

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**Order Instituting Rulemaking to Examine the
Commission's Post-2008 Energy Efficiency
Policies, Programs, Evaluation, Measurement,
and Verification, and Related Issues.**

**Rulemaking 09-11-014
(Filed November 20, 2009)**

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S COMMENTS
ON THE PROPOSED DECISION ON EVALUATION, MEASUREMENT, AND
VERIFICATION OF CALIFORNIA UTILITY
ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS**

**ANN H. KIM
MICHAEL R. KLOTZ**

**Law Department
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P.O. Box 7442
77 Beale Street, MSB30A
San Francisco, CA 94120
Telephone: (415) 973-7565
Facsimile: (415) 973-0516
E-Mail: m1ke@pge.com**

**Attorneys for
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**

October 18, 2010

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**Order Instituting Rulemaking to Examine the
Commission’s Post-2008 Energy Efficiency
Policies, Programs, Evaluation, Measurement,
and Verification, and Related Issues.**

**Rulemaking 09-11-014
(Filed November 20, 2009)**

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY’S COMMENTS
ON THE PROPOSED DECISION ON EVALUATION, MEASUREMENT, AND
VERIFICATION OF CALIFORNIA UTILITY
ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS**

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC or Commission) Rule of Practice and Procedure 14.3, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) comments on the September 28, 2010 Proposed Decision on Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification of California Energy Efficiency Programs (PD).

PG&E supports the Commission’s efforts to examine the Evaluation, Measurement and Verification (EM&V) framework for Energy Efficiency (EE) programs to ensure that effective and reliable methodologies are in place to further California’s ambitious energy and climate change objectives. In particular, PG&E supports the Commission’s stated objective of this EM&V review — “to prepare for the 2013-2015 energy efficiency program cycle by updating our energy efficiency EM&V and ensuring effective EM&V of resource objectives and progress in achieving the goals of the strategic plan.”^{1/} To ensure consistency with this stated objective, the Commission should adopt the following revisions to the PD:

^{1/} PD at p. 26.

- The EM&V plan should be issued in time for the Investor-Owned Utilities (IOUs) to incorporate the findings of the EM&V plan into their planning for the 2013-2015 cycle, as opposed to concurrently with the submission of program applications.
- The PD should order the Commission’s Policy and Planning Division (PPD) to hold workshops to address issues related to cost-effectiveness and energy efficiency potential, coordination of the EM&V plan with the Risk-Reward Incentive Mechanism (RRIM) proceeding [R.09-01-019]; setting the goals for the 2013-2015 EE program cycle, and other technical and procedural evaluation issues, as discussed in the parties’ comments in this proceeding. In particular, PPD should hold a preliminary procedural workshop within 30 days of the final decision in this proceeding in order to ensure that the Commission and interested parties have appropriate resources and are able to stay on track for key milestones and decisions.

In addition, the Commission should revise the PD to address technical errors and outstanding issues related to aspects of the proposed EM&V review including the following:

- Applying experimental design methodologies to evaluate the Whole House Program may be a flawed approach as establishing a proper control group may not be achievable. The Commission should direct the parties to explore its potential application in the workshop addressing the scalability of experimental design rather than order Energy Division staff to report out to parties through the workshop series the status of its application.
- The Commission should order that any customer usage data provided by the IOUs to Energy Division to support the Total Energy Consumption Pilot shall be accorded the full procedural protections of Public Utilities Code Section 583 and General Order 66-C, and that such data shall not be released to third parties without prior notice to, and consent of, the IOUs and execution of a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) that adequately addresses the confidential and proprietary nature of such data.
- The PD’s proposed new method of assigning EE attribution is flawed as it does not further the stated purpose of resource planning through an understanding of total market gross load impacts.

II. COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED DECISION

A. THE EM&V PLAN SHOULD BE ISSUED PRIOR TO SUBMISSION OF THE 2013-2015 ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAM APPLICATIONS.

The Commission should revise Ordering Paragraph (OP) 11 of the PD regarding the timing of Energy Division’s issuance of the EM&V plan to be consistent with the EM&V review’s stated objective of “prepar[ing] for the 2013-2015 energy efficiency program

cycle.” The PD currently calls for issuance of the plan at the same time the IOUs submit their applications for the 2013-2015 EE program cycle.^{2/} To further the stated objective of the EM&V review, the Commission should order Energy Division to serve the EM&V plan in time for the IOUs to incorporate its findings into their planning for the 2013-2015 program cycle, as opposed to after the portfolios have already been designed and the applications have been submitted.^{3/} This will allow for more efficient planning of the portfolios and prevent the need for multiple submissions of the IOUs’ program applications. As such, OP 11 of the PD should be revised as follows^{4/}:

~~11.~~ 12. Energy Division shall serve the 2013-2015 Energy Efficiency Plan ~~at the same time~~ no later than 3 months prior to the time set by the Commission for the IOUs to file their 2013-2015 portfolio applications.

In its March 15, 2010, *Response To Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Setting Prehearing Conference* (PG&E Prehearing Conference Proposal), PG&E proposed a schedule for the EM&V review to support planning and implementation of the 2013-2015 EE Portfolio Applications. That proposed schedule contemplated IOU submission of their 2013-2015 program applications in December of 2011.^{5/} Based on that schedule, Energy Division should serve the EE EM&V Plan no later than September 1, 2011.

PG&E updates the 2013-2015 EE portfolio planning schedule that it submitted on

^{2/} PD at OP 11 (“Energy Division shall serve the 2013-2015 energy Efficiency Plan at the same time IOUs file their 2013-2015 portfolio application”).

^{3/} This is consistent with PG&E’s prehearing proposal where it recommended that the EM&V review be completed and all issues resolved in time to incorporate findings into planning the 2013-2015 portfolio. *See Pacific Gas And Electric Company’s (U 39 M) Response To Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Setting Prehearing Conference*, p.5, filed March 15, 2010 (“PG&E Prehearing Conference Proposal”); *see also Comments Of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 M) And Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G) Regarding Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Setting Prehearing Conference*, p. 4.

^{4/} Note that OP 11 has become Proposed OP 12 due to the proposed addition of an additional ordering paragraph, discussed in Section II. B.

^{5/} PG&E Prehearing Conference Proposal, p. 5.

March 15, 2010 to reflect the time interval from the portfolio implementation date in addition to the hard dates previously proposed. [Note: based on this schedule the cost effectiveness decision is already overdue.]

PG&E'S PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR 2013-2015 EE PORTFOLIO		
	Date relative to Program Implementation Date (T)	Date Assuming January 1, 2013 Implementation
Cost-Effectiveness Decision	T minus 30 months	July 2010
Goals Decision	T minus 25 months	December 2010
Update California Long-Term Strategic Plan	T minus 22 months	March 2011
RRIM Finalized in Concurrent, Separate Proceeding	T minus 21 months	April 2011
EM&V Policies and Procedures Decision (EM&V Plan)	T minus 18 months	July 2011
Utility Develops EE Programs for Filing	T minus 14 to 17 months	Aug – Nov 2011
IOU Application Filed	T minus 13 months	December 2011
EE Program Final Decision	T minus 6 months	July 2012
EE Program Implementation Preparation	T minus 3 months	Oct-Dec 2012
EE Program Implementation Start	T	January 1, 2013

B. THE PROPOSED DECISION SHOULD ORDER WORKSHOPS ON OTHER RELEVANT EM&V PLANNING ISSUES ALREADY IDENTIFIED IN PARTIES' COMMENTS.

The PD states that “[c]omments and workshops in this proceeding may not have identified all relevant EM&V issues that need to be addressed in preparing the 2013-2015 EM&V Energy Efficiency Plan.”^{6/} Therefore the Commission has authorized the PPD to use the workshop series to address such issues.^{7/} In particular, Conclusion of Law No. 8 states that “[i]t is reasonable for PPD to utilize the EM&V workshop series ordered herein to identify and address issues which require further stakeholder consideration.”

^{6/} PD, Conclusion of Law 6.

^{7/} PD, Conclusion of Law 8.

PG&E strongly supports the Commission’s decision to “[p]rovide a forum for the airing of additional EM&V issues as necessary.”^{8/} In their respective comments, parties have, in fact, already identified certain, specific, additional EM&V issues, relevant to planning their 2013-2015 EE programs and application, which are not addressed in the PD. In addition to the general authority the Commission grants the PPD to identify and address further relevant issues, the Commission should order that the PPD hold workshops on the issues that have already been identified by stakeholders as critical to planning their 2013-2015 EE portfolios.

In the prehearing proposals, parties identified important issues that should be included within the comprehensive EM&V review, and resolved before planning the 2013-2015 portfolios.^{9/} These include:

- Cost effectiveness issues - Examination of the current cost-effectiveness framework and avoided cost assumptions to be used in determining the amount of available cost-effective EE potential.
- Goals - Setting attainable goals for the 2013-15 EE program cycle in coordination with program cycle planning.
- RRIM - Coordination with the concurrent RRIM proceeding to establish and finalize a RRIM for the 2013-15 EE program cycle. The RRIM structure impacts portfolio operation and implementation, as well as the appropriate approach to EM&V to ensure a sufficient precision to satisfy the needs of program administrators and other interested parties. The lack of an established RRIM prior to program and EM&V planning greatly increases the likelihood of contention and conflict over subsequent EM&V results and may require costly, sub-optimal mid-course alterations to EM&V plans.

^{8/} PD at p. 40.

^{9/} PG&E Prehearing Conference Proposal, pp. 4-5. *See also Comments Of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 M) And Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G) Regarding Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Setting Prehearing Conference*, p. 4; *Southern California Edison Company’s Proposal (U 338-E) In Response To Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Setting Prehearing Conference*, p. 4.

- Technical and Procedural Aspects of Impact Evaluations - Through the workshop series, the Commission should adopt a technical protocol for final measurement and evaluation of EE programs that, at a minimum, should provide for application of uniform evaluation methodologies, concrete timelines and milestones, protocols for provision of supporting data, sufficient time for comprehensive analysis of findings, and a mutually agreed upon dispute resolution procedure. The Commission should also assemble a technical team, comprised of representatives from Energy Division, the IOUs and industry experts, to review impact evaluations (or any other applicable evaluation format) to make final determinations as to the amount of savings achieved pursuant to the established protocol.

The PD focuses mainly on exploring potentially new methodologies for evaluation (i.e., the scalability of experimental design, and the Total Energy Consumption Pilot).

However, it does not address many technical and procedural issues related to more traditional aspects of EM&V and impact evaluations that may remain as part of the evaluation of the overall success of EE programs in the next cycle. Consistent with the Commission's directive to provide a forum for airing additional EM&V issues as necessary, the Commission should order that the PPD hold workshops addressing those issues and should amend the PD to include the following, additional Ordering Paragraph:

11. To support planning of the 2013-2015 EE Portfolio Applications, the PPD shall hold workshops within the EM&V workshop series addressing issues identified in parties' Responses To Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Setting Prehearing Conference, including:

- Examination of the current cost-effectiveness framework and avoided cost assumptions to be used in determining the amount of available cost-effective EE potential;
- Setting attainable goals for the 2013-15 EE program cycle;
- Coordination with the concurrent RRIM proceeding to establish and finalize a RRIM for the 2013-15 EE program cycle;
- Technical measurement and evaluation protocols and methodologies, and other administrative procedures for performing, presenting, and reviewing impact consistent with improving portfolio performance in cycle evaluations.

C. THE PD SHOULD CLARIFY THAT STAKEHOLDERS SHOULD EXPLORE WHETHER APPLICATION OF EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN METHODOLOGY TO EVALUATION OF THE WHOLE HOUSE PROGRAM IS MORE ACCURATE THAN COLLECTION OF SITE-SPECIFIC DATA.

The PD directs Energy Division “to assess how Experimental Design can be used for the EM&V of California’s Whole House Retrofit Program, including the Prescriptive Whole House Program, as suggested by TURN.”^{10/} The PD further directs Energy Division to provide updates regarding “its application of Experimental Design to the Whole House Retrofit Program.”^{11/} This is inconsistent with the Commission’s recognition of the challenges in effectively applying experimental design and the Commission’s directive that the approach warrants further exploration.

Consistent with that directive, through the workshop series, the Commission should explore the general scalability of experimental design prior to its application beyond comparative usage programs as approved in D.10-04-029. PG&E questions the feasibility of the use of experimental design for the Whole House Retrofit Program. Further, given that PG&E is already proposing to collect site-specific data to determine the savings, experimental design for Whole House would be a less accurate measure for the savings than actual site collection. These issues are appropriate for further exploration through the workshop series. The discussion on page 35 of the PD should be modified as follows:^{12/}

In D.10-04-029 we directed Energy Division to develop a protocol to measure and count savings from comparative usage programs using the Experimental Design methodologies found in the EM&V Protocols. That directive is being implemented through the Joint Energy Division/IOU Evaluation Plan for 2010-2012, which includes a review of best practices in this

^{10/} PD at p. 35.

^{11/} *Id.* Please note, however, that the Commission did not order this in a specific ordering paragraph.

^{12/} PD at p. 35 (internal references omitted).

area and the development of a protocol and method for application to behavior based programs. We now direct the Energy Division to prioritize these activities such that the 2013-2015 Energy Efficiency EM&V Plan can benefit from the results. We further direct the Energy Division to assess ~~how~~ whether Experimental Design can be used for the EM&V of California's Whole House Retrofit Program, including the Prescriptive Whole House Program, as suggested by TURN.

Energy Division shall provide stakeholders with regular updates on its review of Experimental Design best practices, its development of a protocol and method for application to behavior based programs, and ~~it's~~ the potential feasibility of application of applying Experimental Design to the Whole House Retrofit Program. These updates should be delivered through the EM&V workshop series. Where practical, the 2013-2015 Energy Efficiency EM&V Plan should rely on Experimental Design to determine program ex post savings.

D. THE COMMISSION SHOULD AMEND ITS ORDER REGARDING IOUS' PRODUCTION OF USAGE DATA TO ENERGY DIVISION TO PROVIDE THAT SUCH DATA SHALL BE ACCORDED THE FULL PROCEDURAL PROTECTIONS OF PU CODE SECTION 583 AND GENERAL ORDER 66-C.

While PG&E supports the EM&V of California's Total Energy Consumption Pilot and EE programs through the provision of usage data, PG&E also is obligated to protect the privacy of its customers and limit the distribution of customer data. PG&E urges the PD to specifically limit the terms of use of data provided to ED (as described on page 33 of the PD) so as to prohibit the public release of such data. The PD should reiterate that the ED is required under Public Utilities Code Section 583 and General Order 66-C to keep such data confidential, and that such data shall not be released to third parties without prior notice to, and consent of, the IOUs and execution of a NDA that adequately addresses the confidential and proprietary nature of such data. Accordingly, PG&E requests that OP 4 should be amended as follows:

4. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, and Southern California Gas Company shall

cooperate fully with Energy Division’s efforts to expedite the Total Energy Consumption Pilot and shall timely provide any energy usage data Energy Division deems necessary. Given the confidential nature of such data, Energy Division shall accord such data the full procedural protections of Public Utilities Code Section 583 and General Order 66-C, and shall not release such data to third parties without prior notice to, and consent of, the IOUs. In addition, prior to any release of such data to a third party, including a contractor for the Energy Division, such third party shall execute a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) that adequately addresses the confidential and proprietary nature of such data.

E. THE COMMISSION’S FOCUS ON ATTRIBUTION DOES NOT FURTHER ITS RESOURCE PLANNING GOAL.

The Commission states that it must consider total market gross load impacts in resource planning efforts.^{13/} PG&E agrees with the goals of coordination and collaboration among other entities who deliver energy efficiency savings. However, in the PD, the Commission does not focus on the relevant subject of attaining its stated resource planning goals—the accurate measurement of total market gross load impacts among these entities. Rather, the Commission rolls out a new proposed method for parsing out attribution of energy savings among these entities—a much more contentious topic, not directly related to its stated resource planning goals. This approach to achieving resource planning goals is flawed and should be revised in the PD.

Determining who is responsible for achieving savings is not directly relevant to the stated resource planning goal. In addition, the Commission’s approach is likely to be even more contentious than the current approach to attribution and inconsistent with its recent directives for inter-agency collaboration and cooperation. Although not entirely clear in the PD, the Commission seemingly proposes to “back-in” to the savings attributable to IOU programs through measuring the accomplishments of other agencies and attributing the

^{13/} PD at p. 39; Conclusion of Law 5.

remainder of energy savings (in a Total Consumption Model) to the IOU programs. This will cause at least as much uncertainty in results as net-to-gross studies. Further it does little to address the inherent limitations of the EM&V process the Commission acknowledges exist or its acknowledgment that Commission policy requires that attribution is applied as effectively as possible.^{14/} Under such a proposal, the CPUC will run into many issues where agencies and the IOUs cooperate and/or where the IOUs could do a significant amount of driving behind the efficiency actions but other agencies are needed to make the final decisions and approvals, as is the case with codes and standards and rising baselines in manufacturing of technologies such as televisions.

Before directing significant resources to implementing a new attribution methodology, the Commission should first order the PPD to use the workshop series to determine whether the attribution question needs to be addressed to support the Commission's stated resource planning goals and if so, how best to study that question.

///

///

///

^{14/} PD at pp. 29-30; FOF 6; Conclusion of Law 2.

APPENDIX A

PROPOSED REVISIONS TO FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, ORDERING PARAGRAPHS, AND DISCUSSION IN THE PD

PD REFERENCE	PROPOSED REFERENCE	PROPOSED REVISION TO TEXT
Ordering Paragraph 4	Proposed Ordering Paragraph 4	<p>4. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison Company, San Diego Gas & Electric Company, and Southern California Gas Company shall cooperate fully with Energy Division’s efforts to expedite the Total Energy Consumption Pilot and shall timely provide any energy usage data Energy Division deems necessary. <u>Given the confidential nature of such data, Energy Division shall accord such data the full procedural protections of Public Utilities Code Section 583 and General Order 66-C, and shall not release such data to third parties without prior notice to, and consent of, the IOUs. In addition, prior to any release of such data to a third party, including a contractor for the Energy Division, such third party shall execute a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) that adequately addresses the confidential and proprietary nature of such data.</u></p>
----- (addition to PD)	Proposed Ordering Paragraph 11	<p>11. To support planning of the 2013-2015 Energy Efficiency Portfolio Applications, the PPD shall hold <u>workshops within the EM&V workshop series addressing issues identified in parties’ Responses To Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Setting Prehearing Conference, including:</u></p> <p><u>Examination of the current cost-effectiveness framework and avoided cost assumptions to be used in determining the amount of available cost-effective energy efficiency potential;</u></p> <p><u>Setting attainable goals for the 2013-15 Energy Efficiency program cycle;</u></p> <p><u>Coordination with the concurrent RRIM proceeding to establish and finalize a RRIM for the 2013-15 Energy Efficiency program cycle;</u></p> <p><u>Technical measurement and evaluation protocols and methodologies, and other administrative procedures for performing, presenting, and reviewing impact evaluations.</u></p> <p><u>The PPD should hold a preliminary procedural workshop</u></p>

PD REFERENCE	PROPOSED REFERENCE	PROPOSED REVISION TO TEXT
		<u>within 30 days of the final decision in this proceeding in order to ensure that the Commission and interested parties have appropriate resources and are able to stay on track for key milestones and decisions.</u>
Ordering Paragraph 11	Proposed Ordering Paragraph 12	12. Energy Division shall serve the 2013-2015 energy Efficiency Plan at the same time <u>no later than 3 months prior to the time set by the Commission for the IOUs to file</u> their 2013-2015 portfolio application.
Discussion – PD p. 35	Proposed Discussion – PD p.35	<p>In D.10-04-029 we directed Energy Division to develop a protocol to measure and count savings from comparative usage programs using the Experimental Design methodologies found in the EM&V Protocols. That directive is being implemented through the Joint Energy Division/IOU Evaluation Plan for 2010-2012, which includes a review of best practices in this area and the development of a protocol and method for application to behavior based programs. We now direct the Energy Division to prioritize these activities such that the 2013-2015 Energy Efficiency EM&V Plan can benefit from the results. We further direct the Energy Division to assess how whether <u>Experimental Design can be used for the EM&V of California’s Whole House Retrofit Program, including the Prescriptive Whole House Program, as suggested by TURN.</u></p> <p>Energy Division shall provide stakeholders with regular updates on its review of Experimental Design best practices, its development of a protocol and method for application to behavior based programs, and it’s the <u>potential feasibility of application of applying</u> Experimental Design to the Whole House Retrofit Program. These updates should be delivered through the EM&V workshop series. Where practical, the 2013-2015 Energy Efficiency EM&V Plan should rely on Experimental Design to determine program ex post savings.</p>

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, state that I am a citizen of the United States and am employed in the City and County of San Francisco; that I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within cause; and that my business address is Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Law Department B30A, 77 Beale Street, San Francisco, California 94105.

On **October 18, 2010**, I served a true copy of:

**PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S COMMENTS
ON THE PROPOSED DECISION ON EVALUATION, MEASUREMENT, AND
VERIFICATION OF CALIFORNIA UTILITY
ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS**

by electronic mail, or (for those parties without valid electronic mail addresses) by placing it for collection and mailing, in the course of ordinary business practice, with other correspondence of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage fully prepaid, addressed to:

All parties on the official service list for R. 09-11-014/

I certify and declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on **October 18, 2010**.

/s/
Rene Anita Thomas

**THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
EMAIL SERVICE LIST**

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

9watts@gmail.com;abb@eslawfirm.com;ABesa@SempraUtilities.com;achang@efficiencycouncil.org;aeo@cpuc.ca.gov;Alyssa.Cherry@sce.com;andrew.mcallister@energycenter.org;andy@efficiency20.com;ann.kelly@sfgov.org;ashley.watkins@energycenter.org;awp@cpuc.ca.gov;bfinkelstein@turn.org;bhopewell@peci.org;bjunker@energy.state.ca.us;bkates@opiniondynamics.com;blaising@braunlegal.com;cadickerson@cadconsulting.biz;cal.broomhead@sfgov.org;case.admin@sce.com;CBE@cpuc.ca.gov;cem@newsdata.com;CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com;cf1@cpuc.ca.gov;cheryl.collart@ventura.org;cjn3@pge.com;ckavalec@energy.state.ca.us;cln@cpuc.ca.gov;craigtyler@comcast.net;cxc@cpuc.ca.gov;Cynthiakmitchell@gmail.com;dgilligan@naesco.org;dil@cpuc.ca.gov;dschultz@energy.state.ca.us;edf@cpuc.ca.gov;efm2@pge.com;ELVine@lbl.gov;enriqueg@greenlining.org;erasmussen@marinenergyauthority.org;eric@harpiris.com;GHealy@SempraUtilities.com;irene.stillings@energycenter.org;j1pc@pge.com;Jazayeri@BlankRome.com;jeanne.sole@sfgov.org;Jeff.Hirsch@DOE2.com;Jennifer.Barnes@Navigatorconsulting.com;jennifer.green@energycenter.org;Jennifer.Shigekawa@sce.com;jerryl@abag.ca.gov;jl2@cpuc.ca.gov;jnc@cpuc.ca.gov;jody_london_consulting@earthlink.net;jst@cpuc.ca.gov;JYamagata@SempraUtilities.com;keh@cpuc.ca.gov;kmb@cpuc.ca.gov;ks3@cpuc.ca.gov;kwz@cpuc.ca.gov;larry.cope@sce.com;lettenson@nrdc.org;Lewis@BlankRome.com;lhj2@pge.com;liddell@energyattorney.com;lmh@eslawfirm.com;los@cpuc.ca.gov;lp1@cpuc.ca.gov;M1ke@pge.com;mang@turn.org;marilyn@sbesc.com;mary.tucker@sanjoseca.gov;mbaumhefner@nrdc.org;mgillette@enemoc.com;michael.sachse@opower.com;Mjaske@energy.state.ca.us;mkh@cpuc.ca.gov;mmw@cpuc.ca.gov;mmyers@vandelaw.com;mokeefe@efficiencycouncil.org;mrw@mrwassoc.com;msutter@opiniondynamics.com;mtierney-lloyd@enernoc.com;MWT@cpuc.ca.gov;nehemiah@benningfieldgroup.com;nfeller@BlankRome.com;nlong@nrdc.org;pcanessa@charter.net;pcf@cpuc.ca.gov;ppl@cpuc.ca.gov;pstoner@lgc.org;puja@opower.com;PVillegas@SempraUtilities.com;rafi.hassan@sig.com;RegRelCPUCCases@pge.com;rfg2@pge.com;rknight@bki.com;samuelk@greenlining.org;sbccog@southbaycities.org;sbender@energy.state.ca.us;SDPatrick@SempraUtilities.com;seb@cpuc.ca.gov;sephra.ninow@energycenter.org;service@spurr.org;Sharp@BlankRome.com;Shayna.Hirshfield@sanjoseca.gov;slda@pge.com;SRRd@pge.com;sschiller@efficiencycouncil.org;ssmyers@att.net;stephaniec@greenlining.org;sthompson@ci.irvine.ca.us;susan.munves@smgov.net;tburke@sfgov.org;tconlon@geopraxis.com;theresa.mueller@sfgov.org;vien@greenforall.org;vivian@greenforall.org;wem@igc.org;yxg4@pge.com;zap@cpuc.ca.gov;ztc@cpuc.ca.gov;

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SERVICE LIST

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

CASE COORDINATION
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE ST., PO BOX 770000 MC B9A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
Email: RegRelCPUCcases@pge.com
Status: INFORMATION

JENNY GLUZGOLD
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO.
77 BEALE ST, B9A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
Email: yxg4@pge.com
Status: INFORMATION

LISE JORDAN
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 7442
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120
Email: lhj2@pge.com
Status: INFORMATION

CHONDA J. NWAMU
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE ST, B30A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94177
FOR: Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Email: cjn3@pge.com
Status: INFORMATION

SHILPA RAMAIYA
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 7442
77 BEALE ST, MAIL CODE N3A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120
Email: SRRd@pge.com
Status: INFORMATION

Simon Baker
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: seb@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Jordana Cammarata
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: jnc@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

EILEEN COTRONEO
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE ST, MC B9A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
Email: efm2@pge.com
Status: INFORMATION

ROGER GOLDSTEIN
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 7442
245 MARKET ST, B9A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120
Email: rfg2@pge.com
Status: INFORMATION

SANDY LAWRIE ENERGY PROCEEDINGS
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 7442, MC B9A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120
Email: slda@pge.com
Status: INFORMATION

JONATHAN D. PENDLETON ATTORNEY
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE ST, B30A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105
Email: j1pc@pge.com
Status: INFORMATION

MICHAEL R. KLOTZ
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE ST, MS B30A, RM 3105B
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94120
FOR: Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Email: M1ke@pge.com
Status: PARTY

CARMEN BEST
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 0
Email: CBE@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Jeanne Clinton
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE RM 4008
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: cln@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SERVICE LIST

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

Cheryl Cox
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
DRA - ADMINISTRATIVE BRANCH
505 VAN NESS AVE RM 4101
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: cxc@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Darwin Farrar
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES
505 VAN NESS AVE RM 5041
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: edf@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Peter Franzese
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: pcf@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Katherine Hardy
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: keh@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Jean A. Lamming
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: jl2@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Ayat E. Osman
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: aeo@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Anne W. Premo
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
770 L ST, STE 1050
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
Email: awp@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Tim G. Drew
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: zap@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Cathleen A. Fogel
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: cf1@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Mikhail Haramati
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: mkh@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Peter Lai
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
320 WEST 4TH ST STE 500
LOS ANGELES CA 90013
Email: ppl@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Kim Mahoney
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY PRICING AND CUSTOMER PROGRAMS
BRANCH
505 VAN NESS AVE RM 4104
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: kmb@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Lisa Paulo
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: lp1@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Kristina Skierka
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: ks3@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SERVICE LIST

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

George S. Tagnipes
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: jst@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

MATTHEW TISDALE
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 0
Email: MWT@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Karen Watts-Zagha
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY PRICING AND CUSTOMER PROGRAMS
BRANCH
505 VAN NESS AVE RM 4104
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: kwz@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

GERALD LAHR
ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS
101 8TH ST, PO BOX 2050
OAKLAND CA 94607
FOR: Association of Bay Area Governments
Email: jerryl@abag.ca.gov
Status: PARTY

NATARA FELLER
BLANK ROME LLP
THE CHRYSLER BUILDING
405 LEXINGTON AVE
NEW YORK NY 10174-0208
Email: nfeller@BlankRome.com
Status: INFORMATION

CHRISTOPHER A. LEWIS
BLANK ROME LLP
ONE LOGAN SQUARE 130 NORTH 18TH ST
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103-6998
Email: Lewis@BlankRome.com
Status: INFORMATION

AUDREY CHANG
CA ENERGY EFFICIENCY INDUSTRY COUNCIL
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 0
Email: achang@efficiencycouncil.org
Status: INFORMATION

Zenaida G. Tapawan-Conway
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: ztc@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Carlos A. Velasquez
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
ENERGY DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: los@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

Michael Wheeler
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
EXECUTIVE DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE RM 5206
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
Email: mmw@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: STATE-SERVICE

NEHEMIAH STONE
BENNINGFIELD GROUP, INC.
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
Email: nehemiah@benningfieldgroup.com
Status: INFORMATION

PETER F. JAZAYERI
BLANK ROME LLP
1925 CENTURY PARK, EAST STE 1900
LOS ANGELES CA 90067
Email: Jazayeri@BlankRome.com
Status: INFORMATION

CHRISTOPHER SHARP
BLANK ROME LLP
ONE LOGAN SQUARE 130 NORTH 18TH ST
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103-6998
Email: Sharp@BlankRome.com
Status: INFORMATION

STEVEN R. SCHILLER
CA ENERGY EFFICIENCY INDUSTRY COUNCIL
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 0
Email: sschiller@efficiencycouncil.org
Status: INFORMATION

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SERVICE LIST

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

CHRIS ANN DICKERSON
CAD CONSULTING
720B CANYON OAKS DRIVE
OAKLAND CA 94605
Email: cadickerson@cadconsulting.biz
Status: INFORMATION

IRENE M. STILLINGS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
CALIF. CTR. FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
Email: irene.stillings@energycenter.org
Status: INFORMATION

ANDREW MCALLISTER
CALIFORNIA CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
FOR: California Center For Sustainable Energy
Email: andrew.mcallister@energycenter.org
Status: PARTY

SEPHRA A. NINOW
CALIFORNIA CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
Email: sephra.ninow@energycenter.org
Status: INFORMATION

CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS
425 DIVISADERO ST., STE 303
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94117
Email: cem@newsdata.com
Status: INFORMATION

BILL JUNKER
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 9TH ST, MS 22
SACRAMENTO CA 95819
Email: bjunker@energy.state.ca.us
Status: STATE-SERVICE

DON SCHULTZ
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 9TH ST
SACRAMENTO CA 95819
Email: dschultz@energy.state.ca.us
Status: STATE-SERVICE

MICHAEL O'KEEFE
CAL. ENERGY EFFICIENCY INDUSTRY COUNCIL
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
Email: mokeefe@efficiencycouncil.org
Status: INFORMATION

ROBERT L. KNIGHT
CAL. BLDG. PERFORMANCE CONTRATORS ASSN.
1000 BROADWAY, STE 410
OAKLAND CA 94607
FOR: California Building Performance Contractors
Association
Email: rknight@bki.com
Status: PARTY

JENNIFER GREEN
CALIFORNIA CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
Email: jennifer.green@energycenter.org
Status: INFORMATION

ASHLEY WATKINS
CALIFORNIA CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
Email: ashley.watkins@energycenter.org
Status: INFORMATION

SYLVIA BENDER
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 9TH ST, MS20
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
Email: sbender@energy.state.ca.us
Status: STATE-SERVICE

CHRIS KAVALEC
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 9TH ST
SACRAMENTO CA 95831
Email: ckavalec@energy.state.ca.us
Status: STATE-SERVICE

PETER CANESSA
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO
1211 CHAPARRAL CIRCLE
SAN LUIS OBISPO CA 93401
Email: pcanessa@charter.net
Status: INFORMATION

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SERVICE LIST

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

SARA STECK MYERS ATTORNEY
122 28TH AVE.
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94121
FOR: Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable
Technologies
Email: ssmyers@att.net
Status: PARTY

DENNIS J. HERRERA
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
CITY HALL, RM 234
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102
Status: INFORMATION

THERESA L. MUELLER
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-4682
Email: theresa.mueller@sfgov.org
Status: INFORMATION

SHAYNA H. HIRSHFIELD
CITY OF SAN JOSE-ENVIRONMENTAL SVCS DEP
200 EAST SANTA CLARA
SAN JOSE CA 95113
Email: Shayna.Hirshfield@sanjoseca.gov
Status: INFORMATION

SUSAN MUNVES ENERGY AND GREEN BLDG. PROG.
ADMIN.
CITY OF SANTA MONICA
1212 5TH ST, FIRST FLR
SANTA MONICA CA 90401
Email: susan.munves@smgov.net
Status: INFORMATION

DON LIDDELL
DOUGLASS & LIDDELL
2928 2ND AVE
SAN DIEGO CA 92103
Email: liddell@energyattorney.com
Status: INFORMATION

ANDY FRANK
EFFECIENCY 2.0, LLC
165 WILLIAM ST., 10TH FLR
NEW YORK NY 10038
FOR: Efficiency 2.0, LLC
Email: andy@efficiency20.com
Status: PARTY

CAL BROOMHEAD DEPT OF ENVIRONMENT, ENERGY
SECTION
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
11 GROVE ST
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102
Email: cal.broomhead@sfgov.org
Status: INFORMATION

ANN KELLY DEPT. OF THE ENVIRONMENT
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
11 GROVE ST
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102
Email: ann.kelly@sfgov.org
Status: INFORMATION

SHAWN THOMPSON
CITY OF IRVINE
1 CIVIC CENTER PLAZA
IRVINE CA 92646
Email: sthompson@ci.irvine.ca.us
Status: INFORMATION

MARY TUCKER
CITY OF SAN JOSE, ENVIRONMENTAL SRVC DEP
200 EAST SANTA CLARA ST., 10TH FLR.
SAN JOSE CA 95113-1905
Email: mary.tucker@sanjoseca.gov
Status: INFORMATION

JEANNE M. SOLE
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
CITY HALL, RM 234
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLET PLACE
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-4682
FOR: Ckty and County of San Francisco
Email: jeanne.sole@sfgov.org
Status: PARTY

Diana L. Lee
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
LEGAL DIVISION
505 VAN NESS AVE RM 4107
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94102-3214
FOR: DRA
Email: dil@cpuc.ca.gov
Status: PARTY

ANDREW B. BROWN
ELLISON SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, L.L.P.
2600 CAPITOL AVE, STE 400
SACRAMENTO CA 95816-5905
Email: abb@eslawfirm.com
Status: INFORMATION

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SERVICE LIST

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

LYNN HAUG
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS L.L.P.
2600 CAPITAL AVE, STE 400
SACRAMENTO CA 95816
Email: lmh@eslawfirm.com
Status: INFORMATION

CYNTHIA MITCHELL
ENERGY ECONOMICS, INC.
530 COLGATE COURT
RENO NV 89503
Email: Cynthiakmitchell@gmail.com
Status: INFORMATION

MONA TIERNEY-LLOYD SENIOR MANAGER WESTERN
REG. AFFAIRS
ENERNOC, INC.
PO BOX 378
CAYUCOS CA 93430
Email: mtierney-lloyd@enemnoc.com
Status: INFORMATION

THOMAS P. CONLON PRESIDENT
GEOPRAXIS
PO BOX 5
SONOMA CA 95476-0005
FOR: GeoPraxis, Inc.
Email: tconlon@geopraxis.com
Status: PARTY

VIVIAN CHANG
GREEN FOR ALL
1611 TELEGRAPH AVE, STE 600
OAKLAND CA 94601
Email: vivian@greenforall.org
Status: INFORMATION

JEFF HIRSCH
JAMES J. HIRSCH & ASSOCIATES
12185 PRESILLA ROAD
CAMARILLO CA 93012-9243
Email: Jeff.Hirsch@DOE2.com
Status: INFORMATION

G. PATRICK STONER PROGRAM DIRECTOR
LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMISSION
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
Email: pstoner@lgc.org
Status: INFORMATION

REUBEN DEUMLING
ENERGY ECONOMICS INC.
3309 SE MAIN ST
PORTLAND OR 97214
Email: 9watts@gmail.com
Status: INFORMATION

MELANIE GILLETTE DIR - WESTERN REG. AFFAIRS
ENERNOC, INC.
115 HAZELMERE DRIVE
FOLSOM CA 95630
FOR: EnerNoc, Inc.
Email: mgillette@enemnoc.com
Status: PARTY

MIKE JASKE
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
Email: Mjaske@energy.state.ca.us
Status: STATE-SERVICE

VIEN V. TRUONG, ESQ
GREEN FOR ALL
1611 TELEGRAPH AVE, STE 600
OAKLAND CA 94601
FOR: Green For All
Email: vien@greenforall.org
Status: INFORMATION

ERIC LEE
HARPIRIS ENERGY, LLC
25205 BARONET ROAD
CORRAL DE TIERRA CA 93908
FOR: Harpiris Energy
Email: eric@harpiris.com
Status: PARTY

ED VINE
LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY
BUILDING 90-400
BERKELEY CA 94720-8136
Email: ELVine@lbl.gov
Status: INFORMATION

JODY LONDON
JODY LONDON CONSULTING
PO BOX 3629
OAKLAND CA 94609
FOR: Local Government Sustainable Energy Coalition
Email: jody_london_consulting@earthlink.net
Status: PARTY

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SERVICE LIST

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

ELIZABETH RASMUSSEN PROJECT MGR.
MARIN ENERGY AUTHORITY
781 LINCOLN AVE, STE 320
SAN RAFAEL CA 94901
FOR: Marin Energy Authority
Email: erasmussen@marinenergyauthority.org
Status: PARTY

DONALD GILLIGAN
NATIONAL ASSC. OF ENERGY SVC. COMPANIES
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY DC 0
FOR: National Association of Energy Services Companies
Email: dgilligan@naesco.org
Status: PARTY

MAX BAUMHEFNER LEGAL FELLOW
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
111 SUTTER ST., 20TH FLR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104
Email: mbaumhefner@nrdc.org
Status: INFORMATION

JENNIFER BARNES
NAVIGANT CONSULTING, INC.
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
Email: Jennifer.Barnes@Navigantconsulting.com
Status: INFORMATION

MARY SUTTER
OPINION DYNAMICS CORPORATION
2415 ROOSEVELT DRIVE
ALAMEDA CA 94501
Email: msutter@opiniondynamics.com
Status: INFORMATION

BRENDA HOPEWELL
PORTLAND ENERGY CONSERVATION, INC.
1400 SW 5TH AVE, STE 700
PORTLAND OR 97201
Email: bhopewell@peci.org
Status: INFORMATION

STEVEN D. PATRICK
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 WEST FIFTH ST, GT14G1
LOS ANGELES CA 90013-1011
FOR: San Diego Gas & Electric/SoCal Gas
Email: SDPatrick@SempraUtilities.com
Status: PARTY

MRW & ASSOCIATES, LLC
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 0
Email: mrw@mrwassoc.com
Status: INFORMATION

LARA ETTENSON
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
111 SUTTER ST, 20TH FLR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104
FOR: Natural Resources Defense Council
Email: lettenson@nrdc.org
Status: PARTY

NOAH LONG
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
111 SUTTER ST, 20TH FLR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104
Email: nlong@nrdc.org
Status: INFORMATION

BRAD KATES
OPINION DYNAMICS CORPORATION
230 THIRD FLR
WALTHAM MA 2451
Email: bkates@opiniondynamics.com
Status: INFORMATION

MICHAEL SACHSE
OPOWER
1515 N. COURTHOUSE RD., STE 610
ARLINGTON VA 22201
FOR: OPower
Email: michael.sachse@opower.com
Status: PARTY

PUJA DEVERAKONDA
POSITIVE ENERGY
1911 FORT MYER DRIVE
ARLINGTON VA 22209
Email: puja@opower.com
Status: INFORMATION

ATHENA BESA
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP12F
SAN DIEGO CA 92123
Status: INFORMATION

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SERVICE LIST

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

ATHENA BESA
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 0
Email: ABesa@SempraUtilities.com
Status: INFORMATION

CENTRAL FILES
SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
8330 CENTURY PARK CT, CP32D, RM CP31-E
SAN DIEGO CA 92123-1530
Email: CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com
Status: INFORMATION

SCOTT BLAISING
BRAUN BLAISING MCLAUGHLIN, P.C.
915 L ST, STE 1270
SACRAMENTO CA 95814
FOR: San Joaquin Valley Power Authority
Email: blaising@braunlegal.com
Status: PARTY

PEDRO VILLEGAS
SEMPRA ENERGY UTILITIES
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 00000-0000
Email: PVillegas@SempraUtilities.com
Status: INFORMATION

MARILYN LYON SOUTH BAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
SOUTH BAY ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES CTR.
15901 HAWTHORNE BLVD., STE. 400
LAWNDALE CA 90260-2656
Email: marilyn@sbesc.com
Status: INFORMATION

ALYSSA CHERRY
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
6042A N. IRWINDALE AVE
IRWINDALE CA 91702
Email: Alyssa.Cherry@sce.com
Status: INFORMATION

JENNIFER M. TSAO SHIGEKAWA
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
Email: Jennifer.Shigekawa@sce.com
Status: INFORMATION

JOY C. YAMAGATA
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC/SOCALGAS
8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP 32 D
SAN DIEGO CA 92123-1530
Email: JYamagata@SempraUtilities.com
Status: INFORMATION

THERESA BURKE
SAN FRANCISCO PUC
1155 MARKET ST, 4TH FLR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94103
Email: tburke@sfgwater.org
Status: INFORMATION

MICHAEL ROCHMAN MANAGING DIRECTOR
SCHOOL PROJECT UTILITY RATE REDUCTION
1850 GATEWAY BLVD., STE. 235
CONCORD CA 94520
Email: service@spurr.org
Status: INFORMATION

JACKI BACHARACH EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
SOUTH BAY CITIES COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
5033 ROCKVALLEY ROAD
RANCHO PALOS VERDES CA 90275
Email: sbccog@southbaycities.org
Status: INFORMATION

CASE ADMINISTRATION
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
LAW DEPARTMENT
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE, RM 370
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
Email: case.admin@sce.com
Status: INFORMATION

GREGORY HEALY
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY
555 WEST FIFTH ST, GT14D6
LOS ANGELES CA 90013-1011
Email: GHealy@SempraUtilities.com
Status: INFORMATION

LARRY COPE
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE
ROSEMEAD CA 91770
FOR: Southern California Edison
Email: larry.cope@sce.com
Status: PARTY

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SERVICE LIST

Last Updated: October 15, 2010

CPUC DOCKET NO. R0911014

Total number of addressees: 122

RAFI HASSAN
SUSQUEHANNA FINANCIAL GROUP, LLLP
101 CALIFORNIA ST, STE 3250
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111
Email: rafi.hassan@sig.com
Status: INFORMATION

SAMUEL S. KANG
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
1918 UNIVERSITY AVE, SECOND FLR
BERKELEY CA 94704
FOR: The Greenlining Institute
Email: samuelk@greenlining.org
Status: PARTY

STEPHANIE C. CHEN
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
EMAIL ONLY
EMAIL ONLY CA 0
Email: stephaniec@greenlining.org
Status: INFORMATION

ENRIQUE GALLARDO
THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE
1918 UNIVERSITY AVE., 2ND FLR
BERKELEY CA 94704-1051
Email: enriqueg@greenlining.org
Status: INFORMATION

MARYBELLE C. ANG STAFF ATTORNEY
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
115 SANSOME ST, STE. 900
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104
Email: mang@turn.org
Status: INFORMATION

ROBERT FINKELSTEIN
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
115 SANSOME ST, STE 900
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104
FOR: TURN
Email: bfinkelstein@turn.org
Status: PARTY

CRAIG TYLER
TYLER & ASSOCIATES
2760 SHASTA ROAD
BERKELEY CA 94708
Email: craigtyler@comcast.net
Status: INFORMATION

MEGAN MYERS
VASQUEZ ESTRADA & DUMONT LLP
1000 FOURTH ST, STE 700
SAN RAFAEL CA 94901
Email: mmyers@vandelaw.com
Status: INFORMATION

CHERYL COLLART
VENTURA COUNTY REGIONAL ENERGY ALLIANCE
1000 SOUTH HILL ROAD, STE. 230
VENTURA CA 93003
Email: cheryl.collart@ventura.org
Status: INFORMATION

BARBARA GEORGE
WOMEN'S ENERGY MATTERS
PO BOX 548
FAIRFAX CA 94978-0548
FOR: Women's Energy Matters
Email: wem@igc.org
Status: PARTY