From: Simon, Timothy A.
Sent: 10/23/2010 5:41:31 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: Two Vendor IDs For Premiere Solutions

Can you discreetly look into this. Thank you!

From: Redacted To: Simon, Timothy A. Cc: Redacted Sent: Fri Oct 22 18:02:36 2010 Subject: FW: Two Vendor IDs For Premiere Solutions

Commissioner Simon,

This employee, Redacted at PG&E is blatantly misrepresenting the facts as it relates to my work on the Invoicing and Auditing project. In my Consulting agreement with PG&E, he was supposed to be mentoring me. He provided no such skills and in a period of 14 months, attended 3 meeting on this initiative.

This project is currently on hold due to unforeseen obstacles we ran into with PG&E and the rental vendors.<u>Redacted</u> never announced to ALL rental vendors that this initiative was taking place and demanded their cooperation. The first meeting was attended by only ONE of the six rental vendors.

This created communication and adaptation issues because the other rental vendors did not want to get involved in this project without a strong push from PG&E. When the decision was jointly made by Redacted , myself, and Redacted supervisor, Dave Meisel, PG&E announced on the conference call with the rental vendors that the reason was lack of language in the rental contracts that dictates electronic billing. Also, PG&E needed to be able to job-charge for any rental equipment and they are not yet set up to accomplish this goal.

When RFP's go out at the end of this year to the rental vendors, included in the proposal would be a requirement for the rental vendor to have the capability to do electronic billing. I would like to speak to you about actions I would like to take relative to this matter. I don't want PG&E slandering my name or my companies' name to their employees.

Redacted

Premiere Solutions, LLC

From Redacted
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2010 5:56 PM
To:Redacted
Subject: RE: Two Vendor IDs For Premiere Solutions

Hi Redacte

I was able to speak with Redacted who has been working with Redacted He let me know that the contract has not be voided but, they are aware that you do not have the capabilities to execute the contract so they are looking for another vendor. He also said that he worked with Accounts Payable to make sure Red allowed the two vendor numbers. Please let me know if there's anything else I can help you with.

Redacte

From: Redacted Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2010 12:34 PM To: Redacted Subject: RE: Two Vendor IDs For Premiere Solutions

HelloRedact

I wanted to just confirm that both vendor numbers would be active. Given that your email confirms this point, then my goal is accomplished.

On another note, let me know what you find out about the job charging initiative that I discussed with you.

Thanks,

Redacted

From: Redacted Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2010 11:15 AM To: Redacted Subject: RE: Two Vendor IDs For Premiere Solutions

Hi Redacted

I may have been mistaken but it looks like this email started because they wanted to deactivate one of the two vendor numbers your company has. In looking at the last email it looks like they've agreed to leave both vendor numbers. Since each one of theses vendor numbers is connected to a different bank I thought leaving the two different vendor numbers active was what you wanted. Please let me know what you'd like to happen at this point and I'm sure we can make it happen.

Thanks, Redact

From: Redacted Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2010 11:00 AM To Redacted Subject: FW: Two Vendor IDs For Premiere Solutions

HiRedac

Please let me know if you can assist me with this matter below.

Thanks,

Redacted

Premiere Solutions, LLC

Redacted

From: Redacted Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2010 10:54 AM To: Redacted Cc: Redacted Subject: RE: Two Vendor IDs For Premiere Solutions

Redacted

I was informed by the supervisor in Vendor Record Management that we would leave vendor# 1091946 active without deleting it.

Thanks, Redacted

Sr. Accounting Analyst PG&E - AP Redacted

From: Redacted

Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2010 3:55 PM

To: Redacted

cc: Redacted

 Subject:
 Two Vendor IDs For Premiere Solutions

 Importance:
 High

Redacted

Sometime back in May, Redacte contacted me regarding setting up a different remittance address for Premiere Solutions and it is for Non-Altec. My understanding was that it has a different tax ID that requires a new vendor# (1091946 created in May).

Currently, there are two vendor accounts for Premiere Solutions:

Redacted - Premiere Solutions LLC Remittance Address is Birmingham with Regions bank account # Redacted	ending
Redacted - Premiere Solutions LLC Premiere Solutions Non-Altec Remittance Address is Dublin with B Of A bank account # ending *** Reda	
However, both have the same address or Redacted and Federal tax I Redacted We do not allow assigning different vendor # to the same entity. So far there are the Non-Altec account (Redacted and we need to deactive it. Please acknowledge the non account deactivation.	no POs for
Thanks, Redacted Sr. Accounting Analyst	

Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 5188 (20100610)

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

PG&E - AP Redacted

Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 5188 (20100610)

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 5188 (20100610)

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 5188 (20100610)

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 5188 (20100610)

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com

Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 5188 (20100610)

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

http://www.eset.com