BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Examine the Commission's Post-2008 Energy Efficiency Policies, Programs, Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification, and Related Issues.

Rulemaking 09-11-014 (Filed November 20, 2009)

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED DECISION ON EVALUATION, MEASUREMENT, AND **VERIFICATION OF CALIFORNIA UTILITY ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS**

ANN H. KIM MICHAEL R. KLOTZ

Law Department Pacific Gas and Electric Company P.O. Box 7442 77 Beale Street, MSB30A San Francisco, CA 94120 **Telephone:** (415) 973-7565 Facsimile: (415) 973-0516 E-Mail:

Attorneys for PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

m1ke@pge.com

October 18, 2010

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Examine the Commission's Post-2008 Energy Efficiency Policies, Programs, Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification, and Related Issues.

Rulemaking 09-11-014 (Filed November 20, 2009)

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED DECISION ON EVALUATION, MEASUREMENT, AND VERIFICATION OF CALIFORNIA UTILITY ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC or Commission) Rule of Practice and Procedure 14.3, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) comments on the September 28, 2010 Proposed Decision on Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification of California Energy Efficiency Programs (PD).

PG&E supports the Commission's efforts to examine the Evaluation, Measurement and Verification (EM&V) framework for Energy Efficiency (EE) programs to ensure that effective and reliable methodologies are in place to further California's ambitious energy and climate change objectives. In particular, PG&E supports the Commission's stated objective of this EM&V review — "to prepare for the 2013-2015 energy efficiency program cycle by updating our energy efficiency EM&V and ensuring effective EM&V of resource objectives and progress in achieving the goals of the strategic plan." To ensure consistency with this stated objective, the Commission should adopt the following revisions to the PD:

<u>1</u>/ PD at p. 26.

- The EM&V plan should be issued in time for the Investor-Owned Utilities (IOUs) to incorporate the findings of the EM&V plan into their planning for the 2013-2015 cycle, as opposed to concurrently with the submission of program applications.
- The PD should order the Commission's Policy and Planning Division (PPD) to hold workshops to address issues related to cost-effectiveness and energy efficiency potential, coordination of the EM&V plan with the Risk-Reward Incentive Mechanism (RRIM) proceeding [R.09-01-019]; setting the goals for the 2013-2015 EE program cycle, and other technical and procedural evaluation issues, as discussed in the parties' comments in this proceeding. In particular, PPD should hold a preliminary procedural workshop within 30 days of the final decision in this proceeding in order to ensure that the Commission and interested parties have appropriate resources and are able to stay on track for key milestones and decisions.

In addition, the Commission should revise the PD to address technical errors and outstanding issues related to aspects of the proposed EM&V review including the following:

- Applying experimental design methodologies to evaluate the Whole House Program
 may be a flawed approach as establishing a proper control group may not be
 achievable. The Commission should direct the parties to explore its potential
 application in the workshop addressing the scalability of experimental design rather
 than order Energy Division staff to report out to parties through the workshop series
 the status of its application.
- The Commission should order that any customer usage data provided by the IOUs to Energy Division to support the Total Energy Consumption Pilot shall be accorded the full procedural protections of Public Utilities Code Section 583 and General Order 66-C, and that such data shall not be released to third parties without prior notice to, and consent of, the IOUs and execution of a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) that adequately addresses the confidential and proprietary nature of such data.
- The PD's proposed new method of assigning EE attribution is flawed as it does not further the stated purpose of resource planning through an understanding of total market gross load impacts.

II. COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED DECISION

A. THE EM&V PLAN SHOULD BE ISSUED PRIOR TO SUBMISSION OF THE 2013-2015 ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAM APPLICATIONS.

The Commission should revise Ordering Paragraph (OP) 11 of the PD regarding the timing of Energy Division's issuance of the EM&V plan to be consistent with the EM&V review's stated objective of "prepar[ing] for the 2013-2015 energy efficiency program

cycle." The PD currently calls for issuance of the plan at the same time the IOUs submit their applications for the 2013-2015 EE program cycle. 2/2 To further the stated objective of the EM&V review, the Commission should order Energy Division to serve the EM&V plan in time for the IOUs to incorporate its findings into their planning for the 2013-2015 program cycle, as opposed to after the portfolios have already been designed and the applications have been submitted. 3/2 This will allow for more efficient planning of the portfolios and prevent the need for multiple submissions of the IOUs' program applications. As such, OP 11 of the PD should be revised as follows 4/2:

11. 12. Energy Division shall serve the 2013-2015 Energy Efficiency Plan at the same time no later than 3 months prior to the time set by the Commission for the IOUs to file their 2013-2015 portfolio applications.

In its March 15, 2010, Response To Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Setting

Prehearing Conference (PG&E Prehearing Conference Proposal), PG&E proposed a

schedule for the EM&V review to support planning and implementation of the 2013-2015 EE

Portfolio Applications. That proposed schedule contemplated IOU submission of their 20132015 program applications in December of 2011. 5/ Based on that schedule, Energy Division should serve the EE EM&V Plan no later than September 1, 2011.

PG&E updates the 2013-2015 EE portfolio planning schedule that it submitted on

PD at OP 11 ("Energy Division shall serve the 2013-2015 energy Efficiency Plan at the same time IOUs file their 2013-2015 portfolio application").

This is consistent with PG&E's prehearing proposal where it recommended that the EM&V review be completed and all issues resolved in time to incorporate findings into planning the 2013-2015 portfolio. See Pacific Gas And Electric Company's (U 39 M) Response To Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Setting Prehearing Conference, p.5, filed March 15, 2010) ("PG&E Prehearing Conference Proposal"); see also Comments Of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 M) And Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G) Regarding Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Setting Prehearing Conference, p. 4.

Note that OP 11 has become Proposed OP 12 due to the proposed addition of an additional ordering paragraph, discussed in Section II. B.

^{5/} PG&E Prehearing Conference Proposal, p. 5.

March 15, 2010 to reflect the time interval from the portfolio implementation date in addition to the hard dates previously proposed. [Note: based on this schedule the cost effectiveness decision is already overdue.]

PG&E'S PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR 2013-2015 EE PORTFOLIO			
	Date relative to Program Implementation Date (T)	Date Assuming January 1, 2013 Implementation	
Cost-Effectiveness Decision	T minus 30 months	July 2010	
Goals Decision	T minus 25 months	December 2010	
Update California Long-Term Strategic Plan	T minus 22 months	March 2011	
RRIM Finalized in Concurrent, Separate Proceeding	T minus 21 months	April 2011	
EM&V Policies and Procedures Decision (EM&V Plan)	T minus 18 months	July 2011	
Utility Develops EE Programs for Filing	T minus 14 to 17 months	Aug – Nov 2011	
IOU Application Filed	T minus 13 months	December 2011	
EE Program Final Decision	T minus 6 months	July 2012	
EE Program Implementation Preparation	T minus 3 months	Oct-Dec 2012	
EE Program Implementation Start	Τ	January 1, 2013	

B. THE PROPOSED DECISION SHOULD ORDER WORKSHOPS ON OTHER RELEVANT EM&V PLANNING ISSUES ALREADY IDENTIFIED IN PARTIES' COMMENTS.

The PD states that "[c]omments and workshops in this proceeding may not have identified all relevant EM&V issues that need to be addressed in preparing the 2013-2015 EM&V Energy Efficiency Plan." Directore the Commission has authorized the PPD to use the workshop series to address such issues. In particular, Conclusion of Law No. 8 states that "[i]t is reasonable for PPD to utilize the EM&V workshop series ordered herein to identify and address issues which require further stakeholder consideration."

^{6/} PD, Conclusion of Law 6.

^{7/} PD, Conclusion of Law 8.

PG&E strongly supports the Commission's decision to "[p]rovide a forum for the airing of additional EM&V issues as necessary." In their respective comments, parties have, in fact, already identified certain, specific, additional EM&V issues, relevant to planning their 2013-2015 EE programs and application, which are not addressed in the PD. In addition to the general authority the Commission grants the PPD to identify and address further relevant issues, the Commission should order that the PPD hold workshops on the issues that have already been identified by stakeholders as critical to planning their 2013-2015 EE portfolios.

In the prehearing proposals, parties identified important issues that should be included within the comprehensive EM&V review, and resolved before planning the 2013-2015 portfolios. 9/ These include:

- Cost effectiveness issues Examination of the current cost-effectiveness framework and avoided cost assumptions to be used in determining the amount of available cost-effective EE potential.
- <u>Goals</u> Setting attainable goals for the 2013-15 EE program cycle in coordination with program cycle planning.
- RRIM Coordination with the concurrent RRIM proceeding to establish and finalize a RRIM for the 2013-15 EE program cycle. The RRIM structure impacts portfolio operation and implementation, as well as the appropriate approach to EM&V to ensure a sufficient precision to satisfy the needs of program administrators and other interested parties. The lack of an established RRIM prior to program and EM&V planning greatly increases the likelihood of contention and conflict over subsequent EM&V results and may require costly, sub-optimal mid-course alterations to EM&V plans.

PG&E Prehearing Conference Proposal, pp. 4-5. See also Comments Of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 M) And Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G) Regarding Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Setting Prehearing Conference, p. 4; Southern California Edison Company's Proposal (U 338-E) In Response To Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Setting Prehearing Conference, p. 4.

^{8/} PD at p. 40.

• Technical and Procedural Aspects of Impact Evaluations - Through the workshop series, the Commission should adopt a technical protocol for final measurement and evaluation of EE programs that, at a minimum, should provide for application of uniform evaluation methodologies, concrete timelines and milestones, protocols for provision of supporting data, sufficient time for comprehensive analysis of findings, and a mutually agreed upon dispute resolution procedure. The Commission should also assemble a technical team, comprised of representatives from Energy Division, the IOUs and industry experts, to review impact evaluations (or any other applicable evaluation format) to make final determinations as to the amount of savings achieved pursuant to the established protocol.

The PD focuses mainly on exploring potentially new methodologies for evaluation (i.e., the scalability of experimental design, and the Total Energy Consumption Pilot). However, it does not address many technical and procedural issues related to more traditional aspects of EM&V and impact evaluations that may remain as part of the evaluation of the overall success of EE programs in the next cycle. Consistent with the Commission's directive to provide a forum for airing additional EM&V issues as necessary, the Commission should order that the PPD hold workshops addressing those issues and should amend the PD to include the following, additional Ordering Paragraph:

- 11. To support planning of the 2013-2015 EE Portfolio Applications, the PPD shall hold workshops within the EM&V workshop series addressing issues identified in parties' Responses To Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Setting Prehearing Conference, including:
 - Examination of the current cost-effectiveness framework and avoided cost assumptions to be used in determining the amount of available cost-effective EE potential;
 - Setting attainable goals for the 2013-15 EE program cycle;
 - Coordination with the concurrent RRIM proceeding to establish and finalize a RRIM for the 2013-15 EE program cycle;
 - Technical measurement and evaluation protocols and methodologies, and other administrative procedures for performing, presenting, and reviewing impact consistent with improving portfolio performance in cycle evaluations.

C. THE PD SHOULD CLARIFY THAT STAKEHOLDERS SHOULD EXPLORE WHETHER APPLICATION OF EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN METHODOLOGY TO EVALUATION OF THE WHOLE HOUSE PROGRAM IS MORE ACCURATE THAN COLLECTION OF SITE-SPECIFIC DATA.

The PD directs Energy Division "to assess how Experimental Design can be used for the EM&V of California's Whole House Retrofit Program, including the Prescriptive Whole House Program, as suggested by TURN." 10/2 The PD further directs Energy Division to provide updates regarding "its application of Experimental Design to the Whole House Retrofit Program." 11/2 This is inconsistent with the Commission's recognition of the challenges in effectively applying experimental design and the Commission's directive that the approach warrants further exploration.

Consistent with that directive, through the workshop series, the Commission should explore the general scalability of experimental design prior to its application beyond comparative usage programs as approved in D.10-04-029. PG&E questions the feasibility of the use of experimental design for the Whole House Retrofit Program. Further, given that PG&E is already proposing to collect site-specific data to determine the savings, experimental design for Whole House would be a less accurate measure for the savings than actual site collection. These issues are appropriate for further exploration through the workshop series. The discussion on page 35 of the PD should be modified as follows: 12/

In D.10-04-029 we directed Energy Division to develop a protocol to measure and count savings from comparative usage programs using the Experimental Design methodologies found in the EM&V Protocols. That directive is being implemented through the Joint Energy Division/IOU Evaluation Plan for 2010-2012, which includes a review of best practices in this

^{10/} PD at p. 35.

^{11/} Id. Please note, however, that the Commission did not order this in a specific ordering paragraph.

 $[\]underline{12}$ / PD at p. 35 (internal references omitted).

area and the development of a protocol and method for application to behavior based programs. We now direct the Energy Division to prioritize these activities such that the 2013-2015 Energy Efficiency EM&V Plan can benefit from the results. We further direct the Energy Division to assess how whether Experimental Design can be used for the EM&V of California's Whole House Retrofit Program, including the Prescriptive Whole House Program, as suggested by TURN.

Energy Division shall provide stakeholders with regular updates on its review of Experimental Design best practices, its development of a protocol and method for application to behavior based programs, and it's the potential feasibility of application of applying Experimental Design to the Whole House Retrofit Program. These updates should be delivered through the EM&V workshop series. Where practical, the 2013-2015 Energy Efficiency EM&V Plan should rely on Experimental Design to determine program ex post savings.

D. THE COMMISSION SHOULD AMEND ITS ORDER REGARDING IOUS' PRODUCTION OF USAGE DATA TO ENERGY DIVISION TO PROVIDE THAT SUCH DATA SHALL BE ACCORDED THE FULL PROCEDURAL PROTECTIONS OF PU CODE SECTION 583 AND GENERAL ORDER 66-C.

While PG&E supports the EM&V of California's Total Energy Consumption Pilot and EE programs through the provision of usage data, PG&E also is obligated to protect the privacy of its customers and limit the distribution of customer data. PG&E urges the PD to specifically limit the terms of use of data provided to ED (as described on page 33 of the PD) so as to prohibit the public release of such data. The PD should reiterate that the ED is required under Public Utilities Code Section 583 and General Order 66-C to keep such data confidential, and that such data shall not be released to third parties without prior notice to, and consent of, the IOUs and execution of a NDA that adequately addresses the confidential and proprietary nature of such data. Accordingly, PG&E requests that OP 4 should be amended as follows:

4. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, and Southern California Gas Company shall

cooperate fully with Energy Division's efforts to expedite the Total Energy Consumption Pilot and shall timely provide any energy usage data Energy Division deems necessary. Given the confidential nature of such data, Energy Division shall accord such data the full procedural protections of Public Utilities Code Section 583 and General Order 66-C, and shall not release such data to third parties without prior notice to, and consent of, the IOUs. In addition, prior to any release of such data to a third party, including a contractor for the Energy Division, such third party shall execute a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) that adequately addresses the confidential and proprietary nature of such data.

E. THE COMMISSION'S FOCUS ON ATTRIBUTION DOES NOT FURTHER ITS RESOURCE PLANNING GOAL.

The Commission states that it must consider total market gross load impacts in resource planning efforts. 13/ PG&E agrees with the goals of coordination and collaboration among other entities who deliver energy efficiency savings. However, in the PD, the Commission does not focus on the relevant subject of attaining its stated resource planning goals—the accurate measurement of total market gross load impacts among these entities. Rather, the Commission rolls out a new proposed method for parsing out attribution of energy savings among these entities—a much more contentious topic, not directly related to its stated resource planning goals. This approach to achieving resource planning goals is flawed and should be revised in the PD.

Determining who is responsible for achieving savings is not directly relevant to the stated resource planning goal. In addition, the Commission's approach is likely to be even more contentious than the current approach to attribution and inconsistent with its recent directives for inter-agency collaboration and cooperation. Although not entirely clear in the PD, the Commission seemingly proposes to "back-in" to the savings attributable to IOU programs through measuring the accomplishments of other agencies and attributing the

^{13/} PD at p. 39; Conclusion of Law 5.

remainder of energy savings (in a Total Consumption Model) to the IOU programs. This will cause at least as much uncertainty in results as net-to-gross studies. Further it does little to address the inherent limitations of the EM&V process the Commission acknowledges exist or its acknowledgment that Commission policy requires that attribution is applied as effectively as possible. Under such a proposal, the CPUC will run into many issues where agencies and the IOUs cooperate and/or where the IOUs could do a significant amount of driving behind the efficiency actions but other agencies are needed to make the final decisions and approvals, as is the case with codes and standards and rising baselines in manufacturing of technologies such as televisions.

Before directing significant resources to implementing a new attribution methodology, the Commission should first order the PPD to use the workshop series to determine whether the attribution question needs to be addressed to support the Commission's stated resource planning goals and if so, how best to study that question.

///

///

///

 $[\]underline{14}$ / PD at pp. 29-30; FOF 6; Conclusion of Law 2.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, PG&E requests that the Commission adopt the PD with the proposed modifications discussed in these comments and included in Appendix A hereto.

Respectfully submitted,

ANN H. KIM MICHAEL R. KLOTZ

By: ____/s/ ANN H. KIM

Law Department
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P. O. Box 7442
77 Beale Street, B30A
San Francisco, CA 94120
Telephone: (415) 973-7565

Facsimile: (415) 973-7565 Facsimile: (415) 973-0516 E-Mail: m1ke@pge.com

Attorneys for PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

October 18, 2010

APPENDIX A

PROPOSED REVISIONS TO FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, ORDERING PARAGRAPHS, AND DISCUSSION IN THE PD

	T	1
PD REFERENCE	PROPOSED	PROPOSED REVISION TO TEXT
	REFERENCE	
Ordering Paragraph 4	Proposed Ordering Paragraph 4	4. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, and Southern California Gas Company shall cooperate fully with Energy Division's efforts to expedite the Total Energy Consumption Pilot and shall timely provide any energy usage data Energy Division deems necessary. Given the confidential nature of such data, Energy Division shall accord such data the full procedural protections of Public Utilities Code Section 583 and General Order 66-C, and shall not release such data to third parties without prior notice to, and consent of, the IOUs. In addition, prior to any release of such data to a third party, including a contractor for the Energy Division, such third party shall execute a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) that adequately addresses the confidential and proprietary nature of such data.
(addition to PD)	Proposed Ordering Paragraph 11	11. To support planning of the 2013-2015 Energy Efficiency Portfolio Applications, the PPD shall hold workshops within the EM&V workshop series addressing issues identified in parties' Responses To Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Setting Prehearing Conference, including: Examination of the current cost-effectiveness framework and avoided cost assumptions to be used in determining the amount of available cost-effective energy efficiency potential; Setting attainable goals for the 2013-15 Energy Efficiency program cycle; Coordination with the concurrent RRIM proceeding to establish and finalize a RRIM for the 2013-15 Energy Efficiency program cycle; Technical measurement and evaluation protocols and methodologies, and other administrative procedures for performing, presenting, and reviewing impact evaluations. The PPD should hold a preliminary procedural workshop

	_	
PD REFERENCE	PROPOSED	PROPOSED REVISION TO TEXT
	REFERENCE	
		within 30 days of the final decision in this proceeding in order to ensure that the Commission and interested parties have appropriate resources and are able to stay on track for key milestones and decisions.
Ordering Paragraph 11	Proposed Ordering Paragraph 12	12. Energy Division shall serve the 2013-2015 energy Efficiency Plan at the same time no later than 3 months prior to the time set by the Commission for the IOUs to file their 2013-2015 portfolio application.
Discussion – PD p. 35	Proposed Discussion – PD p.35	In D.10-04-029 we directed Energy Division to develop a protocol to measure and count savings from comparative usage programs using the Experimental Design methodologies found in the EM&V Protocols. That directive is being implemented through the Joint Energy Division/IOU Evaluation Plan for 2010-2012, which includes a review of best practices in this area and the development of a protocol and method for application to behavior based programs. We now direct the Energy Division to prioritize these activities such that the 2013-2015 Energy Efficiency EM&V Plan can benefit from the results. We further direct the Energy Division to assess how-whether Experimental Design can be used for the EM&V of California's Whole House Retrofit Program, including the Prescriptive Whole House Program, as suggested by TURN. Energy Division shall provide stakeholders with regular updates on its review of Experimental Design best practices, its development of a protocol and method for application to behavior based programs, and it's-the potential feasibility of application of applying Experimental Design to the Whole House Retrofit Program. These updates should be delivered through the EM&V workshop series. Where practical, the 2013-2015 Energy Efficiency EM&V Plan should rely on Experimental Design to determine program ex post savings.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, state that I am a citizen of the United States and am employed in the City and County of San Francisco; that I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within cause; and that my business address is Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Law Department B30A, 77 Beale Street, San Francisco, California 94105.

On October 18, 2010, I served a true copy of:

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED DECISION ON EVALUATION, MEASUREMENT, AND VERIFICATION OF CALIFORNIA UTILITY ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS

by electronic mail, or (for those parties without valid electronic mail addresses) by placing it for collection and mailing, in the course of ordinary business practice, with other correspondence of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage fully prepaid, addressed to:

All parties on the official service list for R. 09-11-014/

I certify and declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on October 18, 2010.	
	/s/
	Rene Anita Thomas

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

9watts@gmail.com;abb@eslawfirm.com;ABesa@SempraUtilities.com;achang@efficiencycouncil.org;aeo @cpuc.ca.gov;Alvssa.Cherry@sce.com;andrew.mcallister@energycenter.org;andy@efficiency20.com;an n.kelly@sfqov.org;ashley.watkins@energycenter.org;awp@cpuc.ca.gov;bfinkelstein@turn.org;bhopewell @peci.org;bjunker@energy.state.ca.us;bkates@opiniondynamics.com;blaising@braunlegal.com;cadicker son@cadconsulting.biz;cal.broomhead@sfgov.org;case.admin@sce.com;CBE@cpuc.ca.gov;cem@news data.com:CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com:cf1@cpuc.ca.gov:cheryl.collart@ventura.org:cin3@pge.com :ckavalec@energy.state.ca.us;cln@cpuc.ca.gov;craigtyler@comcast.net;cxc@cpuc.ca.gov;Cynthiakmitch ell@gmail.com:dgilligan@naesco.org:dil@cpuc.ca.gov;dschultz@energy.state.ca.us:edf@cpuc.ca.gov;ef m2@pge.com;ELVine@lbl.gov;enriqueg@greenlining.org;erasmussen@marinenergyauthority.org;eric@h arpiris.com;GHealy@SempraUtilities.com;irene.stillings@energycenter.org;j1pc@pge.com;Jazayeri@Bla nkRome.com;jeanne.sole@sfgov.org;Jeff.Hirsch@DOE2.com;Jennifer.Barnes@Navigantconsulting.com;j ennifer.green@energycenter.org;Jennifer.Shigekawa@sce.com;jerryl@abag.ca.gov;jl2@cpuc.ca.gov;jnc @cpuc.ca.gov;jody london consulting@earthlink.net;jst@cpuc.ca.gov;JYamagata@SempraUtilities.com; keh@cpuc.ca.gov;kmb@cpuc.ca.gov;ks3@cpuc.ca.gov;kwz@cpuc.ca.gov;larry.cope@sce.com;lettenson @nrdc.org;Lewis@BlankRome.com;lhj2@pge.com;liddell@energyattorney.com;lmh@eslawfirm.com;los @cpuc.ca.gov;lp1@cpuc.ca.gov;M1ke@pge.com;mang@turn.org;marilyn@sbesc.com;mary.tucker@sanj oseca.gov;mbaumhefner@nrdc.org;mgillette@enemoc.com;michael.sachse@opower.com;Mjaske@ener gy.state.ca.us;mkh@cpuc.ca.gov;mmw@cpuc.ca.gov;mmyers@vandelaw.com;mokeefe@efficiencycoun cil.org;mrw@mrwassoc.com;msutter@opiniondynamics.com;mtierney-

lloyd@enernoc.com;MWT@cpuc.ca.gov;nehemiah@benningfieldgroup.com;nfeller@BlankRome.com;nlong@nrdc.org;pcanessa@charter.net;pcf@cpuc.ca.gov;ppl@cpuc.ca.gov;pstoner@lgc.org;puja@opower.com;PVillegas@SempraUtilities.com;rafi.hassan@sig.com;RegRelCPUCCases@pge.com;rfg2@pge.com;rknight@bki.com;samuelk@greenlining.org;sbccog@southbaycities.org;sbender@energy.state.ca.us;SDPatrick@SempraUtilities.com;seb@cpuc.ca.gov;sephra.ninow@energycenter.org;service@spurr.org;Sharp@BlankRome.com;Shayna.Hirshfield@sanjoseca.gov;slda@pge.com;SRRd@pge.com;sschiller@efficiencycouncil.org;ssmyers@att.net;stephaniec@greenlining.org;sthompson@ci.irvine.ca.us;susan.munves@smgov.net;tburke@sfwater.org;tconlon@geopraxis.com;theresa.mueller@sfgov.org;vien@greenforall.org;vivian@greenforall.org;wem@igc.org;yxg4@pge.com;zap@cpuc.ca.gov;ztc@cpuc.ca.gov;

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

CASE COORDINATION

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

77 BEALE ST., PO BOX 770000 MC B9A

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105

Email: RegRelCPUCCases@pge.com

Status: INFORMATION

JENNY GLUZGOLD

PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO.

77 BEALE ST, B9A

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105

Email: yxg4@pge.com Status: INFORMATION

LISE JORDAN

PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

PO BOX 7442

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120

Email: lhj2@pge.com Status: INFORMATION

CHONDA J. NWAMU

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

77 BEALE ST, B30A

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177

FOR: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Email: cjn3@pge.com Status: INFORMATION

SHILPA RAMAIYA

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

PO B OX 7442

77 BEALE ST, MAIL CODE N3A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120

Email: SRRd@pge.com Status: INFORMATION

Simon Baker

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION

505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: seb@cpuc.ca.gov

Status: STATE-SERVICE

Jordana Cammarata

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION

505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: jnc@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE **EILEEN COTRONEO**

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

77 BEALE ST, MC B9A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105

Email: efm2@pge.com Status: INFORMATION

ROGER GOLDSTEIN

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

PO BOX 7442

245 MARKET ST, B9A

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120

Email: rfg2@pge.com Status: INFORMATION

SANDY LAWRIE ENERGY PROCEEDINGS

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

PO BOX 7442, MC B9A

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120 Email: slda@pge.com

Status: INFORMATION

JONATHAN D. PENDLETON ATTORNEY

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

77 BEALE ST, B30A

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105

Email: j1pc@pge.com

Status: INFORMATION

MICHAEL R. KLOTZ

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

77 BEALE ST, MS B30A, RM 3105B

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120

FOR: Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Email: M1ke@pge.com

Status: PARTY

CARMEN BEST

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

EMAIL ONLY

EMAIL ONLY CA 0

Email: CBE@cpuc.ca.gov

Status: STATE-SERVICE

Jeanne Clinton

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION

505 VAN NESS AVE RM 4008

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: cln@cpuc.ca.gov

Status: STATE-SERVICE

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

Cheryl Cox

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

DRA - ADMINISTRATIVE BRANCH 505 VAN NESS AVE RM 4101 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: cxc@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Darwin Farrar

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

505 VAN NESS AVE RM 5041 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: edf@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Peter Franzese

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION

505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: pcf@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Katherine Hardy

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION

505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: keh@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Jean A. Lamming

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION

505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: jl2@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Ayat E. Osman

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION

505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: aeo@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Anne W. Premo

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION
770 L ST, STE 1050
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
Email: awp@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Tim G. Drew

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION

505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: zap@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Cathleen A. Fogel

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION

505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: cf1@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Mikhail Haramati

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION

505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: mkh@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Peter Lai

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION 320 WEST 4TH ST STE 500 LOS ANGELES CA 90013

Email: ppl@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Kim Mahoney

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY PRICING AND CUSTOMER PROGRAMS

BRANCH

505 VAN NESS AVE RM 4104 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: kmb@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Lisa Paulo

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION

505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: lp1@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Kristina Skierka

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION

505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: ks3@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

Jeorge S. Tagnipes

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION

505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: jst@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

MATTHEW TISDALE

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

EMAIL ONLY EMAIL ONLY CA 0

Email: MWT@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Karen Watts-Zagha

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY PRICING AND CUSTOMER PROGRAMS

BRANCH

505 VAN NESS AVE RM 4104 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: kwz@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

GERALD LAHR

ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS

101 8TH ST, PO BOX 2050 OAKLAND CA 94607

FOR: Association of Bay Area Governments

Email: jerryl@abag.ca.gov

Status: PARTY

NATARA FELLER
BLANK ROME LLP

THE CHRYSLER BUILDING

405 LEXINGTON AVE

NEW YORK NY 10174-0208

Email: nfeller@BlankRome.com

Status: INFORMATION

CHRISTOPHER A. LEWIS

BLANK ROME LLP

ONE LOGAN SQURE 130 NORTH 18TH ST

PHILADELPHIA PA 19103-6998 Email: Lewis@BlankRome.com

Status: INFORMATION

AUDREY CHANG

CA ENERGY EFFICIENCY INDUSTRY COUNCIL

EMAIL ONLY EMAIL ONLY CA 0

Email: achang@efficiencycouncil.org

Status: INFORMATION

Zenaida G. Tapawan-Conway

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION

505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: ztc@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Carlos A. Velasquez

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

ENERGY DIVISION

505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: los@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

Status, STATE-SERV

Michael Wheeler

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

EXECUTIVE DIVISION 505 VAN NESS AVE RM 5206

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

Email: mmw@cpuc.ca.gov Status: STATE-SERVICE

NEHEMIAH STONE

BENNINGFIELD GROUP, INC.

EMAIL ONLY

EMIAL ONLY CA 00000-0000

Email: nehemiah@benningfieldgroup.com

Status: INFORMATION

PETER F. JAZAYERI BLANK ROME LLP

1925 CENTURY PARK, EAST STE 1900

LOS ANGELES CA 90067

Email: Jazayeri@BlankRome.com

Status: INFORMATION

CHRISTOPHER SHARP

BLANK ROME LLP

ONE LOGA SQUARE 130 NORTH 18TH ST

PHILADELPHIA PA 19103-6998 Email: Sharp@BlankRome.com

Status: INFORMATION

STEVEN R. SCHILLER

CA ENERGY EFFICIENCY INDUSTRY COUNCIL

EMAIL ONLY EMAIL ONLY CA 0

Email: sschiller@efficiencycouncil.org

Status: INFORMATION

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

CHRIS ANN DICKERSON
CAD CONSULTING

720B CANYON OAKS DRIVE

OAKLAND CA 94605

Email: cadickerson@cadconsulting.biz

Status: INFORMATION

IRENE M. STILLINGS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALIF. CTR. FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY

EMAIL ONLY

EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000

Email: irene.stillings@energycenter.org

Status: INFORMATION

ANDREW MCALLISTER

CALIFORNIA CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY

EMAIL ONLY

EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000

FOR: California Center For Sustainable Energy Email: andrew.mcallister@energycenter.org

Status: PARTY

SEPHRA A. NINOW

CALIFORNIA CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY

EMAIL ONLY

EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000

Email: sephra.ninow@energycenter.org

Status: INFORMATION

CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS

425 DIVISADERO ST., STE 303 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94117 Email: cem@newsdata.com Status: INFORMATION

BILL JUNKER

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

1516 9TH ST, MS 22 SACRAMENTO CA 95819

Email: bjunker@energy.state.ca.us

Status: STATE-SERVICE

DON SCHULTZ

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

1516 9TH ST

SACRAMENTO CA 95819

Email: dschultz@energy.state.ca.us

Status: STATE-SERVICE

MICHAEL O'KEEFE

CAL. ENERGY EFFICIENCY INDUSTRY COUNCIL

EMAIL ONLY

EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000

Email: mokeefe@efficiencycouncil.org

Status: INFORMATION

ROBERT L. KNIGHT

CAL. BLDG. PERFORMANCE CONTRATORS ASSN.

1000 BROADWAY, STE 410

OAKLAND CA 94607

FOR: California Building Performance Contractors

Association

Email: rknight@bki.com

Status: PARTY

JENNIFER GREEN

CALIFORNIA CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY

EMAIL ONLY

EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000

Email: jennifer.green@energycenter.org

Status: INFORMATION

ASHLEY WATKINS

CALIFORNIA CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY

EMAIL ONLY

EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000

Email: ashley.watkins@energycenter.org

Status: INFÓRMATION

SYLVIA BENDER

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

1516 9TH ST, MS20 SACRAMENTO CA 95814

Email: sbender@energy.state.ca.us

Status: STATE-SERVICE

CHRIS KAVALEC

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

1516 9TH ST

SACRAMENTO CA 95831

Email: ckavalec@energy.state.ca.us

Status: STATE-SERVICE

PETER CANESSA

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO

1211 CHAPARRAL CIRCLE SAN LUIS OBISPO CA 93401 Email: pcanessa@charter.net

Status: INFORMATION

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

SARA STECK MYERS ATTORNEY 122 28TH AVE.

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94121

FOR: Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable

Technologies
Email: ssmyers@att.net

Status: PARTY

DENNIS J. HERRERA

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

CITY HALL, RM 234

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102 Status: INFORMATION

THERESA L. MUELLER

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-4682 Email: theresa.mueller@sfgov.org

Status: INFORMATION

SHAYNA H. HIRSHFIELD

CITY OF SAN JOSE-ENVIRONMENTAL SVCS DEP

200 EAST SANTA CLARA SAN JOSE CA 95113

Email: Shayna.Hirshfield@sanjoseca.gov

Status: INFORMATION

SUSAN MUNVES ENERGY AND GREEN BLDG. PROG.

ADMIN.

CITY OF SANTA MONICA

1212 5TH ST, FIRST FLR SANTA MONICA CA 90401

Email: susan.munves@smgov.net

Status: INFORMATION

DON LIDDELL

DOUGLASS & LIDDELL

2928 2ND AVE

SAN DIEGO CA 92103

Email: liddell@energyattorney.com

Status: INFORMATION

ANDY FRANK

EFFECIENCY 2.0, LLC 165 WILLIAM ST., 10TH FLR

NEW YORK NY 10038

FOR: Effeciency 2.0, LLC

Email: andy@efficiency20.com

Status: PARTY

CAL BROOMHEAD DEPT OF ENVIRONMENT, ENERGY

SECTION

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

11 GROVE ST

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102 Email: cal.broomhead@sfgov.org

Status: INFORMATION

ANN KELLY DEPT. OF THE ENVIRONMENT

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

11 GROVE ST

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102 Email: ann.kelly@sfgov.org Status: INFORMATION

SHAWN THOMPSON

CITY OF IRVINE

1 CIVIC CENTER PLAZA

IRVINE CA 92646

Email: sthompson@ci.irvine.ca.us

Status: INFORMATION

MARY TUCKER

CITY OF SAN JOSE, ENVIRONMENTAL SRVC DEP

200 EAST SANTA CLARA ST., 10TH FLR.

SAN JOSE CA 95113-1905

Email: mary.tucker@sanjoseca.gov

Status: INFORMATION

JEANNE M. SOLE

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

CITY HALL, RM 234

1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLET PLACE SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-4682 FOR: Ckty and County of San Francisco

Email: jeanne.sole@sfqov.org

Email. jeanne.sole@sigov.org

Status: PARTY

Diana L. Lee

CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

LEGAL DIVISION

505 VAN NESS AVE RM 4107 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214

FOR: DRA

Email: dil@cpuc.ca.gov

Status: PARTY

ANDREW B. BROWN

ELLISON SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, L.L.P.

2600 CAPITOL AVE, STE 400 SACRAMENTO CA 95816-5905 Email: abb@eslawfirm.com

Status: INFORMATION

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

LYNN HAUG

ELLISON. SCHNEIDER & HARRIS L.L.P.

2600 CAPITAL AVE, STE 400 SACRAMENTO CA 95816 Email: Imh@eslawfirm.com Status: INFORMATION

CYNTHIA MITCHELL **ENERGY ECONOMICS, INC.** 530 COLGATE COURT **RENO NV 89503**

Email: Cynthiakmitchell@gmail.com

Status: INFORMATION

MONA TIERNEY-LLOYD SENIOR MANAGER WESTERN

REG. AFFAIRS ENERNOC, INC. **PO BOX 378** CAYUCOS CA 93430

Email: mtierney-lloyd@enernoc.com

Status: INFORMATION

THOMAS P. CONLON PRESIDENT

GEOPRAXIS PO BOX 5

SONOMA CA 95476-0005 FOR: GeoPraxis, Inc. Email: tconlon@geopraxis.com

Status: PARTY

VIVIAN CHANG GREEN FOR ALL

1611 TELEGRAPH AVE, STE 600

OAKLAND CA 94601

Email: vivian@greenforall.org Status: INFORMATION

JEFF HIRSCH

JAMES J. HIRSCH & ASSOCIATES

12185 PRESILLA ROAD CAMARILLO CA 93012-9243 Email: Jeff.Hirsch@DOE2.com Status: INFORMATION

G. PATRICK STONER PROGRAM DIRECTOR

LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMISSION

EMAIL ONLY

EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000 Email: pstoner@lgc.org Status: INFORMATION

REUBEN DEUMLING **ENERGY ECONOMICS INC.**

3309 SE MAIN ST PORTLAND OR 97214 Email: 9watts@gmail.com Status: INFORMATION

MELANIE GILLETTE DIR - WESTERN REG. AFFAIRS

ENERNOC. INC.

115 HAZELMERE DRIVE FOLSOM CA 95630 FOR: EnerNoc, Inc.

Email: mgillette@enernoc.com

Status: PARTY

MIKE JASKE **EMAIL ONLY**

EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000 Email: Miaske@energy.state.ca.us

Status: STATE-SERVICE

VIEN V. TRUONG, ESQ

GREEN FOR ALL

1611 TELEGRAPH AVE, STE 600

OAKLAND CA 94601 FOR: Green For All Email: vien@greenforall.org Status: INFORMATION

ERIC LEE

HARPIRIS ENERGY, LLC 25205 BARONET ROAD CORRAL DE TIERRA CA 93908

FOR: Harpiris Energy Email: eric@harpiris.com

Status: PARTY

FD VINE

LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY

BUILDING 90-400

BERKELEY CA 94720-8136 Email: ELVine@lbl.gov Status: INFORMATION

JODY LONDON

JODY LONDON CONSULTING

PO BOX 3629

OAKLAND CA 94609

FOR: Local Government Sustainable Energy Coalition

Email: jody london consulting@earthlink.net

Status: PARTY

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

ELIZABETH RASMUSSEN PROJECT MGR.

MARIN ENERGY AUTHORITY 781 LINCOLN AVE, STE 320 SAN RAFAEL CA 94901 FOR: Marin Energy Authority

Email: erasmussen@marinenergyauthority.org

Status: PARTY

DONALD GILLIGAN

NATIONAL ASSC. OF ENERGY SVC. COMPANIES

EMAIL ONLY EMAIL ONLY DC 0

FOR: National Association of Energy Services Companies

Email: dgilligan@naesco.org

Status: PARTY

MAX BAUMHEFNER LEGAL FELLOW

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL

111 SUTTER ST., 20TH FLR SAN FRANCISCO CA 91404 Email: mbaumhefner@nrdc.org Status: INFORMATION

JENNIFER BARNES

NAVIGANT CONSULTING, INC.

EMAIL ONLY

EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000

Email: Jennifer.Barnes@Navigantconsulting.com

Status: INFORMATION

MARY SUTTER

OPINION DYNAMICS CORPORATION

2415 ROOSEVELT DRIVE ALAMEDA CA 94501

Email: msutter@opiniondynamics.com

Status: INFORMATION

BRENDA HOPEWELL

PORTLAND ENERGY CONSERVATION, INC.

1400 SW 5TH AVE, STE 700 PORTALND OR 97201 Email: bhopewell@peci.org Status: INFORMATION

STEVEN D. PATRICK

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

555 WEST FIFTH ST, GT14G1 LOS ANGELES CA 90013-1011

FOR: San Diego Gas & Electric/SoCal Gas Email: SDPatrick@SempraUtilities.com

Status: PARTY

MRW & ASSOCIATES, LLC

EMAIL ONLY EMAIL ONLY CA 0

Email: mrw@mrwassoc.com Status: INFORMATION

LARA ETTENSON

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL

111 SUTTER ST, 20TH FLR SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104

FOR: Natural Resources Defense Council

Email: lettenson@nrdc.org

Status: PARTY

NOAH LONG

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL

111 SUTTER ST, 20TH FLR SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104 Email: nlong@nrdc.org Status: INFORMATION

BRAD KATES

OPINION DYNAMICS CORPORATION

230 THIRD FLR WALTHAM MA 2451

Email: bkates@opiniondynamics.com

Status: INFORMATION

MICHAEL SACHSE

OPOWER

1515 N. COURTHOUSE RD., STE 610

ARLINGTON VA 22201 FOR: OPower

Email: michael.sachse@opower.com

Status: PARTY

PUJA DEVERAKONDA

POSITIVE ENERGY

1911 FORT MYER DRIVE ARLINGTON VA 22209

Email: puja@opower.com Status: INFORMATION

ATHENA BESA

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP12F

SAN DIEGO CA 92123 Status: INFORMATION

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

ATHENA BESA

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY

EMAIL ONLY EMAIL ONLY CA 0

Email: ABesa@SempraUtilities.com

Status: INFORMATION

CENTRAL FILES

SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

8330 CENTURY PARK CT, CP32D, RM CP31-E

SAN DIEGO CA 92123-1530

Email: CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com

Status: INFORMATION

SCOTT BLAISING

BRAUN BLAISING MCLAUGHLIN, P.C.

915 L ST, STE 1270 SACRAMENTO CA 95814

FOR: San Joaquin Valley Power Authority

Email: blaising@braunlegal.com

Status: PARTY

PEDRO VILLEGAS

SEMPRA ENERGY UTILITIES

EMAIL ONLY

EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000

Email: PVillegas@SempraUtilities.com

Status: INFORMATION

MARILYN LYON SOUTH BAY CITIES COUNCIL OF

GOVERNMENTS

SOUTH BAY ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES CTR.

15901 HAWTHORNE BLVD., STE. 400

LAWNDALE CA 90260-2656 Email: marilyn@sbesc.com Status: INFORMATION

ALYSSA CHERRY

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON

6042A N. IRWINDALE AVE IRWINDALE CA 91702

Email: Alyssa.Cherry@sce.com

Status: INFORMATION

JENNIFER M. TSAO SHIGEKAWA

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE ROSEMEAD CA 91770

Email: Jennifer.Shigekawa@sce.com

Status: INFORMATION

JOY C. YAMAGATA

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC/SOCALGAS

8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP 32 D

SAN DIEGO CA 92123-1530

Email: JYamagata@SempraUtilities.com

Status: INFORMATION

THERESA BURKE

SAN FRANCISCO PUC

1155 MARKET ST, 4TH FLR

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94103 Email: tburke@sfwater.org

Status: INFORMATION

MICHAEL ROCHMAN MANAGING DIRECTOR SCHOOL PROJECT UTILITY RATE REDUCTION

1850 GATEWAY BLVD., STE. 235

CONCORD CA 94520 Email: service@spurr.org Status: INFORMATION

JACKI BACHARACH EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

SOUTH BAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

5033 ROCKVALLEY ROAD

RANCHO PALOS VERDES CA 90275

Email: sbccog@southbaycities.org

Status: INFORMATION

CASE ADMINISTRATION

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

LAW DEPARTMENT

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE, RM 370

ROSEMEAD CA 91770 Email: case.admin@sce.com

Status: INFORMATION

GREGORY HEALY

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY

555 WEST FIFTH ST, GT14D6 LOS ANGELES CA 90013-1011

Email: GHealy@SempraUtilities.com

Status: INFORMATION

LARRY COPE

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 WLANUT GROVE AVE ROSEMEAD CA 91770

FOR: Southern California Edison Email: larry.cope@sce.com

Status: PARTY

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

RAFI HASSAN

SUSQUEHANNA FINANCIAL GROUP, LLLP

101 CALIFORNIA ST, STE 3250 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111 Email: rafi.hassan@sig.com Status: INFORMATION

STEPHANIE C. CHEN

THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

EMAIL ONLY EMAIL ONLY CA 0

Email: stephaniec@greenlining.org

Status: INFORMATION

MARYBELLE C. ANG STAFF ATTORNEY THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

115 SANSOME ST. STE. 900

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104 Email: mang@turn.org Status: INFORMATION

CRAIG TYLER

TYLER & ASSOCIATES

2760 SHASTA ROAD BERKELEY CA 94708

Email: craiqtyler@comcast.net Status: INFORMATION

CHERYL COLLART

VENTURA COUNTY REGIONAL ENERGY ALLIANCE

1000 SOUTH HILL ROAD, STE. 230

VENTURA CA 93003

Email: chervl.collart@ventura.org

Status: INFORMATION

SAMUEL S. KANG

THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

1918 UNIVERSITY AVE, SECOND FLR

BERKELEY CA 94704

FOR: The Greenlining Institute Email: samuelk@greenlining.org

Status: PARTY

ENRIQUE GALLARDO

THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE

1918 UNIVERSITY AVE., 2ND FLR

BERKELEY CA 94704-1051

Email: enriqueq@greenlining.org

Status: INFORMATION

ROBERT FINKELSTEIN

THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK

115 SANSOME ST. STE 900 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104

FOR: TURN

Email: bfinkelstein@turn.org

Status: PARTY

MEGAN MYERS

VASQUEZ ESTRADA & DUMONT LLP

1000 FOURTH ST. STE 700 SAN RAFAEL CA 94901

Email: mmyers@vandelaw.com

Status: INFORMATION

BARBARA GEORGE

WOMEN'S ENERGY MATTERS

PO BOX 548

FAIRFAX CA 94978-0548

FOR: Women's Energy Matters

Email: wem@iqc.org Status: PARTY