

From: david morse

Sent: 10/5/2010 11:41:52 AM

To: Redacted

Thomas Paul (Paul.Thomas@SCE.com)

Cc: Mr. Lawrence M. Morales (larry@epwater.com); Minneci Joe (jminneci@gswater.com); Mr. Brian Bruce (brian.bruce@amwater.com); Kooy Jan (jkooy@calwater.com); Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); Redacted

Redacted

Khai Duong

(khai.duong@cpuc.ca.gov); Miss Laura Kimes (Laura.Kimes@sce.com); Redacted

Redacted

Raj

Naidu (raj.naidu@cpuc.ca.gov); Jonathan Budner (Jonathan.Budner@sce.com); Mr. Matt Winslow (matt@gswater.com)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: OEEP invoice procedures updated per DWA request

Paul and Redacted

I understand your concerns. I'm working with the Water Division on an alternative process that would assure the Water Division that OEEP projects are reporting to the EM&V consultant. I hope to have a modification for you to consider, soon.

Regards,

Dave

On Sep 30, 2010, at 6:45 PM, Paul.Thomas@sce.com wrote:

I agree with Lynne.

PT

From: Redacted

Sent: 09/30/2010 10:27 AM MST

To: "david morse" <demorse@omsoft.com>

Cc: "Kooy Jan" <jkooy@calwater.com>; "Morales Lawrence" <larry@epwater.com>; "Bruce Brian." <brian.bruce@amwater.com>; "Minneci Joe" <jminneci@gswater.com>; "Winslow Matt" <matt@gswater.com>; Paul Thomas; "Raj Naidu" <raj.naidu@cpuc.ca.gov>; "Khai Duong" <khai.duong@cpuc.ca.gov>; Redacted "Ramaiya, Shilpa R" <SRRd@pge.com>; Redacted

Subject: RE: OEEP invoice procedures updated per DWA request

Hi Dave,

I am not comfortable with the CPUC's request for the energy IOUs to provide a cover note indicating that the water IOU projects are operational and capable of providing adequate information to the EM&V consultant. It is my understanding that the energy IOU's responsibility is limited to paying the invoices that are to be approved by the CPUC prior to submittal to the energy IOUs. The attached email from Raj includes notes from a meeting between the CPUC and PG&E where we explicitly laid out the roles of the water IOUs, energy IOUs and the CPUC. In it, we agreed that "Payment of

invoices will require authorization from the CPUC-Water Division that the invoice is acceptable." This continues to be our understanding, and I'm not comfortable with this new direction.

Redacted

Redacted

Manager
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Green Communities and Innovator Pilots

Redacted

From: david morse [mailto:demorse@omsoft.com]

Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2010 9:35 AM

To: Redacted

Cc: Kooy Jan; Morales Lawrence; Bruce Brian.; Minneci Joe; Winslow Matt; Thomas Paul; Raj Naidu; Khai Duong

Subject: Re: OEEP invoice procedures updated per DWA request

Redacted

We are asking energy utilities to provide a cover note "indicating that they have reviewed the invoice information and agree that the project is operational, etc...." Please call me if we need to discuss.

Regards,

Dave

On Sep 24, 2010, at 1:27 PM, Redacted wrote:

Hi Dave,

Did you mean to say that the **water** IOUs are responsible for the cover note?

thanks,

Redacted

Redacted

Manager
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Green Communities and Innovator Pilots

Redacted

From: david morse [mailto:demorse@omsoft.com]
Sent: Friday, September 24, 2010 11:33 AM
To: Kooy Jan; Morales Lawrence; Bruce Brian.; Minneci Joe; Winslow Matt; [Redacted] Thomas Paul
Cc: Raj Naidu; Khai Duong
Subject: OEEP invoice procedures updated per DWA request

Attached is an update to the OEEP invoice processing procedures I sent to you in July. The CPUC's Division of Water and Audits has requested that the in the second step energy utilities provide a cover note indicating that they have reviewed the invoice information and agree that the project is operational and is capable of providing adequate information to the EM&V consultant and therefore payment of the subject invoice is in compliance with D. 10-04-030.

DWA staff have reviewed this additional language and have approved this procedure.

Regards
Dave

David Morse
140 B Street Suite 5-355
Davis, Ca 95616
phone: 530/756-5033
mobile: 530/219-5803
demorse@omsoft.com

David Morse
140 B Street Suite 5-355
Davis, Ca 95616
phone: 530/756-5033
mobile: 530/219-5803
demorse@omsoft.com

From: "Naidu, Raj" <RKN@cpuc.ca.gov>
Date: February 3, 2009 2:28:58 PM PST
To: [Redacted]
Subject: RE:

Hj [Redacted]

I have made few changes in the attached meeting notes. Other document looks OK to me.

I will be out on vacation from Thursday morning.

Thanks for your help

Raj Naidu P.E

Water Advisory Branch

415 - 355 - 5573

505 Van Ness Avenue, Area 3-B

San Francisco, CA 94102

From: Redacted
Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 9:18 AM
To: Naidu, Raj
Subject: RE: Changes

Thanks, Raj.

I've essentially accepted all of your comments to the meeting notes that I put together for our December meeting. Then I updated those notes with the new information from our meeting last week (attached). I've tried to use the new numbering system, but you might want to double-check that. If you approve of these notes, I will circulate them to my team. Also, we plan to try to help you get the Energy Division's cooperation with the review of the EM&V by sending an email to R. Kahlon and J. Fitch. Our draft email is below. It would be signed by 2 of our Senior Managers here at PG&E.

Please let me know if you have any comments on the attached notes or anything substantial for the email below.

thanks,

Redacted

Redacted

Principal
PG&E

Customer Energy Efficiency Third Party and Partnership Implementation

Redacted

As the Senior Managers responsible for the implementation of energy efficiency programs for water utilities and for evaluation of those programs, we are following up with you regarding Mr. Raj Naidu's letter (enclosed) on the subject of the Operational Energy Efficiency Program (OEEP). As you may know, at the direction of the CPUC, California's energy utilities are about to commit \$1.3 million dollars to this pilot program which is intended to determine the viability of a new operational efficiency program for water utilities. If the pilot is proven effective, the OEEP could become a standard offering of the energy utilities. We are encouraged that the CPUC's decision directs the Division of Water and Audits to develop an evaluation plan for the pilot to determine the viability of an ongoing OEEP program. However, it is our understanding that the CPUC has not yet assigned any staff from the Energy Division to participate in the development of an EM&V plan or to offer any input to the pilot process.

Because of the significant short-term and potential long-term impacts of this program on energy ratepayers, and because we would like to see this pilot succeed by both water and energy standards, we request that Energy Division staff be added to the team. We believe that Energy Division involvement in this pilot will ensure that the results can be replicated with confidence and that the pilot will be more likely to provide a suitable foundation from which to launch a new energy utility program that can be implemented in accordance with Energy Division policy.

This pilot is scheduled to begin on March 1, 2009. Pilot program kickoff meetings have already been held in San Francisco and Los Angeles. To ensure timely involvement of Energy Division staff, we request assignment of Energy Division staff as soon as possible.

From: Naidu, Raj [mailto:RKN@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2009 9:01 AM

To: Redacted

Subject: Changes

FYI

Raj Naidu P.E

Water Advisory Branch

415 - 355 - 5573

505 Van Ness Avenue, Area 3-B

San Francisco, CA 94102

David Morse
140 B Street Suite 5-355
Davis, Ca 95616
phone: 530/756-5033
mobile: 530/219-5803
demorse@omsoft.com