: Besa, Athena [mailto:ABesa@semprautilities.com]

Sent: Friday, October 08, 2010 11:47 AM **To:** 'Fogel, Cathleen A.'; Baker, Simon

Cc: Clinton, Jeanne; Redacted Ramaiya, Shilpa R; Arambula, Don -

Edison; Yamagata, Joy C.; Lai, Peter; Baker, Simon

Subject: RE: EUC workpapers

Cathy,

Thank you for the clarification. We will work with PG&E on the PG&E/SDG&E work papers. And continue to follow the process for SCE/SCG workpaper approval.

Regards.

Athena

From: Fogel, Cathleen A. [mailto:cathleen.fogel@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, October 08, 2010 11:26 AM

To: Besa, Athena; Baker, Simon

Cc: Clinton, Jeanne; Redacted Reambula, Don - Edison; Yamagata, Jos Subject: EUC workpapers Importance: High		Ramaiya, Shilpa R; er, Simon
Athena,		
Simon asked me to reply to your questi	ion. Three points:	
1) Energy Division approved the IOU w the attached email for the full details re		

Prior to our doing so, a PG&E representative indicated that PG&E/SDG&E would resubmit the final workpapers using the values contained in the Energy Division spreadsheet which we included as an attachment to our Approval with Conditions Technical Review.

Energy Division requested IOU resubmittal of workpapers by September 1st.

In late August, PG&E chose to meet with the Assigned Commissioner's office at a time that Energy Division staff and consultants were not able to make. ED staff/consultants subsequently briefed the AC office, and received full support for our approach.

2) Early M&V on Presciptive Package: In discussions with IOU staff, Energy Division has indicated openness to Early M&V work in homes taking part in the prescriptive whole house rebate program to study, and if warranted, increase the savings estimates for the prescriptive package for the 2010-2012 program cycle. IOUs subsequently indicated this type of study as Early M&V work in their Res EM&V priorities list submitted by Caroline Chen, SCE on behalf of the IOUs. The IOUs also included an additional proposed Early M&V study to review average home leakage values in California. The latter is needed to establish air leakage sealing as a DEER measure.

Energy Division is open to both of these studies taking place as "Early M&V" studies, subject to ED input and approval of the study design.

3) SCE Workpaper Approval is on a separate track: SCE/SCG used different software than PG&E/SDG&E and even more minor changes were requested by ED as part of our Approval with Conditions of the SCE/SCG workpapers. Since August 8th, SCE and ED consultant engineers have exchanged communications with SCE seeking additional clarifications on a small set of issues. As of today, I ED expect those issues to be worked out next week, and the final workpapers with the changes identified by ED submitted by SCE immediately following.

Given this, Energy Division would like to request that the PG&E and SDG&E complete and submit their full PWHRP workpapers as soon as possible.

С	h	е	е	r	S	

Cathy

Cathy Fogel
Energy Efficiency Planning Section
Climate Strategies Branch, Energy Division
California Public Utilities Commission

cf1@cpuc.ca.gov ph: 415-703-1809

From: Besa, Athena [mailto:ABesa@semprautilities.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 05, 2010 2:36 PM

To: Baker, Simon

Cc: Fogel, Cathleen A.; Clinton, Jeanne; Redacted Redacted

'Ramaiya, Shilpa R'; Arambula, Don - Edison; Yamagata, Joy C.

Subject: RE: Status of EUC launch and availability of customer / contractor rebate

information

Simon,

This program is designed to be contractor-driven, who will be working with customers interested in taking advantage of the EUC incentives. We have implemented the first stage of the program, which is to provide orientation and training to potential contractors so that they can begin to market and work with interested customers. The qualified contractors will then need to sign "contractor participation" agreements to be able to perform the installation work. The specific activities we have done are as follows:

For SDG&E:

Contractor Training: 3 contractor training sessions were conducted the last week of September with 33 contractors/raters participating. CCSE, who provides the training for SDG&E, will maintain the contractor list in addition to the statewide EUC website. Application Forms: These will be available by October 18. Website: The website should be up the week of October 11.

For SoCalGas:

Contractor Training: 1 contractor orientation sessions were conducted the last week of September with over 130 participants. More training and orientation sessions have been scheduled for the next 2 months. The participating contractor list will be on the LA COUNTY website and the statewide EUC website.

Application Forms: These will be available by October 18. Website: The website should be up the week of October 11.

As an aside, has ED provided the utilities with the official approval of the workpapers for the program? I believe that some of the packages/incentives offered in the program depend on this approval.

From: Ramaiya, Shilpa R [mailto:SRRd@pge.com] **Sent:** Tuesday, October 05, 2010 12:21 PM

To: Baker, Simon; Besa, Athena; Arambula, Don - Edison

Cc: Fogel, Cathleen A.; Clinton, Jeanne; Redacted Redacted Redacted

Subject: RE: Status of EUC launch and availability of customer / contractor rebate information

Simon.

Our information is located at:

For customers:

http://www.cbpca.org/homeowners/rebates incentives.html

For contractors:

http://www.cbpca.org/contractors/pge.html

The rebate application form will be filled out by the contractors (for the customers) so it will not be online.

We're working on getting a link to this website put on pge.com. We should have that complete in the next day and will forward the link as soon as it is available.

Thanks. Shilpa

From: Baker, Simon [mailto:simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 5:59 PM

To: ABesa@semprautilities.com; Don.Arambula@sce.com; Ramaiya,

Shilpa R

Cc: Fogel, Cathleen A.; Clinton, Jeanne

Subject: Status of EUC launch and availability of customer /

contractor rebate information

Importance: High

Don, Athena and Shilpa,

As we discussed last May, the target date for "rebates on the street" for the new Energy Upgrade California whole house retrofit program was agreed between Energy Division and the IOUs to be September 1st. Since that time, IOUs indicated slippage on that delivery date to October 1st, which Energy Division accepted.

Energy Division staff have today searched the IOUs websites for information available to consumers/contractors on obtaining these new rebates. Only SCE has information on this new program available online on its website that ED staff could fine, with an email contact for customers and contractors to find out more information on the program rather than an opportunity to sign up right away to reserve or apply for rebates.

Can you all please indicate to us where your Energy Upgrade California rebate

application and/or reservation form is on your websites?

Energy Division would like to indicate this information in our introduction to the All Party meeting on this new program this Thursday October 7th as per our earlier discussion.

Thanks!

Best,

Simon