Industrial Statewide Program

	Mission
SW Program: Industrial	To address energy challenges the industrial sector faces, the Statewide Industrial Program offers California's industrial segment a statewide-consistent suite of products and services designed to meet customer needs, overcome market barriers to optimized energy management, enhance adoption of integrated demand-side management (IDSM) practices, and advance the industry toward achieving the goals of the Strategic Plan. The program overcomes barriers through strategies that provide an integrated solution to the customer; create heightened awareness through education and outreach; and foster continuous energy improvement (CEI). The program also promotes use of commonly accepted standards—such as those established by the ISO or DOE SEP program to document a facility's attainment of high resource management levels—and branding and certification to garner market recognition for this achievement. In addition, it supports training to create a highly skilled energy efficiency workforce that is accessible to industry.

CA EE	ESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW P <u>rogram</u> :	CA EESP Ref. pp. #
1.	Support California industry's adoption of energy efficiency by integrating energy efficiency savings with achievement of GHG goals and other resource management objectives.	Goals, Page 45
2.	Provide centralized technical and public policy guidance for resource efficiency and workforce training.	
3.	By 2020, energy efficiency certification and benchmarking will become a standard industrial practice for businesses that are responsible for 80% of the sectoral energy usage.	
4.	By 2020, energy intensity (per gross dollar of production value) will be reduced by at least 25%.	
5.	There will be a trained workforce in energy management and systems energy efficiency.	

Short-term (2010-2012) "SMART" Program Objectives:	Source (SP,	IOU Recommendations and Comments
	AL, DR, PIP, or	
	Staff)*	

 By 2012, the percent of participation among small or hard-to-reach customers will increase by X/Y/Z% (by NAICS or SIC code). Want to see the programs having comprehensive outreach to do IDSM across all sizes, segments of customers. Also want customers to engage in continuous improvement. Equity issue: utilities engaged with 'leaders' but want to see increased activity with the rest of this segment. 	DR, IOUs, Staff	By the end of 2012, the percent of participation among customers less than 200kW or 50K therms will be track IOU Comments: Establishing a baseline will require a fairly large and lo market study. The need and value of an increase should defined based on the baseline results.
 2. By 2012, the percent of decision-maker awareness of integrated demand side management opportunities will increase by X%. Action vs awareness <i>definitions</i>. Who is the decision maker? Who are we asking about whether they are aware? How do you measure 'awareness'? Objective: Awareness now (action later down the road). Issues: How do you measure awareness in the Industrial segment: talk to which type of personnel? Awareness is very hard to measure; Action is easier for the utility to track/report on. Awareness can be measured but it is expensive and would need to be measured by utility. 	к 1	Move to IDSM.
 By 2012, the percent of participant awareness of Continuous Energy Improvement steps will increase by X%. Increase in customers' awareness of the STEPS of CEI, not necessarily the 'branded' CEI platform. Without intervention, however, getting customers to understand and work through the CEI steps is very difficult. Difficult to get customers to commit to the level of investment required by CEI. 	IOUs, Staff	Delete
4.		Revise to: By the end of 2012, the number of first time participant Energy Efficiency Programs will be tracked Comments: Objective coming from Deemed and Calculated (Obj.# modification because awareness would be too difficult measure with market study.

Short-term <u>Program</u> PPMs:	Source (SP,	Metric Type	Baseline	IOU Recommendations :
	AL, DR, PIP, or	(2a or 2b)**	Study	Comments
	Staff)*		Required	

				(Y/N)	
	Participation rate among small or hard-to-reach customers (by NAICS or SIC code) in each of the following subprograms: (a) Continuous Energy Improvement (b) Non-Residential Audits (c) Deemed Incentives and (d) Calculated Incentives – Need to define Small and Hard to Reach: under 200kW it's hard to distinguish btwn Sm & Med. HTR – often keyed to zip codes. For Industrial, HTR might be small, might be remote What is Industrial by NAICS? Utilities currently have facility level NAICS in use for CIA program distinction. Typically the small customer is the unassigned customer – and then hard-to-reach b/c of lack of direct relationship with utility. Can we develop a better definition for participation, small, HTR, etc. (firm up definitions by when? Through statewide bi-monthly meetings? In next week, can sub-set of SW team come up with a definition for these terms?) so that this metric might be more Type 2 in future cycles? How is measurement going to occur: % of all participants that are either "small" or "HTR". Harder to look at entire population and determine % who participated. Easier to start measurement with those who participated.	IOUs, Staff	1 – tracking, not reporting	N	Revise to: Number of customers participati programs (Deemed, Customize CEI) per NAICS code and size (kW) and (+/-50,000 therms per gas) Comment: In order to track something that easily defined, we have chosen of customers and their NAICS.
2.		PIPs	2b Where are we now?	Y	Move to IDSM
3.	Participant in Subprograms (not p in CEI) awareness of Continuous Energy Improvement steps in each of the following subprograms: (a) Non-Residential Audits (b) Deemed Incentives and (c)	IOUs, Staff	2b	Y	Delete

Calculated Incentives.		
The utilities are just beginning CEI – Should we measure		
something that is just getting launched and has very few		
participants or do we want to have success that we can take to		
additional customers? CEI is listed in the PIPs multiple times - so		
is it too much to ask to measure awareness now and/or what can		
we track to determine whether the subprograms are feeding the		
right customers into CEI? Since the PIPs discussed the		
subprograms feeding CEI, how can this be measured?		
What about measuring # of customers the IOUs attract to attend		
educational workshops about CEI, etc.? What are types of activities		
IOUs are already tracking?		
ED wants to stay away from metrics around things that will be		
tracked in the M&V.		
Proposal: Utilities invite customers to CEI training (an 'intake'		
mechanism), measure # of attendees, and measure attendees'		
awareness before/after attending the training.		
UTILITIES TO PROVIDE METRIC PROPOSAL: What will be		
meaningful and do-able around attracting customers to CEI		
(i.e. education/marketing) and interest/awareness once		
pursued by utility.		

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.] **Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

Long-Term (2013-2020) "SMART" <u>Program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Recommendations and Con
1. By 2020, the percentage of participants in each of the following subprograms who go on	IOUs, Staff	Revise to:
 to implement a long-term energy plan under the Continuous Energy Improvement subprogram will exceed the following thresholds: (a) Non-Residential Audit – X% (b) Calculated Incentives – Y% (c) Deemed Incentives – Z% 		By the end of 2020, the percentage participants in the Industrial progra go on to implement a long-term ene plan will reach X%
This was initially a short term metric, but was too aggressive so made it into the LT metrics.		Comments: X will be defined by the end of 2012 on a baseline study done during the

by	2020, energy intensity (per gross dollar of production value (define?)) will be reduced least 25 percent. to define: Production Value or Define energy intensity for Industrial	SP	Revise to: By the end of 2020, energy intensit gross dollar of production value) wi reduced by least 25 percent compa 2008
			Comment: This objective makes sense at the level but utilities cannot be solely accountable for achieving it through energy efficiency programs. Should objective be part of this document?
se	2020, the percentage of large customers (businesses that are responsible for 80% of ctoral energy usage) that adopt energy efficiency certification and benchmarking will crease by X% [relative to a baseline]	SP	Revise to: By the end of 2020, the percentage participating large customers (busin that are responsible for 80% of sec energy usage) that adopt energy en- certification and/or benchmarking v X%
			Comments: The number X will be set by the en 2012 when certification (ISO 5000 ASME 2000, etc.) and industrial fac benchmarking will be better defined
SD-Strato	aic Plan AI - Advice Letter, DR-Data Request Response, PIR-program plans, Staff-F	D proposod (In

₋ong-Term MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (3)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	IOU Recommendations and Co
 Percent of participants in each of the following subprograms who go on to implement a long-term energy plan under the Continuous- Energy Improvement program: (a) Non-Residential Audit, (b) Calculated Incentives and (c) Deemed Incentives 	IOUs, Staff	3	N	Revise to: Percentage of participants in the I programs who go on to implement term energy plan.

	Linking to CEI might not be the best tactic due to limited CEI program plan, budget, etc. Perhaps a modification should be proposed to better link market transformation in the Industrial sector. Do you start here with an awareness study? (Gary S)				
2.		SP	3	Y	Delete Comment: not utility dependent
3.	for 80% of sectoral energy usage) that adopt energy efficiency certification and benchmarking. (Verbatim from the SP – Superior Energy Performance (SEP) ISO 50001 certification). Branding, Marketing Is this an interim step on the way to the customer engaging in an energy plan and saving energy? Benchmarking: can this be done with Industrial (as easily as for commercial via Energy Star?)	SP	3	N	Revise to: Percentage of large customers participating in programs (busines are responsible for 80% of sector of usage) that adopt energy efficienc certification and/or benchmarking
	Other than benchmarking, however, this metric makes sense.				

Industrial – Continuous Energy Improvement Subprogram

	Mission
SW Program: Industrial	Continuous Energy Improvement (CEI), a non-resource sub-program, describes a collection of
SW <u>Sub</u> -program: Continuous	strategic planning tools and resources that lay the groundwork for long-term integrated energy
Energy Improvement	planning and serve as a launching platform for other utility and non-utility programs and services.
	Through analysis, benchmarking, long-term goal setting, project implementation support,
	performance monitoring, and potentially access to energy management certification

CA EESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW Subprogram:	CA EESP Ref. pp. #
See program level.	

Short	term (2010-2012) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Recommendations and Com
1.	By 2012 lessons learned, best practices, and plan to ramp up the CEI Program (if desirable) will be developed (Y/N)		Revised to: By the end of 2012 lessons learned, bes practices, and recommendation to ramp CEI Program (if desirable) will be develo
2.	By 2012, X% of targeted industrial customers will implement long-term energy planning via CEI		Revised to: By the end of 2012, the number of indus customers who created an energy plan be tracked.
3.	By 2012, X% of industrial CEI participants are meeting their short-term milestones	IOUs, Staff	Revised to: By the end of 2012, the number of indus participants that meet short-term (2010- milestones from their plan will be tracker
4.	By 2012, participant awareness of IDSM opportunities as a result of participating in the CEI program will exceed $X\%$	PIPs	Move to IDSM

Chart town Cub was were DDMay	Courses (CD	Matria Truca	Deceline	IOU Decommendations and Com
Short-term <u>Sub-program</u> PPMs:	Source (SP,	Metric Type	Baseline	IOU Recommendations and Com
	AL, DR, PIP,	(2a or 2b)**	Study	

		or Staff)*		Required (Y/N)	
1.	Lessons learned, best practices, and a plan to ramp up the CEI Program are developed (Y/N) (To be covered in CEI discussion)	PIP	2b	N	Revised to: Lessons learned, best practices, and recommendations to ramp up the CEI are developed, if appropriate (Y/N)
2.	 Percent of targeted industrial customers who are defined (or created) an energy plan via CEI (A plan would have long and short term goals) Utilities to define <i>targeted industrial</i> customers: likely the 'pipeline' of potential customers. Kay's suggested definition of "Targeted": Those the utilities wish to bring into the program, not just those who have heard about the program. Utilities do not want to sell CEI to customers who are not 'ready'. Some customers may be screened "out" of CEI by utility. 		2a		Revised to: Number of industrial customers who c energy plan via CEI
3.	Percent of industrial CEI participants meeting their short-term milestones from their plan. Goal: To find out if the customers who have signed up for CEI actually implementing their plan. Did customers sign up and then not act? Are customers acting on their short term goals? Did they start working on their plan as layed out?	IOUs, Staff	2a	N	Revised to: Number of industrial CEI participants i short-term (2010- 2012) milestones fro energy plan
		PIPs	2b	Y	Moved to IDSM

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page ref when applicable.] **Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

Long-Term (2013-2020) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source	IOU Recommendations and Co
	(SP, AL,	
	DR, PIP, or	
	Staff)*	
1. By 2015, the percent of Calculated Incentive customers who go on to implement a long-term	IOUs, Staff	Revised to:
energy plan under the CEI program will increase by X%.		By the end of 2015, the number of
		participants who go on to implem

		term energy plan will reach X.
2. Du 2015, the sumber of CEL industrial participants who estimus langes term milestance es	Otoff	Comments: The number X will be set by the 2012 when better visibility on CE will be available.
 By 2015, the number of CEI industrial participants who achieve longer-term milestones as identified their long term energy plans increases by X% [or X]. 	Staff	Revised to: By the end of 2015, the number of participants who achieve milesto identified in their long term energy will reach X. IOU Comments: The number X will be set by the 2012 when better visibility on CE will be available.
 By 2015 industrial customers who are implementing a long-term CEI plan, without IOU support, will increase by X% 		Delete
-Strategic Plan AI-Advice Letter, DR-Data Request Response, PID-program plans, Staff-ED r		Comments: Not under utilities' control

Long-Term Sub-program MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (3)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	IOU Recommendations and C
 Percent of industrial CEI participants who achieve longer-term milestones as identified in their long-term energy plans 				Revise to: Number of CEI participants who a milestones as identified in their lo energy plans
2. Percent of industrial IOU customers who continue to implement a long-term energy plan via the CEI program, without IOU support	IOUs, Staff	3	N	Delete.

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

Industrial – Non-Residential Audit Subprogram

	Mission
SW Program: Industrial	Nonresidential Audits, including basic audits and Integrated Audits plus Retro-Commissioning
SW Sub-program: Non- Residential	(RCx) audits, provide an inventory of technical project opportunities and financial analysis
	information that can be used to support a customer's short- or long-term energy plan, and
	overcome both informational and technical customer barriers.

CA EESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW Subprogram:	CA EESP Ref. pp. #
See program level.	

ort-	term (2010-2012) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Recommendations and
1.	By 2012, the number of onsite audits that are integrated will exceed X%. (IDSM)	p. 3 – PIP/D 09- 090047 (p. 215)	Move to IDSM
2.	By 2012, NRA participants that also participate in another IOU-sponsored EE, DR or DG program exceeds X%. (IDSM)	D 09-09-047, p. 3	Move to IDSM
3.	By 2012 X% of NRA participants implement measures without needing an IOU incentive	PIP p.2 Audit Section (audits table)	ОК
4.	By 2012, the percent of each customer class receiving integrated and non-integrated audits will increase by X%.	PIP p.2 Audit Section (audits table)	ОК
5.	By 2012, the percent of customers who receive audits that adopt audit-recommended technologies, processes and practices will increase by X%.	Staff	ОК

Short-term Sub-program PPMs: Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*			IOU Recommendations and Con
--	--	--	-----------------------------

*****Draft for Discussion Purposes *****

1.	Percentage of onsite audits that are integrated. (IDSM)	Staff, DR	2a	N	Move to IDSM
2.	Participation rate in another IOU-sponsored EE, DG or DR program. (IDSM)	PIPs	2a	N	Move to IDSM
3.	Participation rate of non-residential audits (onsite) by customer class (as appropriate for onsite audits). Staff believes it is important to see distribution of audits over types of customers. IOUs: what if certain types of customers are better suited for audits?	Staff, DR	2a	Y	Move to IDSM
	Participation rate = # within a "customer class" of those who have and have not participated on a cumulative (over <i>what</i> timeframe?) basis in a non-res audit. # of audits per year per class: quantity vs quantity of audits - Timeframe: within what timeframe is the information from the audit still relevant and meaningful for action on the part of the customer? (Example: 5 years? Rolling 5 years?)				
	Customer class = NAICS II (facility) and SIC codes Hard to track: Several non-res audits offerings do not collect customers' NAICS codes. (objective: included for an equity question? Yes, as well as getting knowledge base of who is getting audits currently)				
	Proposed by David J.: Audits directly/indirectly influenced xxx kWh. Cons: audits aren't the only influencing factor.				
4.	Proportion of customers who receive audits that go on to adopt audit-recommended technologies, processes and procedures.	PIP	2B	N	Move to IDSM

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.] **Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

Long-T	erm (2013-2020) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP,	IOU Recommendations and Con
		AL, DR, PIP,	
		or Staff)*	

None proposed at subprogram level. (See program level)	NA

Long-Term Sub-program MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (3)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	IOU Recommendations and C
None proposed at subprogram level (See program level)				NA

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

Industrial – Deemed Incentives Subprogram

	Mission
SW Program: Industrial	The Deemed rebate offering provides utility representatives, equipment vendors, and customers
SW <u>Sub</u> -program: Deemed	an easy-to-use mechanism to cost- effectively subsidize and encourage adoption of mass market
Incentives	efficiency measures through fixed incentive amounts per unit/measure for energy saved/projects installed. While Deemed rebates lend themselves well to penetrating the small and medium customer market, they are also a cost effective and efficient way to process large customer projects targeted through large customer strategies.

CA EESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW Subprogram:	CA EESP Ref. pp.#
See program level.	

Short-	term (2010-2012) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Recommendations and C
1.	By 2012, the percent of industrial customers who are aware of the Deemed Incentives program will increase by X%.	PIPs (PG&E p12)	Move to Industrial over-arching (#4)
2.	By 2012, the supply of qualified industrial specialists will increase by X%.	Staff, SP	Move to WET
3.	By 2012, customer implementation of integrated opportunities (e.g., EE, DR, waste management measures, etc.) will increase by X%.	PIPs (SCE p293, PG&E p14)	Move to IDSM
5.	By 2012, the percent in the number of installed measures that are ETP or "advanced technology" relative to baseline will increase by X%.	PIPs (SCE p293- 4, 322; PG&E p11)	Revise to: By the end of 2012, the number of ne or ETP measures will increase by X% baseline (2006-2008).
			Comments: The X will be provided by the end of baseline will be established in analyz 2008 catalog of deemed measures.

Short-term <u>Sub-program</u> PPMs:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP or Staff)*		
 Participant adoption rate of installed measures that are ETP or "advanced technologies." Suggestion: measure # of 1st time participants 	PIPs, DR?	2a	Revise to: Number of ETP, new and imp measures in program, when a

**Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Recommendations and
Staff	Revise to: By 2015, and every three years measures determined to be "sta are phased out at various levels (depending on the type of techno customer class) and replaced by improved, or ETP measures. Comments: "Standard Practice" will be define
	(SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]

Long-Term Sub-program MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (3)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	IOU Recommendations an
 Measures determined to be "standard practice" are moved out of the program, and program focused on ET/Advanced technology (Y/N) 	Staff	3	N	Revise to: Measures determined to be "st practice" are phased out at var the program (depending on the technology within the customer

	replaced by new, improved, or E (Y/N)				
*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference					

when applicable.]

Industrial – Calculated Incentives Subprogram

	Mission
SW Program: Industrial	The Calculated program offering_provides standardized incentives for customized and integrated
SW <u>Sub</u> -program: Calculated	energy efficiency/DR projects in new construction, retrofit, and RCx projects, and offers
Incentives	comprehensive technical and design assistance for each. It overcomes information, technical,
	and financial barriers. As a more customized calculation method that can consider system and
	resource interactions, it will also be the preferred approach for supporting the integrated, whole
	system, and multi-resource management strategies of the Strategic Plan.

CA EESP Goals/Strategies Addressed by SW Subprogram:	CA EESP Ref. pp.#
See program level.	

in BOL	term (2010-2012) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives: (Please note that items _D are consistent with proposed objectives in the Statewide Commercial and Itural programs)	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Recommendations and Commer
1.	By 2012, the percent of industrial customers who are aware of the Calculated Incentives program will increase by X%.	PIPs (PG&E p12)	Move to Industrial over-arching (#4)
2.	By 2012, the supply of qualified industrial specialists will increase by X%. This objective comes from the SP; doesn't have a PPM to go with it, but ED wanted to highlight it as something that might be incorporated into PIPs in the future. ME&O training efforts as part of the Industrial program.	Staff, SP	Move to WET
3.	By 2012, customer implementation of integrated opportunities (e.g., EE, DR, waste management measures, etc.) will increase by X%.	PIPs (SCE p293, PG&E p14)	Move to IDSM
4.	By 2012, the number of installed measures that are ETP or "advanced technology" relative to baseline will increase by X%. Trying to stay away from standard practice. So can we measure the degree to which the ETP/AT is being encourage/supported by the utilities. ETP and AT definition: can utilities suggest definitions for ETP and AT? Refer to naming convention proposed to be used by the utilities so that customized projects could be reviewed for "ETP" technologies. Not goal of converting technologies from ET Program but to measure the progressive solutions offered to customers (beyond 'standard practice').	p11)	Revise to: By the end of 2012, the number of completed calculated projects that include an ETP meas be tracked relative to baseline (2006-2008). Comments: The baseline will be established by analyzing 2006-2008 calculated projects. Difficulties wi form embedded ET measures in not flagged

		projects. Moving forward we will need to flag that include ET measures.
--	--	---

ort-term <u>Sub-program</u> PPMs:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (2a or 2b)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	IOU Recommendations and Co
 Participant adoption rate of installed measures that are ETP or "advanced technologies." Goal: Trying to get more customer to adopt advanced or emerging technologies. To what extent are we moving customers beyond standard practice and moving standard technologies out of the program? Confer with ETP teams to find out what they are measuring, etc. and how we can leverage this? Q: # of technologies versus penetration? A: Penetration as % of customers that adopt one of these technologies. (Utilities need to define this) ED reviewing workpapers that are questionable (Peter Ly) – Can these projects be tracked? ETP provides a pipeline of new technologies, but whether that pipeline includes opportunities for Industrial is not guaranteed. Blue sky, underadopted "old" technologies, underadopted "new" technologies 		2a	Y	Revise to: Number of projects with ETP wh available measures when includ
Suggestion: measure # of 1 st time participants				

**Metric type: 2a = reported annually, 2b = reported by end of cycle.

Long-Term (2013-2020) "SMART" <u>Sub-program</u> Objectives:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	IOU Recommendations a Comments
 By 2015, and every three years thereafter, all measures determined to be "standard practice" are moved out of the incentive program, and replaced by ETP or "Advanced Technology" measures. 	Staff	Revise to: By 2015, and every three years thereafter, measures determined "standard practice" are phased o

	various levels of the progra on the type of technology customer class) and repla measures.	within
	Comments: "Standard Practice" will be the end of 2012.	e define
*SD-Stratogic Dian AL-Advice Latter DD-Data Dequest Desponse DID-program plans Staff-ED p	proposed [Include page reference	

Long-Term Sub-program MT Indicators:	Source (SP, AL, DR, PIP, or Staff)*	Metric Type (3)**	Baseline Study Required (Y/N)	IOU Recommendations and Com
 Number of measures determined to be "standard practice" from the previous program cycle that remain in the current incentive program 	Staff	3		Revise to: Measures determined to be "standard practice" are phased out at various lev the program (depending on the type of technology within the customer class) replaced by ETP measures. (Y/N)

*SP=Strategic Plan, AL=Advice Letter, DR=Data Request Response, PIP=program plans, Staff=ED proposed. [Include page reference when applicable.]