From:	Redacted	
Sent:	10/6/2010 1:58:18 PM	
To:	'Baker, Simon' (simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov)	
Cc:	Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); Redacted	
	Redacted	'Lai, Peter'
	(peter.lai@cpuc.ca.gov); 'Premo, Anne W.' (anne.premo@cpuc.ca.gov); 'Tapawan- Conway, Zenaida G.' (zenaida.tapawan-conway@cpuc.ca.gov)	
Daar		

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Suspension of PG&E Advice Letter 3065-G-A/3562-E-A

Simon,

I am checking in back in with you on the status of PG&E's EE Compliance AL and seeking your concurrence on the allocation of EE funding to gas customers in the upcoming 2011 Gas PPP Surcharge AL that PG&E will file on October 29.

We have been working with Anne and Peter during their review of our Compliance AL and we filed a supplemental AL on September 17 to address Peter's concerns. I understand that we are now just waiting for the disposition letter. PG&E needs to lock down the rate assumptions for its 2011 Gas PPP Surcharge AL this week. As I have discussed with Anne (and I understand she has reached out to Richard Meyer and Eugene Cadenasso), for setting the 2011 Gas PPP Surcharge rates I am assuming that Energy Division will approve our EE

Compliance AL as supplemented. Therefore, the total EE budget allocated to gas customers in the 2011 Gas PPP Surcharge AL will be based on the filed net benefit split between gas and electric customers of 18% gas/82% electric (not the proxy level adopted in D.09-09-047 of 15%/85%). In the unlikely event that this assumption turns out to be incorrect, PG&E may need to supplement the gas PPP Surcharge AL before the end of the year.

Please let me know if you concur with this approach.

Thank you,

Redacted PG&E - Regulatory Relations Redacted

From: Baker, Simon [mailto:simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2010 2:01 PM To: Redacted Cc: Lai, Peter; Fogel, Cathleen A.; Redacted Subject: RE: Suspension of PG&E Advice Letter 3065-G-A/3562-E-A

Premo, Anne W.

Redacted

Thanks for making us aware of PG&E's timing concerns. We will make every effort to approve it by end

of September, but we are still in the midst of our review so I cannot guarantee anything at this stage. This AL has been reassigned to Anne Premo, who is copied. Thanks!

Best, Simon Eilif Baker Supervisor, Energy Efficiency Planning Climate Strategies Branch California Public Utilities Commission - Energy Division <u>seb@cpuc.ca.gov</u> 415-703-5649

From: Redacted
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2010 10:35 AM
To: Baker, Simon
Cc: Lai, Peter; Fogel, Cathleen A.; Redacted
Subject: FW: Suspension of PG&E Advice Letter 3065-G-A/3562-E-A

Simon,

I am checking in with you on the status of ED's review and approval of PG&E's EE compliance AL. We are anxious to finalize our 2010-2012 EE program budgets for a number of reasons. One that I am currently concerned with is that the net benefit split between gas and electric customers that will be adopted in that AL drives the allocation of PG&E's EE authorized funding between gas and electric customers in rates. The time for preparing and filing 2011 rates is upon us and I would hope that the EE Compliance AL can be approved in time for the approved allocation to be reflected in both electric and gas rates effective January 1, 2011.

We will be filing our Annual Electric True-up (AET) advice letter by September 1 and in that filing we are assuming that the EE AL will be approved as filed, since we have the opportunity to update the AL in December if that assumption proves incorrect. However, we can only file the 2011 Gas PPP surcharge rates once by October 31 using the current adopted funding levels, which in this case is the proxy net benefits split approved in D.09-09-047. Therefore, I am hoping that Energy Division will be able to approve our AL by the end of September, if not sooner, so we can reflect the updated net benefit allocation to gas customers in our 2011 Gas PPP Surcharge rates.

If there is anything we can do to assist in the final review of our AL please let me know.

Thank you,

Redacted PG&E - Regulatory Relations Redacted

From: Redacted Sent: Monday, August 16, 2010 12:40 PM To: 'Lai, Peter' Cc: 'Fogel, Cathleen A.'

Subject: FW: Suspension of PG&E Advice Letter 3065-G-A/3562-E-A

Hi Peter,

I understand from Cathy that you are still reviewing the savings/E3 portion of PG&E's compliance advice letter. I would appreciate any update you can provide me as we are anxious to get the AL approved.

Thanks, Redacted

From: Fogel, Cathleen A. [mailto:cathleen.fogel@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, July 29, 2010 10:55 AM
To: Redacted
Cc: Serizawa, Linda; bfinkelstein@turn.org; Jody London; jeanne.sole@sfgov.org; Redacted
Fitch, Julie A.; Clinton, Jeanne; Lai, Peter; Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G.; Premo, Anne W.; Baker, Simon; Salinas, Maria; Gatchalian, Honesto
Subject: Suspension of PG&E Advice Letter 3065-G-A/3562-E-A

Redacted

Attached please find a Suspension Notice for PG&E Advice Letter 3065-G-A/3562-E-A.

Kind Regards,

Cathy Fogel Energy Efficiency Planning Section Climate Strategies Branch, Energy Division California Public Utilities Commission <u>cf1@cpuc.ca.gov</u> ph: 415-703-1809