

From: Kaneshiro, Bruce  
Sent: 10/20/2010 12:00:10 PM  
To: Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4)

Cc: [Redacted]  
[Redacted] Roscow, Steve  
(steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Call with [Redacted] and [Redacted]

Sid- 10 am on Monday, works for us. Will you all be in one location that we can call or do I need to set up a conf. call line?

Bruce

**From:** Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 20, 2010 11:50 AM  
**To:** Kaneshiro, Bruce  
**Cc:** [Redacted] Roscow, Steve  
**Subject:** RE: Call with [Redacted] and [Redacted]

Bruce --

[Redacted] is out on Friday, could we shoot for Monday at 1000a?

yours,

sid

**From:** Kaneshiro, Bruce [mailto:bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 20, 2010 9:33 AM  
**To:** Dietz, Sidney  
**Cc:** [Redacted] Roscow, Steve  
**Subject:** RE: Call with [Redacted] and [Redacted]

Sid-

How about 10 am on Friday?

To clarify the purpose of the call, Steve and I would like to understand the rationale for the residential PDP design. Specifically, what CPUC policies or state laws are in play that influenced the design of the rate? We want to better understand why this rate has a tiered structure with a TOU/ CPP overlay.

If you can send us the cites to the relevant CPUC policy or state law in advance of the meeting, that would be appreciated.

Bruce

**From:** Kaneshiro, Bruce  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2010 5:05 PM  
**To:** 'Dietz, Sidney'  
**Cc:** Redacted; Roscow, Steve  
**Subject:** RE: Call with Redacted and Redacted

Sid-

Tomorrow won't work for us. Let me get back to you with a timeslot and date.

Bruce

**From:** Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2010 4:55 PM  
**To:** Kaneshiro, Bruce  
**Cc:** Redacted  
**Subject:** Call with Redacted and Redacted

Bruce --

You mentioned wanting to chat with them about the rates that have both tiers and TOU, would a call tomorrow at 1130a work for you?

yours,

sid