

From: Dietz, Sidney
Sent: 10/20/2010 11:50:06 AM
To: 'Kaneshiro, Bruce' (bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: [Redacted]
[Redacted] Roscow, Steve
(steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Call with [Redacted] and [Redacted] ?

Bruce --

[Redacted] is out on Friday, could we shoot for Monday at 1000a?

yours,
sid

From: Kaneshiro, Bruce [mailto:bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2010 9:33 AM
To: Dietz, Sidney
Cc: [Redacted] Roscow, Steve
Subject: RE: Call with [Redacted] and [Redacted]

Sid-

How about 10 am on Friday?

To clarify the purpose of the call, Steve and I would like to understand the rationale for the residential PDP design. Specifically, what CPUC policies or state laws are in play that influenced the design of the rate? We want to better understand why this rate has a tiered structure with a TOU/ CPP overlay.

If you can send us the cites to the relevant CPUC policy or state law in advance of the meeting, that would be appreciated.

Bruce

From: Kaneshiro, Bruce
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2010 5:05 PM
To: 'Dietz, Sidney'
Cc: [Redacted] Roscow, Steve
Subject: RE: Call with [Redacted] and [Redacted]

Sid-

Tomorrow won't work for us. Let me get back to you with a timeslot and date.

Bruce

From: Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2010 4:55 PM

To: Kaneshiro, Bruce

Cc: Redacted

Subject: Call with Redacted and Redacted

Bruce --

You mentioned wanting to chat with them about the rates that have both tiers and TOU, would a call tomorrow at 1130a work for you?

yours,

sid