BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for Approval of the 2009-2011 Low Income Energy Efficiency and California Alternate Rates for Energy Programs and Budget (U 39 M)

Application 08-05-022 (Filed May 15, 2008)

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 M) for Approval of Low Income Assistance Programs and Budgets for Program Years 2009 – 2011

Application 08-05-024 (Filed May 15, 2008)

Application of Southern California Gas Company (U 904 G) for Approval of Low Income Assistance Programs and Budgets for Program Years 2009 – 2011

Application 08-05-025 (Filed May 15, 2008)

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for Approval of Low Income Assistance Programs and Budgets for Program Years 2009, 2010, and 2011 Application 08-05-026 (Filed May 15, 2008)

ONE-HUNDRED AND THIRTEENTH STATUS REPORT OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39 M) ON THE RESULTS OF ITS LOW INCOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND CARE PROGRAM EFFORTS IN COMPLIANCE WITH ORDERING PARAGRAPH 17 OF DECISION 01-05-033, ISSUED MAY 7, 2001

ANN H. KIM
DANIEL F. COOLEY
Law Department
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Post Office Box 7442
San Francisco, CA 94120
Telephone: (415) 973-6646

Fax: (415) 973-0516 e-mail: DFC2@pge.com

Attorneys for:

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Dated: October 21, 2010

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company Application 08-05-022 for Approval of the 2009-2011 Low Income (Filed May 15, 2008) Energy Efficiency and California Alternate Rates for Energy Programs and Budget (U 39 M) Application 08-05-024 Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (Filed May 15, 2008) (U 902 M) for Approval of Low Income Assistance Programs and Budgets for Program Years 2009 – 2011 Application 08-05-025 Application of Southern California Gas Company (Filed May 15, 2008) (U 904 G) for Approval of Low Income Assistance Programs and Budgets for Program Years 2009 – 2011 Application 08-05-026 Application of Southern California Edison (Filed May 15, 2008) Company (U 338-E) for Approval of Low Income

Assistance Programs and Budgets for Program

Years 2009, 2010, and 2011

ONE-HUNDRED AND THIRTEENTH STATUS REPORT OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39 M) ON THE RESULTS OF ITS LOW INCOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND CARE PROGRAM EFFORTS IN COMPLIANCE WITH ORDERING PARAGRAPH 17 OF DECISION 01-05-033, ISSUED MAY 7, 2001

In accordance with Ordering Paragraph 17 of Decision 01-05-033, the direction of Administrative Law Judge Gottstein at the July 11 and 28, 2001 status conferences, and the agreements reached between the utilities and the Energy Division on the format and content of the tables, Pacific Gas and Electric Company submits its attached one-hundred and thirteenth monthly status report on the results of its Low Income Energy Efficiency and CARE Program efforts, showing results through September 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

ANN H. KIM DANIEL F. COOLEY

/s/

DANIEL F. COOLEY Law Department Pacific Gas and Electric Company Post Office Box 7442 San Francisco, CA 94120 Telephone: (415) 973-6646

Fax: (415) 973-0516 e-mail: DFC2@pge.com

Attorneys for:

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

October 21, 2010

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Low Income Energy Efficiency (LIEE)

AND

California Alternate Rates for Energy (CARE)

Program Monthly Report For September 2010

(October 21, 2010)

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

LOW INCOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAM AND CARE PROGRAM MONTHLY REPORT FOR SEPTEMBER 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Title		Page
1.	LIEE EX	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
	1.1.	Low Income Energy Efficiency Program Overview	3
	1.2.	Whole Neighborhood Approach Evaluation	4
	1.3.	LIEE Customer Outreach and Enrollment Update	6
	1.4.	Leveraging Success Evaluation, Including CSD	8
	1.5.	Workforce Education & Training	9
	1.6.	Miscellaneous	10
2.	CARE E	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	10
	2.1.	CARE Program Summary	10
	2.2.	Outreach	
	2.3.	Miscellaneous	14
3.	APPEN	DIX: LIEE TABLES AND CARE TABLES	14

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

LOW INCOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAM AND CARE PROGRAM MONTHLY REPORT FOR SEPTEMBER 2010

This Low Income Programs Monthly Report complies with low income reporting requirements established in Decision (D.) 01-05-033, as updated by D.08-11-031, requiring the utilities to comply with reporting and program evaluation requirements previously established for the California Alternate Rates for Energy (CARE) and Low Income Energy Efficiency (LIEE) programs. The utilities met with Energy Division staff to revise reporting tables and formats in compliance with the mandates of D.08-11-031 and now use the new, Energy Division-approved monthly reporting format for the 2010 reports.

1. LIEE Executive Summary

The LIEE program provides free home weatherization, energy efficient appliances and energy education services to income-qualified PG&E customers throughout the Company's service area.

PG&E has offered energy efficiency programs to income-qualified customers in its 48 counties since 1983. The Low Income Energy Efficiency (LIEE) program's objective is to help income-qualified customers reduce their energy consumption and costs while also improving their quality of life. The 2009-2011 LIEE program authorized in D.08-11-031 is a resource program emphasizing long-term and enduring energy savings, which continues to serve all eligible low income customer populations by providing all feasible LIEE measures at no cost to the customer through a direct-install, whole house approach. All housing types are eligible to participate and the LIEE program is available to both homeowners and renters.

1.1. Low Income Energy Efficiency Program Overview

The 2009-2011 LIEE program was adopted in D.08-11-031. PG&E's authorized LIEE program budget for 2009-2011 is \$416.9 million, plus any remaining unspent carryover.

PG&E's 2009-2011 LIEE program follows the policies and guidance given in D.07-12-051. D.07-12-051 established the following programmatic initiative for LIEE:

To provide all eligible customers the opportunity to participate in the LIEE programs and to offer those who wish to participate all cost-effective energy efficiency measures in their residences by 2020.

PG&E's LIEE program has treated 94,207 customers in 2010.

1.1.1. Provide a summary of the LIEE Program elements as approved in Decision 08-11-031:

LIEE Program Summary for Month										
2010	Authorized / Planning Assumptions	Year-to-Date Actual	%							
Budget	\$ 167,847,834	\$ 102,253,930	61%							
Homes Treated	124,991	94,207	75%							
kWh Saved	42,600,000	36,072,677	85%							
kW Demand Reduced	7,560	8,986	119%							
Therms Saved	1,510,000	1,951,635	129%							

1.2. Whole Neighborhood Approach Evaluation

In D.08-11-031, the Commission described a Whole Neighborhood Approach to LIEE installation, under which the IOUs install all feasible measures in the homes of eligible customers on a neighborhood-by-neighborhood basis. The Commission believes this approach will increase energy savings, reduce overhead and transportation costs, and encourage leveraging with local entities.

1.2.1. Provide a summary of the geographic and customer segmentation strategy employed, (i.e. tools and analysis used to segment "neighborhoods," how neighborhoods are segmented and how this information is communicated to the contractor/CBO).

PG&E identifies neighborhoods with large numbers of low income customers with the aid of census and other demographic information and correlates it with PG&E customer energy usage information, as directed in D.08-11-031. Key variables defined by the Commission in D.08-11-031 were high incidences of poverty and high energy use, as well as high energy burden and energy insecurity. ¹

To identify potential neighborhoods to target for the LIEE programs, PG&E starts with its estimates of LIEE eligibility by ZIP-7, derived from census data.² PG&E

Footnote continued on next page

¹ Energy burden is the percent of income that goes towards payment of energy bills, and energy insecurity refers to customers experiencing difficulty in paying energy bills and actual or threatened utility shut-offs.

² The joint utility methodology, which derives the number of customers potentially eligible for CARE and LIEE services in each utility's service area, was adopted by the Commission in D.01-03-028, and is updated annually. Sources for this estimation include: the Commission's current guidelines; current year small area vendor marginal distributions on household characteristics; Census Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS) 2000 and PUMS 2007 sample data; utility meter and master meter household counts; Department of Finance CPI series; and various Geographic Information System (GIS) sources. ZIP-7s are smaller breakdowns of postal ZIP Codes that are used

has ranked ZIP-7 areas with the highest populations of estimated LIEE-eligible customers³ in its service area, and correlates them with PG&E billing information, including information on PG&E customer energy use;⁴ the number of 48-hour shut-off notices sent; actual shut-offs over the last year; and the number of customers in PG&E's Third-Party Notification Program. PG&E also correlates this data with the current CARE penetration rate, and the number of customers who have already participated in LIEE since 2002 (thus making them ineligible for participation at this time).

Finally, D.08-11-031 permits targeted self-certification and enrollment activities in areas of the IOUs' service territory where 80% of the customers are at or below 200% of the federal poverty line. (D.08-11-031, O.P.6) PG&E ranks ZIP-7 areas by percent of LIEE estimated eligibility. As described above, areas with the highest estimates of LIEE eligibility, correlated with high energy usage, the number of 48-hour shut-off notices sent, actual shut-offs over the last year, and low previous LIEE participation, are evaluated so that they can be selected first for the Whole Neighborhood Approach events. We anticipate that some of the areas selected will be over 80% LIEE-eligible. These neighborhoods where over 80% of the customers are at or below 200% of the federal poverty level will be self-certified.

Using this information to help determine potential neighborhoods to approach with the LIEE program, PG&E's LIEE program managers are working with both internal and external groups to target and select neighborhoods. PG&E works closely with its LIEE implementation contractors, CARE outreach contractors, PG&E local government relations and communications staff, and state LIHEAP agencies to help establish contact with government representatives and neighborhood leaders.

for small area research in census data. They are the smallest geographical area for which reliable income and demographic data is available.

In order to accurately assess home energy use, a customer must have a minimum six month billing history to be eligible to participate in the program. Customers with less than a six month history will be re-evaluated after they have sufficient billing history.

PG&E also tiered gas usage and divided gas customers into Tier 1 below-baseline low usage customers, and Tier 2 above-baseline high usage customers. PG&E used the same two month trigger described above for electric tiering.

³ Customers with household incomes at or below 200% of the Federal Poverty Level are eligible for both LIEE and CARE.

⁴ To calculate energy use, PG&E's electric customers were divided into low, medium and high tiers, based on their electric use at Tiers 1-2 (Low Electric Use below 130% of baseline), Tier 3 (Medium Electric Use from 131% to 200% of baseline) and Tiers 4-5 (High Electric Use above 200% of baseline). A customer is considered at the highest tier if they overused electricity during at least two months of the previous twelve month period. PG&E chose to use a two month tier trigger rather than a one month trigger to help filter out atypical usage patterns caused by unusual weather spikes, temporary home visitors, or other outlier events that are not indicators of normal household energy usage.

In addition to neighborhoods identified and selected by PG&E for Whole Neighborhood Approach events, PG&E's contractors are also encouraged to suggest neighborhoods to target based on their knowledge of the areas in which they work. PG&E contractors are very familiar with the local neighborhoods in their assigned areas and currently use many strategies to enroll LIEE customers, including canvassing neighborhoods; targeted direct mail; outbound calls; advertising in local venues; speaking to local groups; and outreaching at community events.

Where practical, PG&E coordinates LIEE neighborhood events with scheduled CARE events such as "We CARE" or other community activities, including fairs or festivals, and publicizes them in advance through targeted mailings, door hangers, local community partners (e.g., civic and social leaders, churches, and low income service agencies), and local print, radio and television media. PG&E's outreach staff work to publicize and promote events with local community and civic leaders, and to enlist their support and partnership in making neighborhood events a success. PG&E contractors all carry door hangers to leave behind at the homes of customers that were not home at the time of the neighborhood visit. The door hangers include program and contact information so that the customer can schedule a visit.

1.3. LIEE Customer Outreach and Enrollment Update

PG&E increases outreach within the Company by coordinating activities and advertising with other PG&E energy efficiency and rate programs likely to reach low income customers and service providers. PG&E's LIEE contractors are required to inform customers about other programs (such as CARE) for which they may be eligible. For LIEE customers not already enrolled in the CARE program, the customer data is shared with CARE and the customer is automatically enrolled in CARE. PG&E no longer requires that the customer sign a CARE application at the time of the LIEE enrollment.

PG&E combines its LIEE and CARE outreach activities in order to leverage low income outreach efforts and provide PG&E low income customers with the knowledge and tools to access all of PG&E's free energy services.

PG&E employees regularly make presentations about the Company's low income programs to the media and at community events throughout PG&E's service area. These presentations educate customers about energy efficiency and inform them about assistance programs and opportunities available to them through PG&E. PG&E employees make presentations and design media initiatives about LIEE and CARE in multiple languages, including English, Spanish, Chinese and Vietnamese.

PG&E contracts directly with both community-based organizations (CBOs) and private contractors who provide a wealth of experience in the communities they serve. PG&E currently has 33 installation contractors including 10 CBOs and two appliance contractors who serve 48 counties and over 70,000 square miles in

PG&E's service area. Of the 10 CBOs, six are Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) agencies.

PG&E has six contracts with LIHEAP agencies that are not working within PG&E's LIEE program. PG&E coordinates with these LIHEAP agencies to install Energy Star® refrigerators in homes receiving PG&E electric service where the LIHEAP contractors have installed all other measures under the State Weatherization Program. This allows both the LIEE and LIHEAP programs to leverage their resources and help additional low income homes. Through September, 184 refrigerators have been installed, which equates to \$147,200 leveraged through this program.

PG&E and its contractors use PG&E's Energy Partners Online database (EPO) for LIEE activities. The database shows which customers received LIEE services, what year they were provided, and which customers are participating in CARE. With this information, the contractors are better able to market to the community, e.g., contacting only those customers who have not received LIEE services in the past.

LIEE program materials are provided in seven languages: English, Spanish, Vietnamese, Chinese, Russian, Korean, and Hmong.

In addition, PG&E continues to combine its LIEE and CARE outreach activities in order to leverage low income outreach efforts and provide PG&E's low income customers with the knowledge and tools to access all of PG&E's free energy services.

1.3.1. Provide a summary of the LIEE Program outreach and enrollment strategies deployed this month.

PG&E's hard-to-reach customer outreach group manages outreach and messaging for PG&E programs targeting low income, multilingual and other hard-to-reach customer populations, including LIEE, CARE, Energy Efficiency, Government Partnerships, Third Party Programs, Solar, and Demand Response programs. It specifically targets seniors, disabled customers, families, ethnic populations, rural and urban customers, and other low income PG&E customers through a combination of PG&E bill inserts and direct mailings, outbound calling, public service announcements, ethnic and local media, community partnerships, grassroots marketing, social networking, and other innovative approaches.

PG&E marketing and outreach is also performed by the LIEE implementation subcontractors. These contractors are responsible for enrolling LIEE participants to meet their contract goals. PG&E provides them with a database containing current CARE customers in their contract area. In addition, the program database notes which customers have participated previously and are thus ineligible to receive LIEE services. This database is updated on a weekly basis.

PG&E contractors currently use many strategies to enroll LIEE customers, including canvassing neighborhoods, targeted direct mail, outbound calls, advertising in local venues, speaking to local groups, and outreach at community events. Customers who phone PG&E's customer service call centers are referred to the LIEE program and assigned to the contractor in their area who sets up an appointment with them. PG&E is helping its contractors continue these successful marketing and outreach strategies.

LIEE also takes full advantage of CARE's successful low income customer segmentation and targeted marketing strategies by working closely with its CARE outreach team. CARE outreach targets seniors, disabled customers, families, ethnic populations, rural and urban customers, and other low income PG&E customers.

In September, LIEE outreach was directed at the general public and the Whole Neighborhood Approach. This included the launch of an automated outbound phone call campaign targeting neighborhoods in which LIEE estimates there is a large program-eligible population, a bill insert to all PG&E customers, and work on a direct mail campaign.

Talks surrounding seven collaborative efforts and Whole Neighborhood Approach-based partnerships continued in September in cities in the Bay Area, Sacramento and down the Central Valley. Meetings and focus groups were also held related to the development of a single, statewide LIEE program name.

The outreach staff continues to attend community meetings and make presentations at various events and forums and to create marketing opportunities and further develop relationships that will help the program reach and enroll eligible customers.

During the month of September, LIEE participated in the following outreach events:

- 2010 Downtown San Rafael Market Festival on 09/02/10 and 09/16/10.
- Nummi Career Transition Center Presentation in San Jose on 09/02/10.
- 13th Annual Senior Resource Fair in San Leandro on 09/10/10.
- 5th Annual Asian Resource Fair in Fresno on 09/25/10.

1.4. Leveraging Success Evaluation, Including CSD

1.4.1. Please provide a status of the leveraging effort with CSD. What new steps or programs have been implemented? What was the result in terms of new enrollments?

PG&E, SCE, SDG&E, and SoCalGas met with CSD staff, representatives from several LIHEAP agencies and CPUC staff in Downey on April 29, 2009 to discuss leveraging opportunities. Ideas discussed included: developing a shared repository database that could include customers served and customers on wait lists by utilities and LIHEAP agencies; and sharing utility information with LIHEAP agencies about LIEE customers who are found to be over the LIEE income guidelines or require HVAC or other services which the utilities are unable to provide under LIEE guidelines. PG&E has attempted to schedule follow-up meetings with CSD; however, CSD has been unable to attend. In the meantime, PG&E has also had conversations with individual LIHEAP agencies to come up with workable strategies and discuss how we can work together to implement them. One such series of meetings culminated in the successful Sacramento Avenues Weatherization Project with Community Resource Project and SMUD in April. Following the success of this effort, PG&E is working to expand this project to other locations and to implement more leveraging projects with individual LIHEAP agencies.

1.5. Workforce Education & Training

1.5.1. Please summarize efforts to improve and expand LIEE workforce education and training. Describe steps taken to hire and train low income workers and how such efforts differ from prior program years.

All contractors and subcontractors responsible for implementing the LIEE program are trained at the PG&E Energy Training Center (ETC) in Stockton California. Most of these LIEE energy specialists and installation contractors are from the local communities in which they work. Because of the increased size of the 2010 LIEE program, more contractor crews have been hired to implement the LIEE program, and 727 individuals have been trained to deliver the LIEE program year-to-date.

PG&E selected and hired a consultant to conduct an on-line training pilot project through a Request for Proposal (RFP) process authorized by D.08-11-031. This pilot will explore what LIEE training currently conducted on-site at the ETC can be moved to a web-based and/or off-site curriculum without decreasing effectiveness or results. Specifically, the pilot will evaluate the effectiveness of selected topics for on-line training in lieu of sending all students to a single location for all elements of the certification program. The integration of an on-line training component may reduce the training costs of LIEE Weatherization Specialists by the participating LIEE contractor, which could lead to the training of more individuals.

PG&E is actively involved with the California Energy Efficiency Long Term Strategic Plan's Workforce Education and Training team that is developing plans to conduct green workforce needs assessment research. PG&E is also managing Energy Division's pilot project (authorized in D.08-11-031) to develop a low income workforce education and training plan. This pilot will recruit and train residents of disadvantaged, low income communities to install energy efficiency measures in households as part of the LIEE program. Specifically, this pilot will develop and implement an in-class and hands-on curriculum to be used as part of a certificated program to be administered through educational institutions. Energy Division selected a Northern and a Southern California team to implement two workforce education and training pilot projects. LIEE training approaches are currently being piloted at Los Angeles Trade Tech College (LATTC) in Southern California Gas Company's service area and San Francisco Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD) in PG&E's service area. PG&E is the contract administrator for both projects. Currently, SF OEWD has trained 14 students; seven of which were hired by LIEE contractors. LATTC has trained 266 students; 46 of which were hired by LIEE contractors.

1.6. Miscellaneous

LIEE Coordination with the Single Family Affordable Solar Housing Program (SASH)

PG&E's Energy Partners program works with Grid Alternatives to deliver LIEE services to customers that have been approved to participate in the Single Family Affordable Solar Housing Program (SASH). Grid Alternatives refers SASHeligible homes to PG&E on a regular basis. If the customer has not yet participated in LIEE, the customer is placed in the program. The home is assessed, and delivery of all eligible measures is expedited. PG&E then notifies Grid Alternatives of the measures that were installed in the home. Grid Alternatives uses this data in their calculations to accurately size the SASH solar unit to be installed. In 2010, LIEE has treated 34 homes that were selected for SASH program participation. In addition, PG&E supplied LIEE measure installation data for another 49 SASH-selected homes that were treated through the LIEE program in prior years.

2. CARE Executive Summary

The CARE program provides a monthly discount on energy bills for income-qualified households throughout PG&E's service area.

To qualify for CARE, a residential customer's household income must be at or below 200 percent of Federal Poverty Guidelines, as required in D.05-10-044.

2.1. CARE Program Summary

The 2009-2011 CARE Program was adopted in D.08-11-031. The authorized CARE administrative budget is \$9,216,000 for 2010. This includes \$400,000 for PG&E's Cooling Centers program.

2.1.1. Please provide CARE program summary costs

CARE Budget Categories	Authorized Budget	Actual Expenses Year to Date	% of Budget Spent
Outreach	5,700,000	3,636,566	64%
Automatic Enrollment	150,000	0	0%
Proc / Certification / Verification	1,900,000	1,123,394	59%
Information Tech / Programming	150,000	115,271	77%
Pilots	75,000	29,471	39%
Measurement and Evaluation	0	0	0%
Regulatory Compliance	110,000	80,703	73%
General Administration	525,000	211,908	40%
CPUC Energy Division Staff	206,000	92,769	45%
Cooling Centers	400,000	121,628	30%
Total Expenses	9,216,000	5,411,710	59%
Subsidies and Benefits	470,115,337	576,870,790	123%
Total Program Costs and Discounts	479,331,337	582,282,500	121%

2.1.2. Please provide the CARE program penetration rate to date

	CARE Penetration	
Participants	Estimated Eligible Participants	YTD Penetration Rate
1,479,574	1,617,125	91%

2.2. Outreach

2.2.1. Discuss utility outreach activities and those undertaken by third parties on the utility's behalf.

PG&E's CARE program rolled out the following outreach direct mail initiatives to increase enrollment of eligible customers in September:

- E-mail Campaign information about CARE, LIEE, Family Electric Rate Assistance (FERA), and Temporary Energy Assistance for Families (TEAF) programs was e-mailed to 43,000 income-qualified customers who are also signed up for the paperless bill.
- Customer Care and Billing Direct Mail 200,000 direct mail pieces were mailed utilizing data from PG&E's customer information system. Year-to-date, this initiative generated 3,277 new enrollments.
- Recertification Direct Mail –direct mail pieces in English, Spanish, Chinese, and Vietnamese were mailed to customers who failed to recertify. This direct

- mail reoccurs on the 15th of every month. Year-to-date, PG&E's CARE Program has re-enrolled 7,266 customers back to the program.
- College Initiative over 4,400 applications were mailed to 11 community colleges starting in August. Year-to-date, this initiative generated 31 new enrollments.
- Welcome Packet Insert applications were inserted monthly into new customers' welcome packets. Year-to-date, this initiative generated 936 new enrollments
- 15-Day Notice Insert applications were inserted monthly into customers' 15-day notices. Year-to-date, this initiative generated 170 new enrollments.
- Employee Involvement this initiative was started in June and will be ongoing for a year to educate employees and to encourage them to reach out to customers, friends and neighbors about the CARE program. Year-to-date, this initiative generated 55 new enrollments.

As part of its mass media campaign, PG&E promoted the CARE Program via ethnic television and radio media in September.

- The CARE commercial airs on KBCW44/Cable 12 and KPIX5/CBS5.
- The Cantonese advertisement ran on radio station KVTO in San Francisco, Alameda, San Mateo, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, Solano and Sonoma Counties.
- The Vietnamese advertisement ran on radio station KVVN in Alameda, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz and San Mateo Counties.

To help income-qualified customers enroll in CARE, PG&E contracted with 158 Community Outreach Contractors (COCs) throughout its service area. These COCs represent a variety of communities, including African Americans, Hispanics, Asian Pacific Islander Americans (Chinese, Vietnamese, Laotian, Hmong), Native Americans, seniors, rural communities, agricultural workers, sub-metered tenants, and nonprofit living facilities.

To reach new customers that are tech and web savvy, PG&E created a CARE Facebook fan page in October 2009. The fan page allowed PG&E to generate awareness about the program and encourage customers to apply online through the fan page itself. As part of its on-going enhancement, PG&E shared a powerful success story about the Vega family via a three minute video vignette starting in June.

PG&E's CARE Program worked with third-party vendors to enroll eligible customers via automated telephone enrollment and door-to-door canvassing:

• Automated Telephone Enrollment – PG&E contracted with a third-party vendor to enroll new customers and recertify existing customers by telephone. Year-to-date, PG&E has enrolled 60,773 new customers and recertified 49,930 existing customers through this method.

Door-to-Door Canvassing – PG&E contracted with third-party vendors, who conducted door-to-door campaigns to enroll hard-to-reach customers who typically would not respond to traditional outreach initiatives such as direct mail or a bill insert. Year-to-date, PG&E has enrolled 21,634 new customers through this method.

In September, PG&E's CARE Program participated in the following five outreach events where program representatives were available to answer questions and help customers enroll in the program:

- 2010 Downtown San Rafael Market Festival on 09/02/10 and 09/16/10.
- Nummi Career Transition Center Presentation in San Jose on 09/02/10.
- 2010 DreamPack Campaign in Oakland on 09/08/10.
- 13th Annual Senior Resource Fair in San Leandro on 09/10/10.
- 5th Annual Asian Resource Fair in Fresno on 09/25/10.

2.2.2. Describe the efforts taken to reach and coordinate the CARE program with other related low income programs to reach eligible customers.

PG&E currently exchanges data with Southern California Edison (SCE) Company and Southern California Gas (SCG) Company to automatically enroll their CARE customers who also receive PG&E service. PG&E also participates in data exchanges of qualified low income customers with the Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) and Modesto Irrigation District (MID). PG&E provides natural gas in the SMUD and MID electric service areas and will automatically enroll qualified low income customers served by SMUD and MID in CARE.

PG&E utilizes an internal report to automatically enroll customers who receive LIHEAP payments, as authorized in D.02-07-033. 3,874 LIHEAP customers have been automatically enrolled in CARE in 2010.

Through PG&E's Low Income Energy Efficiency Program (known as Energy Partners for PG&E), each home that receives LIEE services where the customer is not on the CARE rate is then signed up for the CARE discount. 1,942 LIEE participants were enrolled in CARE in September.

In addition, PG&E continues to integrate CARE and LIEE outreach efforts to effectively provide eligible customers with the knowledge and tools to access all of PG&E's free energy services.

2.2.3. Recertification Complaints

D.08-11-031, Ordering Paragraph 90, directed the IOUs to report in their monthly and annual reports, the number of customer complaints received regarding CARE recertification efforts and the nature of the complaints beginning with the first report due on or about December 31, 2008.

PG&E reports that it received no complaints about CARE recertification in September.

2.3. Miscellaneous

D.08-11-031, Ordering Paragraph 64, granted the IOUs discretion about how to enroll eligible public housing residents in each of their service areas. In response, PG&E contracted with 10 Public Housing Authority (PHA) as a Community Outreach Contractor (COC) to enroll their eligible residents in the program.

As authorized in D.08-11-031, PG&E partnered with The Center to Promote HealthCare Access, Inc., (The Center) to launch the CARE One-E-App Pilot on September 22, 2009. One-E-App is a Web-based, one-stop eligibility system accessible at a variety of community organizations, which connects families with a range of publicly funded health and human service programs. The pilot is currently taking place in Fresno, San Joaquin, Solano, Napa, Sacramento, Humboldt, and Sonoma Counties. Income-qualified customers in these counties are able to meet with a Certified Application Assister and enroll in CARE through the One-E-App system. The Center submitted the One-E-App CARE Pilot Report to Energy Division on March 17, 2010. The pilot has resulted in 43 new enrollments to date.

3. Appendix: LIEE Tables and CARE Tables

LIEE- Table 1- LIEE Program Expenses

LIEE- Table 2- LIEE Measure Installations and Savings

LIEE- Table 3- Average Bill Savings per Treated Home

LIEE- Table 4- LIEE Homes Treated

LIEE- Table 5- LIEE Customer Summary

LIEE- Table 6- Expenditures for Pilots and Studies

LIEE- Table 7- Whole Neighborhood Approach

CARE- Table 1- CARE Program Expenses

CARE- Table 2- Enrollment, Recertification, Attrition, and Penetration

CARE- Table 3- Standard Random Verification Results

CARE- Table 4- CARE Self-Certification and Self-Recertification Applications

CARE- Table 5- Enrollment by County

CARE- Table 6- Recertification Results

CARE- Table 7- Capitation Contractors

CARE- Table 8- Participants as of Month-End

	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н		J	K	L	М
1					LIEE T		ram Expenses - PG	&E					
2						Through Septer	mber 30, 2010						
3			Authorized Budget			rrent Month Expe			ear-To-Date Expens	% of Budget Spent YTD			
_	LIEE Program:	Electric	Gas	Total	Electric	Gas	Total	Electric	Gas	Total	Electric	Gas	Total
5	Energy Efficiency			1									
6	- Gas Appliances		\$ 15,877,351	\$ 15,877,351	\$ -	\$ 1,084,166			\$ 9,325,256		0.0%	58.7%	58.7%
7	- Electric Appliances	\$ 71,888,396	\$ -	\$ 71,888,396	\$ 5,219,742	\$ -	\$ 5,219,742	\$ 40,925,263	\$ -	\$ 40,925,263	56.9%	0.0%	56.9%
8	- Weatherization	\$ 7,902,536	\$ 41,026,660	\$ 48,929,196						7 7	64.1%		69.1%
9	- Outreach and Assessment	\$ 1,033,994									64.1%	64.1%	64.1%
10	- In Home Energy Education	\$ 9,305,949	\$ 5,010,895	\$ 14,316,844	\$ 768,831	\$ 413,986		\$ 6,331,788	\$ 3,409,424	\$ 9,741,212	68.0%	68.0%	68.0%
11	- Education Workshops	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	- Pilot ²	\$ 545,531	\$ 1,449,835	\$ 1,995,366	\$ 12,557	\$ 21,371		\$ 356,410	\$ 542,131	\$ 898,541	65.3%	37.4%	45.0%
13	- Cool Centers	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Energy Efficiency TOTAL	\$ 90,676,406	\$ 63,921,507	\$ 154,597,913	\$ 6,716,141	\$ 5,160,417	\$ 11,876,558	\$ 53,343,785	\$ 42,351,321	\$ 95,695,106	58.8%	66.3%	61.9%
15	70%	8.439											
_	Training Center	\$ 589,191	\$ 317,257	\$ 906,448				\$ 192,454			32.7%	32.7%	32.7%
17	nspections	\$ 3,723,057	· · · ·	\$ 5,727,780	, ,					. , ,	61.5%	61.5%	61.5%
	Marketing	\$ 1,239,965		\$ 1,907,638				\$ 466,717			37.6%	37.6%	37.6%
	M&E Studies	\$ 392,677	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ 604,118							12.3%	12.3%	12.3%
_	Regulatory Compliance	\$ 188,339		\$ 289,752				\$ 77,669			41.2%	41.2%	41.2%
	General Administration	\$ 2,414,077	\$ 1,299,888	\$ 3,713,965		\$ 74,190		\$ 1,167,900		\$ 1,796,769	48.4%	48.4%	48.4%
22	CPUC Energy Division	\$ 65,143	\$ 35,077	\$ 100,220	\$ 5,009	\$ 2,697	\$ 7,706	\$ 20,950	\$ 11,28	1 \$ 32,231	32.2%	32.2%	32.2%
23													
	TOTAL PROGRAM COSTS	\$ 99,288,855	\$ 68,558,979	\$ 167,847,834	. , ,	, -,,	. , ,	. , ,	\$ 44,646,909	\$ 102,253,930	58.0%	65.1%	60.9%
25							EE Program Budge				S		
_	Indirect Costs				\$ (6,613)	\$ (2,655)	\$ (9,267)	\$ 673,56	7 \$ 366,479	\$ 1,040,046			
27													
	NGAT Costs					\$ 267,614	\$ 267,614		\$ 1,788,498	3 \$ 1,788,498			
29	4 Australia d Dudastia tuda DV O	000 0	-6.040.0014 41)								
30	1 Authorized Budget includes PY 2 2 Authorized Pilot Budget includes												

15

10/21/2010

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
	LIEI			sure Install		avings		
1				Electric Co	, ,			
3			nrougn Se	otember 30, Year-To		d & Expensed Ir	stallations	
4	Measures	Units	Quantity Installed	kWh [5] (Annual)	kW [5] (Annual)	Therms [5] (Annual)	Expenses [6] (\$)	% of Expenditures
5	Heating Systems	Units	mstaned	(Aillidai)	(Aillidai)	(Ailliaal)	(Ψ)	Experioritares
6 7	Furnaces (7) Cooling Measures	Each	1,918	-	•	6,183	2,098,069	2.32%
8	- A/C Replacement - Room	Each	2,094	219,937	334	-	2,240,717	2.48%
9 10	- A/C Replacement - Central - A/C Tune-up - Central	Each Each	38 6,416	11,988 1,382,838	19 2,131		85,309 1,742,230	0.09% 1.93%
11 12	- A/C Services - Central - Heat Pump	Each Each	770	(4)		311111		
13	- Evaporative Coolers	Each	4,275	1,177,983	737	-	2,652,346	2.93%
14 15	- Evaporative Cooler Maintenance - Clock Thermostat	Each Each						
16	Infiltration & Space Conditioning		90.405	500 700	100	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1	95.040.504	(FUID FW 100)
17 18	Envelope and Air Sealing Measures [1] Duct Sealing	Home Home	63,495 3,378	522,732 409,088	95 37	533,138 112,000	25,240,521 2,318,516	27.93% 2.57%
19 20	Attic Insulation Water Heater Savings	Home	5,505	231,946	290	313,222	6,727,701	7.44%
21	Water Heater Conservation Measures [2]	Home	71,153	1,525,035	335	899,824	4,159,325	4.60%
22	- Water Heater Replacement - Gas ^[7] - Water Heater Replacement - Electric ^[7]	Each Each	880	-	-	10,648	798,427	0.88%
24	- Tankless Water Heater - Gas	Each		P/III/				
25 26	- Tankless Water Heater - Electric Lighting Measures	Each						
27 28	- CFLs - Interior Hard wired CFL fixtures	Each Each	364,063 162,867	5,825,008 9,283,419	728 1,966	-	2,563,685 12,511,023	2.84% 13.84%
29	- Exterior Hard wired CFL fixtures	Each	33,710	539,360	-	_	2,584,254	2.86%
30	- Torchiere Refrigerators	Each						
	Refrigerators - Primary Refrigerators - Secondary	Each Each	15,389	11,869,389	2,015	-	12,056,201	13.34%
34	Pool Pumps		W. C.					
	Pool Pumps New Measures	Each						
	Forced Air Unit Standing Pilot Change Out Furnace Clean and Tune	Each Each						
39	High Efficiency Clothes Washer	Each				5, 6, 6		
40	Microwave Thermostatic Shower Valve	Each Each				10.70		
	LED Night Lights Occupancy Sensor	Each Each	15,495	618,251	62	mi IIII jezh	866,242	0.96%
44	Torchiere	Each	11,691	2,383,678	231	-	934,177	1.03%
45 46	Pilots A/C Tune-up - Central	Home						
47 48	Interior Hard wired CFL fixtures Ceiling Fans	Each Each						
49	In-Home Display	Each						100.75
	Programmable Controllable Thermostat Forced Air Unit	Each Each						
52 53	Microwave [8] High Efficiency Clothes Washer	Each Each	2,864 800	57,470 14,556	5	55,866 20,754	260,593 546,458	0.29% 0.60%
54		Lacii	000	14,550	-	20,704	340,430	0.0076
55 56	Customer Enrollment - Outreach & Assessment	Home	94,207				942,320	1.04%
57 58	- In-Home Education - Education Workshops	Home Participants	94,207				9,049,545	10.01%
59	- Ladeation Workshops					2.61		
60 61	Total Savings/Expenditures			36,072,677	8,986	1,951,635	90,377,658	100%
62 63	Homes Weatherized [3]	Home	76,341					
64	Homes Treated		. 5,041					
66	- Single Family Homes Treated	Home	73,069					
67 68	- Multi-family Homes Treated - Mobile Homes Treated	Home Home	15,912 5,226					
69	- Total Number of Homes Treated #Eliqible Homes to be Treated for PY ^[4]	Home	94,207					
71	% of Homes Treated % of Homes Treated	Home %	124,991 75.37%					
73	- Total Master-Metered Homes Treated	Home	3,240					
74 75	[1] Envelope and Air Sealing Measures may inclu	ide outlet cove	er plate naskets	attic access weat	therization weat	herstrinning - dog	r caulking and	
76	minor home repairs. Minor home repairs pred-	ominantly are	door jamb repair	/ replacement, do	oor repair, and w	indow putty.	•	
	[2] Water Heater Conservation Measures may ine[3] Weatherization may consist of attic insulation,							
	[4] Based on Attachment H of D0811031[5] All savings are calculated based on the follow	ing sources:						
81	M&E is from Impact Evaluation of the 2005 Ca	alifornia LIEE F		teport submitted t	o			
82 83	SCE by West Hill Energy & Computing, Inc., M&E is from the Report on the Assessment	of Proposed N	ew Program Yea	ar 2006,				
84 85	LIEE Program Measures by LIEE Standardiz M&E is from the LIEE Measure Cost Effectiv			003.				
86	06-08 DEER and PG&E Workpapers.		, , ,					
	[6] Costs exclude support costs that are included[7] Includes both Replacement and Repair.							
	[8] Microwave savings are calculated on the basis customer has an electric oven/cooktop, electric m					•	•	
00	gas oven/cooktop, electric microwave use is show							
89	be verified in an impact evaluation.							

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G
1			V 1515				
3			Year-to-Date Expenses fro	om LIEE Table 2			
4			Cooling Measures	\$6,720,601		7.4%	
5			Water Heating	\$4,957,752		5.5%	
6			Furnaces	\$2,098,069		2.3%	
7			Lighting	\$17,658,962		19.5%	
8			Refrigerators	\$12,056,201		13.3%	
9			Pool Pumps	\$0		0.0%	
10			Infiltration & Space Conditioning	\$34,286,738		37.9%	
11			New Measures	\$1,800,420		2.0%	
12			Enrollment	\$9,991,865		11.1%	
13			Pilots	\$807,051		0.9%	
14				*** ***			
15			Total	\$90,377,658			
16							
17 18			LIFE Vocate Data Fund	malitumana har Managuma Cu			
19			LIEE Year-to-Date Expe	naitures by Measure Gr	oup		
20							
21			Enrollment	Pilots Cooling Me			
22			11.1%	0.9% 7.4%		r Heating	
23			N. Marana			5.5%	
24			New Measures 2.0%			Furnaces	
25			2.0 %		λ	2.3%	
26					M,	}	
27						h	
28							
29						1	
30							
31							
32						Lio	hting
33							9.5%
34						$\sim M_{\odot}$	
35						\sim / \sim	
36 37						< I/	
38			tration & Space		1		
39		•	Conditioning				
40			37.9%			•	
41							
42					Refrigera		
43				Pool Pumps	13.3%	6	
44				0.0%			
45							

	А	В									
	LIEE Table 3 - Average Bill S	Savings per									
	Treated Home										
1	Pacific Gas & Electric Company										
2	Through September 30	, 2010									
3	Year-to-date Installations - Expen	sed									
4	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	00.070.077									
5	Annual kWh Savings	36,072,677									
6	Annual Therm Savings	1,951,635									
7	Lifecycle kWh Savings	498,382,488									
8	Lifecycle Therm Savings	21,261,961									
9	Current kWh Rate	\$ 0.0913									
10	Current Therm Rate	\$ 0.8177									
11	Number of Treated Homes	94,207									
12	Average 1st Year Bill Savings / Treated Home	\$ 51.86									
13	Average Lifecycle Bill Savings / Treated Home	\$ 502.20									

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G
	LIEI	E Table 4	4 - LIEE I	Homes T	reate	d	
						u	
1	Pa	icitic Ga	s & Elec	tric Com	pany		
2	1	hrough	Septem	ber 30, 2	010		
3	County	Elig	jible Custom	ers	Homes	Treated	Year to Date
	-						
4		Rural	Urban	Total	Rural	Urban	Total
5	ALAMEDA	19	189,671	189,690	0	10,294	10,294
6	ALPINE	171	36	206	0	0	0
7	AMADOR	5,347	124	5,470	201	12	213
-	BUTTE	47,121	248	47,369	2,199	0	2,199
9	CALAVERAS	7,985	893	8,878	97	24	121
	COLUSA	3,669	118	3,786	295	9	304
	CONTRA COSTA	634	93,031	93,665	6	4,976	4,982
	EL DORADO	12,723	96	12,819	766	6	772
	FRESNO	15,402	135,292	150,693	454	8,668	9,122
	GLENN	5,006	485	5,490	170	19	189
	HUMBOLDT	28,445	681	29,126	1,303	7	1,310
	KERN KINGS	47,948 9,735	51,149 175	99,097 9,910	3,882 848	2,055 0	5,937
	LAKE	16,858	1,445	18,302	354	1	848 355
	LASSEN	194	1,445	315	5	24	29
	MADERA	20,130	987	21,117	681	0	681
	MARIN	2,615	20,652	23,267	86	685	771
	MARIPOSA	1,401	2,469	3,870	5	6	11
	MENDOCINO	15,119	450	15,569	102	0	102
$\overline{}$	MERCED	13,387	24,349	37,735	1,560	1,597	3,157
	MONTEREY	7,747	41,441	49,188	871	942	1,813
$\overline{}$	NAPA	2,351	13,561	15,912	194	627	821
27	NEVADA	11,219	606	11,825	913	3	916
28	PLACER	5,477	19,965	25,442	644	918	1,562
29	PLUMAS	3,332	12	3,344	300	2	302
30	SACRAMENTO	956	153,592	154,548	27	5,625	5,652
	SAN BENITO	4,819	212	5,031	300	3	303
	SAN BERNARDINO	412	1	413	33	0	33
	SAN FRANCISCO	-	151,466	151,466	0	3,185	3,185
	SAN JOAQUIN	10,086	83,150	93,236	987	5,260	6,247
$\overline{}$	SAN LUIS OBISPO	35,664	324	35,988	790	0	790
	SAN MATEO	1,478	60,366	61,844	25	3,112	3,137
	SANTA BARBARA	10,625	8,213	18,838	1,178	492	1,670
	SANTA CLARA	3,568	141,118	144,686	134	6,850	6,984
	SANTA CRUZ	6,585	25,709	32,294	165	1,345	1,510
	SHASTA	10,858	17,768	28,626	395	728	1,123
	SIERRA	315	-	315	28	0	28
	SISKIYOU	24 3,110	40.741	24 43 851	63	0 2,795	2 959
	SOLANO SONOMA	15,516	40,741 38,731	43,851 54,247	390	2,795	2,858 2,399
	STANISLAUS	11,324	53,705	65,030	989	4,134	2,399 5,123
	SUTTER	14,643	113	14,757	1,028	4,134	1,034
	TEHAMA	12,545	421	12,966	643	8	651
	TRINITY	859	2	861	2	0	2
	TULARE	7,522	1,133	8,655	746	73	819
	TUOLUMNE	10,423	372	10,794	800	2	802
-	YOLO	14,227	19,580	33,807	671	1,350	2,021
52	YUBA	14,298	25	14,324	1,023	0	1,023
	Total	473,890				67,852	94,207

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	М	N	0	Р	Q
1						LIE	E Table 5	- LIEE C	ustomer	Summa	ry - PG8	ķΕ					
2	Through September 30, 2010																
3	Gas & Electric Gas Only Electric Only Total																
4		Homes		(Annual)		Homes		(Annual)		Homes		(Annual)		Homes		(Annual)	
5	Month	Treated	Therm	kWh	kW	Treated	Therm	kWh	kW	Treated	Therm	kWh	kW	Treated	Therm	kWh	kW
6	January 2010	3,385	67,416	967,434	180.5	536	15,616	7,456	3.4	961	559	381,538	75.4	4,882	83,591	1,356,427	259
7	Jan-28	10,247	220,873	3,703,715	689.7	1,418	44,153	23,821	10.2	2,469	1,859	1,222,354	252.4	14,134	266,885	4,949,890	952
8	March 2010	18,672	415,069	7,136,979	1,318.2	2,943	88,219	41,648	18.1	4,369	3,927	2,324,868	492.6	25,984	507,214	9,503,495	1,829
9	April 2010	26,111	589,419	10,085,685	1,866.6	4,421	134,550	55,083	25.9	6,179	5,613	3,322,537	709.6	36,711	729,582	13,463,305	2,602
10	May 2010	33,550	759,768	13,058,465	2,434.5	5,750	179,453	71,227	3 2.9	7,878	7,161	4,310,882	926.1	47,178	946,381	17,440,574	3,394
11	June 2010	42,616	968,782	16,623,955	3,166.0	7,254	231,035	92,772	42.2	9,830	8,709	5,375,612	1,163.4	59,700	1,208,526	22,092,339	4,372
12	July 2010	49,675	1,157,131	19,950,301	4,283.9	8,789	282,467	99,501	5 0.2	12,015	13,204	6,611,588	1,489.3	70,479	1,452,802	26,661,390	5,823
13	August 2010	57,695	1,353,510	23,429,206	5,449.4	10,597	341,206	130,947	6 2.3	14,493	17,868	8,043,215	1,906.0	82,785	1,712,585	31,603,369	7,418
14	September 2010	65,325	1,537,033	26,589,615	6,588.0	12,064	392,422	161,400	76.5	16,818	22,180	9,321,662	2,321.9	94,207	1,951,635	36,072,677	8,986
15	October 2010																
16	November 2010																
17	December 2010				·		·	Ī					_	·			

Figures for each month are YTD. December results should approximate calendar year results. Therms and kWh savings are annualfigures. Total Energy Impacts for all fuel types should equal YTD energy impacts that are reported every month in LIEE Table 2.

20

10/21/2010

	A		В		С		D		Е		F		G		Н		1		J	K	L	M
1							LII	EE Ta	able 6 - E	xper	nditures fo	r Pi	ots and S	tudi	ies							
2									Pacific	Gas	& Electri	с Со	mpany									
3									5	Sept	ember 30,	201	0									
4	Authorized 3-Year Budget Current Month Expenses Since January 1, 2009 % of 3-Year Budget Spent																					
5			Electric		Gas		Total	Ш	lectric		Gas		Total		Electric		Gas		Total	Electric	Gas	Total
6	Pilots:																			¥		
7	-Meals On Wheels	\$	300,000	\$	-	\$	300,000	\$	8,786	\$	-	\$	8,786	\$	271,064	\$	-	\$	271,064	90%	0%	90%
	-On Line EP Training	\$	67,500		382,500		450,000		-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	0%	0%
	City of San Joaquin	\$	61,500	\$	348,500	\$	410,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	0%	0%	0%
	High Efficiency Clothes Washers	\$	112,500	\$	637,500	\$	750,000	\$	3,771	\$	21,371	\$	25,142	\$	84,883	\$	481,005	\$	565,889	75%	75%	75%
11	CPUC-WE&T Pilot							\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	13,500	\$	76,500	\$	90,000			
12		上														L						
13																						
14	Total Pilots	\$	541,500	\$ 1	1,368,500	\$	1,910,000	\$	12,557	\$	21,371	\$	33,928	\$	369,447	\$	557,505	\$	926,953	68%	41%	49%
14 15	Total Pilots	\$	541,500	\$ ^	1,368,500	\$	1,910,000	\$	12,557	 \$	21,371	\$	33,928	\$	369,447	\$	557,505	\$	926,953	68%	41%	49%
15	Total Pilots Studies:	\$	541,500	\$ -					12,557	\$	21,371	\$	33,928	\$			557,505	\$	926,953	68%	41%	49%
15 16 17	Studies: Low Income Non-Energy Benefits	\$ \$	58,500	\$	31,500	\$	90,000	S		S	- -	\$	- -	\$	8,168	\$	4,398	\$	12,566	14%	14%	14%
15 16 17 18	Studies: Low Income Non-Energy Benefits 2009 Process Evaluation	\$ \$	58,500 48,750	\$ \$	31,500 26,250	\$	90,000 75,000	\$	12,557 - 7,745	S	21,371 - 4,170	T	33,928 - 11,915			\$		\$		14% 16%	14% 16%	14% 16%
15 16 17 18	Studies: Low Income Non-Energy Benefits	\$ \$ \$ \$	58,500	\$ \$	31,500 26,250	\$	90,000	\$		\$ \$ \$	- -	\$	- -	\$	8,168 7,745	\$	4,398 4,170	\$	12,566 11,915 -	14% 16% 0%	14% 16% 0%	14% 16% 0%
15 16 17 18 19 20	Studies: Low Income Non-Energy Benefits 2009 Process Evaluation Household Segmentation Study Impact Evaluation	\$ \$ \$ \$	58,500 48,750 78,000	\$ \$ \$	31,500 26,250 42,000	\$	90,000 75,000 120,000	\$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$ \$	- -	\$ \$	- -	\$ \$ \$	8,168 7,745	\$ \$ \$ \$	4,398	\$ \$ \$	12,566 11,915	14% 16% 0% 0%	14% 16% 0% 0%	14% 16% 0% 0%
15 16 17 18 19 20	Studies: Low Income Non-Energy Benefits 2009 Process Evaluation Household Segmentation Study	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	58,500 48,750	\$ \$ \$	31,500 26,250	\$ \$ \$	90,000 75,000	\$		\$ \$ \$	- -	\$ \$ \$	- -	\$	8,168 7,745	\$	4,398 4,170	\$	12,566 11,915 -	14% 16% 0%	14% 16% 0%	14% 16% 0%
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Studies: Low Income Non-Energy Benefits 2009 Process Evaluation Household Segmentation Study Impact Evaluation	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	58,500 48,750 78,000	\$ \$ \$	31,500 26,250 42,000	\$ \$ \$	90,000 75,000 120,000	\$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$ \$	- -	\$ \$	- -	\$ \$ \$	8,168 7,745 - 32,229	\$ \$ \$ \$	4,398 4,170	\$ \$ \$	12,566 11,915 -	14% 16% 0% 0%	14% 16% 0% 0%	14% 16% 0% 0%
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Studies: Low Income Non-Energy Benefits 2009 Process Evaluation Household Segmentation Study Impact Evaluation	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	58,500 48,750 78,000	\$ \$ \$	31,500 26,250 42,000	\$ \$ \$	90,000 75,000 120,000	\$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$ \$	- -	\$ \$	- -	\$ \$ \$	8,168 7,745 - 32,229	\$ \$ \$ \$	4,398 4,170	\$ \$ \$	12,566 11,915 -	14% 16% 0% 0%	14% 16% 0% 0%	14% 16% 0% 0%
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Studies: Low Income Non-Energy Benefits 2009 Process Evaluation Household Segmentation Study Impact Evaluation Refrigerator Degradation Study	\$ \$ \$ \$	58,500 48,750 78,000 - 43,334	\$ \$ \$ \$	31,500 26,250 42,000 - 23,333	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	90,000 75,000 120,000 - 66,667	\$ \$ \$	7,745 - - - -	\$ \$ \$	- 4,170 - -	\$ \$ \$	- 11,915 - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	8,168 7,745 - 32,229	\$ \$	4,398 4,170 - 17,354	\$ \$ \$	12,566 11,915 - 49,583	14% 16% 0% 0% 0%	14% 16% 0% 0% 0%	14% 16% 0% 0% 0%
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Studies: Low Income Non-Energy Benefits 2009 Process Evaluation Household Segmentation Study Impact Evaluation	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	58,500 48,750 78,000	\$ \$ \$ \$	31,500 26,250 42,000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	90,000 75,000 120,000	\$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$	- -	\$ \$ \$	- -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	8,168 7,745 - 32,229	\$ \$	4,398 4,170	\$ \$ \$	12,566 11,915 -	14% 16% 0% 0%	14% 16% 0% 0%	14% 16% 0% 0%
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Studies: Low Income Non-Energy Benefits 2009 Process Evaluation Household Segmentation Study Impact Evaluation Refrigerator Degradation Study	\$ \$ \$ \$	58,500 48,750 78,000 - 43,334 228,584	\$ \$ \$ \$	31,500 26,250 42,000 - 23,333 123,083	\$ \$ \$ \$	90,000 75,000 120,000 - 66,667 351,667	\$ \$ \$ \$	7,745	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	4,170 - - - - - 4,170	\$ \$ \$ \$	- 11,915 - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	8,168 7,745 - 32,229 - 48,142	\$ \$ \$	4,398 4,170 - 17,354 - 25,923	\$ \$ \$	12,566 11,915 - 49,583 - 74,065	14% 16% 0% 0% 0%	14% 16% 0% 0% 0%	14% 16% 0% 0% 0%

	A	В	С	D	E
1		LIEE Tabl	e 7 - PG&E		
2		Whole Neighbo	rhood Approach		
3		-	ember 30, 2010		
4	A	В	С	D	E
	Neighborhood (County, Zipcode, Zip+7 etc.)				
5	Targeted	Total Residential Customers	Total Estimated Eligible	Total Treated 2002-2009	Total Treated Year to Date
6	Windsor 95492-94	1,074	505	68	59
7	Santa Rosa 95403-24	839	173	14	61
8	Sacramento, 95824	8,545	5,223	879	617
9	Rohnert Park 94928-26	961	342	132	70
10	Oakland, 94607-42	1,414	971	145	82
11	Fairfield, 94533-52	462	208	88	57
12	Fairfield, 94533-39	431	237	63	96
13	Fairfield, 94533-38	180	65	9	48
14	Fairfield, 94533-37	412	145	25	90
15	Clovis 93612-24	825	473	102	60
16	Census tract 125, San Francisco*	8,050	6,826	2,241	730
17	Calistoga 94515-97	1,026	435	55	75
18	Calistoga 94515-12	552	166	57	116
19	Oroville 95966-66	325	281	89	33
20	Chico 95926-36	536	462	120	110
21	Sacramento 95824-36	280	239	11	108
22	Sacramento 95824-25	370	301	94	37
23	Sacramento 95820-12	262	211	20	32
24	Merced, 95348-16	475	379	133	21
25	Merced 95341-68	379	317	152	83
26	Merced 95341-65	254	202	50	36
27	Merced 95341-61	199	168	66	41
28	Merced 95341-60	210	168	59	55
29	Merced 95340-49	404	324	84	51
30	Stockton 95206-33	473	383	125	30
31	Stockton 95204-22	289	260	31	30
32	Fresno 93721-15	312	259	150	28
33	Fresno 93706-40	131	112	46	20
34	Fresno 93706-38	307	252	155	25
35	Fresno 93702-31	438	352	180	32
36	Fresno 93702-18	340	288	130	41
37	Fresno 93702-13	288	247	101	25
38	Fresno 93702-12	324	278	103	40

	A	В	С	D	E
	Neighborhood (County, Zipcode, Zip+7 etc.)				
5	Targeted	Total Residential Customers	Total Estimated Eligible	Total Treated 2002-2009	Total Treated Year to Date
39	Fresno 93702-11	157	126	71	21
40	Fresno 93701-25	379	320	110	40
41	Fresno 93701-23	182	145	46	27
42	Fresno 93701-22	167	142	62	23
43	Fresno 93701-21	251	212	81	27
44	Fresno 93701-20	256	212	110	23
45	Fresno 93701-18	517	450	252	40
46	Fresno 93701-17	497	422	184	37
47	Fresno 93701-16	279	228	81	24
48	Fresno 93701-11	303	256	100	43
49	Fresno 93305-48	286	233	104	25
50	Fresno 93305-47	388	319	136	23
51	Fresno 93305-44	288	241	66	24
52	Fresno 93305-27	366	294	100	28
53	Fresno 93241-27	195	155	62	44
54	Fresno 93241-26	197	163	51	61
55	Based on eligibility information for ZIP code 94102				

	A	В		С	D		Е		F		G		Н	1		J	K	L	M
1					CARE 1	abl	e 1 - CA	RE P	rogra	m	Expens	es -	- PG&E	•					
2						7	Through	Sept	tembe	er 3	30, 2010)							
3	Final		Αι	uthorized Budge	et				nth Expen				Yea	r to Date Ex	pense	es	% o	of Budget Spent Y	TD
4	CARE Program:	Electric		Gas	Total		Electric	G	as		Total	Εl	ectric	Gas		Total	Electric	Gas	Total
_	Outreach ^[1]	\$ 4,960,	000 3	\$ 1,140,000	\$ 6,100,0	_		\$	100,679	\$	506,306	\$	3,030,881	\$ 727,	313	\$ 3,758,194	61%	64%	62%
ـــّـــا	Automatic Enrollment	\$ 120,		\$ 30,000	\$ 150,0	00	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- :	\$ -	0%	0%	0%
\vdash	Processing/ Certification/Verification	\$ 1,520,	_		\$ 1,900,0	_		_	25,374	\$		\$	898,715		_	\$ 1,123,394	59%	59%	59%
$\boldsymbol{-}$	Information Technology / Programming	\$ 120,	000	\$ 30,000	\$ 150,0	00 \$	18,829	\$	4,707	\$	23,536	\$	92,217	\$ 23,0)54	\$ 115,271	77%	77%	77%
9																			
ت ا	Pilots																		
	Recert and PEV Non-Response Study			\$ 15,000	\$ 75,0	_	·		34	_	170		23,577		_	\$ 29,471	39%	39%	39%
	One-E-App	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	Ť		\$		\$	-	\$		•	-	\$ -	0%	0%	0%
13	- Pilot Total Pilots	\$	_	\$ -	\$ -	Ť		\$		\$		\$		Ψ	_	\$ -	0%	0%	0%
	Total Pilots	\$ 60,	000	\$ 15,000	\$ 75,0	00 \$	136	\$	34	\$	170	\$	23,577	\$ 5,8	394 :	\$ 29,471	39%	39%	39%
15	Measurement & Evaluation	L		•	l .			La		•						•	904	994	904
		\$	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$		\$		\$	-	\$		<u> </u>	_	\$ -	0%	0%	0%
-	Regulatory Compliance General Administration	\$ 88,			\$ 110,0			,	-,	\$	11,166	\$,	\$ 16,		\$ 80,703	73%	73%	73%
\vdash	CPUC Energy Division	\$ 420, \$ 164	_	\$ 105,000	\$ 525,0	_				\$	55,704	\$	169,526	\$ 42,	-	\$ 211,908	40%	40%	40%
19 20	CFOC Energy Division	\$ 164,	800	\$ 41,200	\$ 206,0	00 \$	14,385	\$	3,596	\$	17,981	\$	74,215	\$ 18,	554	\$ 92,769	45%	45%	45%
	SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT COSTS	\$ 7,452.	000	\$ 1,763,200	\$ 9,216,0	00 \$	593,971	Ισ	147,765	6	741,735	\$ 4	4.353,693	\$ 1,058,0	346 L	\$ 5,411,710	58%	60%	59%
22	SOBTOTAL MANAGEMENT COOTS	j 7,432,	800 .	\$ 1,763,200	\$ 9,210,0	00 a	595,971	ΙΦ	147,765	э М	141,133	Φ ²	4,333,693	φ 1,000,0	010 .	5 5,411,710	30%	60%	59%
-	CARE Rate Discount [2]	\$ 377,728,	580	\$ 92,386,757	\$ 470,115,3	37 \$	64,561,987	\$ 4.	,741,129	\$	69,303,116	\$ 504	4 774 365	\$ 72,096,4	125	\$ 576,870,790	134%	78%	123%
_	Service Establishment Charge Discount	\$		\$ -	\$	S	04,001,007	\$		\$	2	\$	4,774,000	\$	$\overline{}$	\$ -	0%	0%	0%
25						1.4	30	_ T				-		*		(3)Bmmm(3)	5,0		3
	TOTAL PROGRAM COSTS & CUSTOMER									46333333			(1) (1 100000000000000000000000000000000			******************			
26	DISCOUNTS	\$ 385,181,	380	\$ 94,149,957	\$ 479,331,3	37 \$	65,155,957	\$ 4,	,888,894	\$	70,044,851	\$ 509	9,128,059	\$ 73,154,4	141 3	\$ 582,282,500	132%	78%	121%
27																			3 E E
	Other CARE Rate Benefits																		
29	- DWR Bond Charge Exemption					\$	3,967,388			\$	3,967,388	\$ 31	1,366,926		,	\$ 31,366,926			7
30	- CARE PPP Exemption [3]					\$	4,127,096	\$	405,382	\$	4,532,478	\$ 32	2,397,346	\$ 7,364,6	555	\$ 39,762,001		# .7	,th.
31	- California Solar Initiative Exemption [4]					\$	-			\$	-	\$ 3	3,419,813			\$ 3,419,813		311	1800,711
32	- kWh Surcharge Exemption																		
33	Total - Other CARE Rate Benefits					\$	8,094,484	\$	405,382	\$	8,499,866	\$ 67	7,184,086	\$ 7,364,6	555	\$ 74,548,741			
34																			
	Indirect Costs					\$	44,059	\$	10,899	\$	54,958	\$	337,021	\$ 83,	796	\$ 420,817			
36																			
37	1) The Outreach category includes expenses from C				_				-										
38	^{2]} The Authorized Budget for the CARE Rate Discou						-		•				ded this esti	mate.					
39	Per D.02-09-021, PG&E is authorized to recover			_		-	-				_	S.							
40	3] PPP Exemption - CARE customers are exempt fr			ogram costs inclu	uding PPP cos	ts for C	CARE admin. ar	nd the CA	ARE surcha	arge.									
41	^{4]} CSI collection suspended from 6/1/10 to 12/31/10	per D.10-04-	017.																

24

10/21/2010

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	K	L	M	N	0	Р	Q	R
1						CARE	Table 2 - E	nrollment, l	Recertificati	on, Attritic	n, & Penetra	tion - PG&I	Ē					
2								Throu	gh Septem	ber 30, 201	0							
3							Gross Enrollme	nt						Enroll	ment			
4]			Automatic	Enrollment							Total			Net	Total	Estimated	Penetration
5	2010	Inter-Utility 1	Intra-Utility 2	Leveraging ³	One-e-App ⁴	SB580	Combined (B+C+D+E+F)	Capitation	Other Sources	Total (G+H+I)	Recertification	Adjusted (J+K)	Attrition (Drop Offs)	Net (L-M)	Adjusted (N-K)	CARE Participants	CARE Eligible	Rate % (P/Q)
6	January	0	2,028	0	0	0	2,028	1,161	34,350	37,539	32,761	70,300	21,280	49,020	16,259	1,367,674	1,607,597	85%
7	February	0	3,762	0	0	0	3,762	776	43,062	47,600	36,232	83,832	15,517	68,315	32,083	1,399,757	1,607,597	87%
8	March	868	1,986	0	19	0	2,873	285	46,979	50,137	42,609	92,746	19,005	73,741	31,132	1,430,889	1,607,597	89%
9	April	0	2,079	0	4	0	2,083	742	27,848	30,673	29,942	60,615	19,636	40,979	11,037	1,441,926	1,616,201	89%
10	May	79	3,366	0	2	0	3,447	308	28,871	32,626	36,202	68,828	25,597	43,231	7,029	1,448,955	1,616,201	90%
11	June	1,704	1,903	1,588	2	0	5,197	329	34,107	39,633	37,468	77,101	25,391	51,710	14,242	1,463,197	1,616,201	91%
12	July	0	2,120	0	0	0	2,120	534	23,836	26,490	33,979	60,469	28,956	31,513	-2,466	1,460,731	1,617,125	90%
13	August	0	3,917	0	0	0	3,917	403	33,654	37,974	32,503	70,477	24,833	45,644	13,141	1,473,872	1,617,125	91%
14	September	0	1,942	0	11	0	1,953	207	28,036	30,196	32,858	63,054	24,494	38,560	5,702	1,479,574	1,617,125	91%
15	October																	
16	November																	
17	December								·			_	· ·	·		, in the second		
18	YTD Total	2,651	23,103	1,588	38	C	27,380	4,745	300,743	332,868	314,554	647,422	204,709	442,713	128,159	1,479,574	1,617,125	91%
4.0																		

19 | 20 | Enrollments via data sharing between the IOUs.
21 | Enrollments via data sharing between departments and/or programs within the utility.
22 | The collments via data sharing with programs outside the IOU that serve low-income customers.

4 One-e-App is a pilot program set up by The Center to Promote Healthcare Access (The Center) and PG&E. The pilot will occur wi thin two PG&E counties to implement a strategy of automatic enrollment for low income customers into the CARE program based on customers' applications or reapplications or reapp

25

10/21/2010

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	l
1		(CARE Table	3 - Standard	Random Ve	rification Res	sults - PG&E		
2				Through	September	30, 2010			
3	2010	Total CARE Population	Participants Requested to Verify	% of Population Total	Participants Dropped (Due to no response)	Participants Dropped (Verified as Ineligible)	Total Dropped ¹	% Dropped through Random Verification	% of Total Population Dropped
4	January	1,367,674	1,476	0.11%	804	122	926	62.74%	0.07%
5	February	1,399,757	1,258	0.09%	564	152	716	56.92%	0.05%
6	March	1,430,889	10,807	0.76%	3,891	801	4,692	43.42%	0.33%
7	April	1,441,926	1,555	0.11%	657	214	871	56.01%	0.06%
8	May	1,448,955	765	0.05%	345	67	412	53.86%	0.03%
9	June	1,463,197	12,494	0.85%	5,298	888	6,186	49.51%	0.42%
10	July	1,460,731	1,120	0.08%					
11	August	1,473,872	15,170	1.03%					
12	September	1,479,574	8,691	0.59%					
13	October								
14	November								
15	December								
16	YTD Total	1,479,574	53,336	3.60%	11,559	2,244	13,803	48.68%	0.93%
17 18	¹ Verification resu	Its are tied to the m	onth initiated. The	erefore, verification	results may be pe	ending due to the ti	me permitt e for a	participant to respo	nd.

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G
1	CARE Table 4 - 0	CARE Self-Co	ertification ar	nd Self-Recert	tification App	lications - Po	&E
2		Т	hrough Sept	ember 30, 201	10		
3		Provided ²	Received	Approved	Denied	Pending/ Never Completed	Duplicates
4	YTD Total ¹	11,569,715	592,294	542,227	5,802	44,265	73,505
5	Percentage ³		100.00%	91.55%	0.98%	7.47%	12.41%
6							

7 Footnotes:

Includes sub-metered customers.

² Includes number of applications provided via direct mail campaigns, call centers, bill inserts and other outreach methods. Beause there are other means by which customers obtain applications which are not counted, this number is only an approximation.

10 $^{|3|}$ Percent of Received. Duplicates are also counted as Approved, so the total will not add up to 100%.

10/21/2010

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J
1		CARE Ta	ıble 5 - Eı	nrollment	by Coun	ty - PG&I			
2		•	Through	Septemb	er 30, <mark>2</mark> 01	0			
3	Est	imated Eligib	le	Tot	al Participan	ts	Pe	netration Rat	е
4 County	Urban	Rural ^[1]	Total	Urban	Rural ^[1]	Total	Urban	Rural ^[1]	Total
5 ALAMEDA	146,515	19	146,534	141,165	12	141,177	96%	63%	96%
6 ALPINE	34	169	203	0	18	18	0%	11%	9%
7 AMADOR	123	5,270	5,393	122	4,274	4,396	99%	81%	82%
8 BUTTE	236	44,377	44,613	160	35,797	35,957	68%	81%	81%
9 CALAVERAS	872	7,972	8,844	702	5,371	6,073	80%	67%	69%
10 COLUSA	114	3,568	3,682	71	3,065	3,136	62%	86%	85%
11 CONTRA COSTA	80,236	620	80,856	89,300	243	89,543	111%	39%	1119
12 EL DORADO	95	12,689	12,784	77	13,102	13,179	81%	103%	103%
13 FRESNO	126,750	14,933	141,682	127,092	13,318	140,410	100%	89%	99%
14 GLENN	472	4,906	5,378	500	4,087	4,587	106%	83%	85%
15 HUMBOLDT	666	26,469	27,134	434	20,205	20,639	65%	76%	76%
16 KERN	49,537	46,886	96,422	50,412	44,209	94,621	102%	94%	98%
17 KINGS	174	9,711	9,885	130	8,510	8,640	75%	88%	87%
18 LAKE	1,428	16,622	18,050	972	11,415	12,387	68%	69%	69%
19 LASSEN	122	194	316	89	107	196	73%	55%	62%
20 MADERA	984	19,843	20,828	957	19,176	20,133	97%	97%	97%
21 MARIN	16,637	2,221	18,858	13,237	1,946	15,183	80%	88%	819
22 MARIPOSA	2,465	1,340	3,804	1,876	727	2,603	76%	54%	68%
23 MENDOCINO	449	14,822	15,271	280	11,197	11,477	62%	76%	75%
24 MERCED	23,508	13,243	36,751	22,403	13,342	35,745	95%	101%	97%
25 MONTEREY	35,796	7,189	42,984	30,572	7,518	38,090	85%	105%	89%
26 NAPA	12,228	2,060	14,288	10,287	1,546	11,833	84%	75%	83%
27 NEVADA	606	10,903	11,509	414	9,372	9,786 23,225	68%	86%	85%
28 PLACER	19,249	5,459	24,708	17,052	6,173		89% 57%	113%	94%
29 PLUMAS 30 SACRAMENTO	120 077	3,317	3,329 130,879	108,853	1,998	2,005 109,272	84%	60%	60% 83%
30 SACRAMENTO 31 SAN BENITO	130,077 203	803 4,676	4,878	111	419 4,770	4,881	55%	52% 102%	100%
32 SAN BERNARDINO	203	4,676	4,676	111	365	366	127%	87%	87%
33 SAN FRANCISCO	89,679	0	89,679	72,911	0	72,911	81%	n/a	819
34 SAN JOAQUIN	76,451	9,727	86,178	72,715	10,849	83,564	95%	112%	97%
35 SAN LUIS OBISPO	313	35,288	35,601	91	23,239	23,330	29%	66%	66%
36 SAN MATEO	46,622	1,420	48,042	43,024	1,273	44,297	92%	90%	92%
37 SANTA BARBARA	8,024	10,490	18,514	7,754	9,854	17,608	97%	94%	95%
38 SANTA CLARA	109,773	3,293	113,066	117,044	3,261	120,305	107%	99%	106%
39 SANTA CRUZ	20,786	6,117	26,903	17,377	5,171	22,548	84%	85%	84%
40 SHASTA	17,264	10,399	27,662	12,900	8,992	21,892	75%	86%	79%
41 SIERRA	0	310	310	0	159	159	n/a	51%	51%
42 SISKIYOU	0	24	24	0	9	9	n/a	37%	37%
43 SOLANO	36,111	3,039	39,149	36,811	2,888	39,699	102%	95%	101%
44 SONOMA	34,982	14,641	49,623	35,816	11,079	46,895	102%	76%	95%
45 STANISLAUS	51,302	11,271	62,574	42,905	10,033	52,938	84%	89%	85%
46 SUTTER	108	13,192	13,300	86	13,454	13,540	79%	102%	102%
47 TEHAMA	415	12,356	12,771	269	11,024	11,293	65%	89%	88%
48 TRINITY	2	847	849	1	353	354	58%	42%	42%
49 TULARE	1,106	7,487	8,593	893	7,965	8,858	81%	106%	103%
50 TUOLUMNE	371	10,384	10,755	122	7,411	7,533	33%	71%	70%
51 YOLO	16,553	13,044	29,598	10,959	9,913	20,872	66%	76%	71%
52 YUBA	25	13,624	13,649	19	11,392	11,411	76%	84%	84%
53									
54 Total	1,159,475	457,649	1,617,125	1,088,973	390,601	1,479,574	94%	85%	91%

56 [1] "Rural" includes ZIP Codes classified as such by either the Rural Health Council or the Goldsmith modification that was developed to

57 identify small towns and rural areas within large metropolitan counties. ZIP Codes not defined as rural are classified as urban.

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
1		(CARE Table	6 - Recertific	ation Result	s - PG&E		
2			Thro	ugh Septem	ber 30, 2010			
3	2010	Total CARE Population	Participants Requested to Recertify ¹	% of Population Total	Participants Recertified ²	Participants Dropped ²	Recertification Rate % (E/C)	% of Total Population Dropped (F/B)
4	January	1,367,674	23,516	1.72%	19,510	4,006	82.96%	0.29%
5	February	1,399,757	27,151	1.94%	21,503	5,648	79.20%	0.40%
6	March	1,430,889	24,287	1.70%	18,316	5,971	75.41%	0.42%
7	April	1,441,926	25,372	1.76%	19,787	5,585	77.99%	0.39%
8	May	1,448,955	28,232	1.95%	20,236	7,996	71.68%	0.55%
9	June	1,463,197	21,243	1.45%	17,069	4,174	80.35%	0.29%
10	July	1,460,731	20,936	1.43%				
11	August	1,473,872	18,959	1.29%				
12	September	1,479,574	24,748	1.67%				
13	October							
14	November							
15	December							
16	YTD Total	1,479,574	214,444	14.49%	116,421	33,380	77.72%	2.26%
17 18 19	¹ Does not include par ² Results are tied to th	•		•	•	ted for a participa	nt to re s ond	

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
1	CARE Table 7 - Capitat	ion Contr	actor	s - PG&E				
2	Through Septe							
			Contr	actor Type		Ye	ear to Da	ite
3				more if applic			nrollmen	
4	Contractor Name	Private	СВО	WMDVBE	LIHEAP	Rural	Urban	Total
	Advancing Vibrant Communities, Inc		X			0	1	1
	Airport Neighbors United Alameda County Associated Community Action (ACAP)		X			0	0 8	0 8
	Allen Temple Health and Social Services Ministries		 ^			0	231	231
	Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency		Х			48	4	52
	Anderson Cottonwood Christian Assistance		Х			0	14	14
	ARC of San Francisco		X			0	0	0
	Arriba Juntos		X			0	3	3
	Area 4 Agency on Aging / Helpline Information and Assistance Area 12 Agency on Aging	X	 ^			0	2	2
	Asian Community Center	 ^	X			0	8	8
	Asian Community Mental Health Services		X			0	3	3
	Asian Pacific American Community		Х			0	0	0
	Asian Resources		X			0	21	21
	Berkeley Housing Authority		X			0	20	20
	Boys and Girls Club of Stockton California Association of Area Agencies on Aging		X			0 32	0 219	0 251
	California Association of Area Agencies on Aging California Association of the Physically Handicapped, Inc (Fresno)		X			0	0	0
	California Council of the Blind		X			0	1	1
24	California Diversified Services		Х			3	1	4
_	California Human Development Corporation		Х			0	2	2
	California Welfare To Independence Network 2000, Inc				Х	0	3	3
	Canal Alliance		X			0	2	2
	Carecen Family Services Program Catholic Charities Diocese of Fresno		X			0 27	125	0 152
	Catholic Charities Diocese of Tresho Catholic Charities Diocese of Stockton		x			0	1 1	1
	Center for Training and Careers, Inc		X			0	1	1
32	Center of Vision Enhancement		Х			0	6	6
	Central Coast Center for Independent Living		Х			0	0	0
	Central Coast Energy Services, Inc		X			45	214	259
	Central Valley Opportunity Center Centro La Familia Advocacy Services		X			0	14	14
	Centro La Familia Advocacy Services Centro Legal de La Raza, Inc		X			0	0 2	0 2
	Chabot College Foundation		X			0	4	4
_	Charterhouse Center for Families		X			4	19	23
40	Charles P. Foster Foundation	X				0	0	0
	Child Abuse Prevention Council		Х			0	4	4
	Child Care Links		X	X		0	8	8
	Chinese Christian Herald Crusades Chinese Newcomers Service Center		X			0	9 27	9 27
	Christ Temple Community Church		X			0	1	1
	Civicorps Schools		X			0	0	0
	Communication Services, LLC		X			7	48	55
48	Community Action Marin		Х		Х	30	241	271
	Community Action of Napa Valley		X			0	14	14
	Community Action Partnership of Madera County, Inc		X			97	15	112
	Community Action Partnership of Sonoma County Community Alliance for Career Training and Utility Solutions		X			2	6 6	8 6
	Community Amance for Career Training and Office Solutions Community Foundation of Colusa County		X			0	1	1
	Community Gatepath		X			0	Ö	0
55	Community Pantry of San Benito County		X			4	0	4
	Community Resources for Independent Living		Х			0	1	1
	Community Resource Project, Inc		X			35	254	289
	Council for the Spanish Speaking		X		ļ	0	0	0
	County of San Benito CSU Chico Research Foundation-Passages		X			11 0	0	11 0
	Davis Street Community Center		X			0	3	3
			X			0	3	3

	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
1	CARE Table 7 - Capitati	on Contr	actor	s - PG&E				
2	Through Septe							
				actor Type		Y	ear to Da	ite
3		(Chec	k one or	more if applic	cable)	E	nrollmen	ts
4	Contractor Name	Private	CBO	WMDVBE	LIHEAP	Rural	Urban	Total
	Disability Resource Agency for Independent Living		Х			0	6	6
	Dixon Family Services		Х			4	0	4
	Eastern Europen Services		Х			0	0	0
	EBONY Counseling Center Familia Center		X			0	0 6	0 6
	Familia Unidas		X			0	4	4
	Filipino American Development Foundation		X			0	3	3
	Folsom-Cordova Community Partnership		X			0	2	2
	Food Bank of El Dorado County		Х			0	10	10
72	Fort Ord Environmental Justice Network		Х			0	1	1
	Fresno Rescue Mission		Х			0	0	0
	Give Every Child a Chance		X			0	1 704	1
	God Financial Plan		X			0	781	781
	Golden Umbrella Greater Hill Zion Missionary Baptist Church		X			5 0	18 0	23 0
	Habitat for Humanity, Stanislaus		X			0	5	5
	Heritage Institute for Family Advocacy		X			0	7	7
	Hip Housing Human Investment Project, Inc		X			0	10	10
	Hotline of San Luis Obispo County		X			8	3	11
	Housing Authority of Alameda County		Х			0	3	3
	Housing Authority of City and County of Fresno		Х			0	18	18
	Housing Authority of City and County of San Francisco		Х			0	0	0
	Housing Authority of County of Kern		X			0	16	16
	Housing Authority of Kings County Housing Authority of Stanislaus County		X			0 14	0 23	0 37
	Housing Rights		X			0	0	0
	Independent Living Center of Kern County, Inc		X			0	6	6
	Independent Living Resource of Contra Costa County		X			0	ō	0
	Independent Living Resource Center SF		Х			0	2	2
	Independent Living Services of Northern California		Х			0	1	1
	Indian Health Center of Santa Clara Valley		Х			0	0	0
	International Humanities Center/The Companion Line		Х			0	96	96
	Kings Community Action Organization, Inc		X			0	3	3
	La Luz Bilingual Center Lao Family Community of Fresno, Inc		X	X		10 0	2	12 0
	Lao Family Community of Presho, inc		- x			0	4	4
	Lao Khmu Association, Inc.		X			0	21	21
	Lighthouse Learning Resource Center, Inc		X			0	0	0
101	Mabuhay Alliance		Х			0	5	5
	Marin Center for Independent Living		Х			0	4	4
	Mendocino Latinos Para La Comunidad, Inc		X			0	8	8
	Merced County Community Action Agency		X		Х	0	62	62
	Merced Lao Family Community, Inc		X			0	25	25
	Mission Language and Vocational School Moncada Outreach	X	 			0	0 409	0 409
	Monument Crisis Center	+ ^	Х			0	2	2
	Mutual Assistance Network of Del Paso Heights		X			0	8	8
	Native American Health Center		X			0	3	3
111	New Direction Christian Center		Х			0	1	1
	Network for Elders		Х			0	0	0
	Nugate Group		Х			0	19	19
	North Penninsula Neighborhood Services Ctr		X			0	7	7
	Northeast Community Federal Credit Union		X			0	2	2
	Nuestra Alianza De Willits Oakland Citizens Committee for Urban Renewal (O.C.C.U.R.)		X			0	0 10	10
	Pack N Ship		X		Х	0	3	10 3
	Partners For Peace		X			0	0	0
	People Resources		X			0	5	5

	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	
1	CARE Table 7 - Capitatio	n Contr	actor	s - PG&F					
				3 - 1 CGE					
2	i nirough Septen	Through September 30, 2010							
		, , ,		actor Type		Year to Date			
3			k one or		nrollmen				
4	Contractor Name	Private	СВО	WMDVBE	LIHEAP	Rural	Urban	Total	
	People of Purpose		Х			0	0	0	
	Plumas County Community Development Commission	X	L			3	0	3	
	Plumas Crisis Intervention & Resource Center		X			10	0	10	
	Progress Financial Corporation		Х			0	0	0	
	Project Access, Inc		X			0	12	12	
	Q Foundation DBA Aids Housing Alliance SF		X			0	5	5	
	REDI (Renewable Energy Development institute)		X			0	0	0	
	Rebuilding Together Sacramento Redwood Community Action Agency		 ^		X	25	7	32	
	Redwood Community Action Agency Resources for Independent Central Valley	X	X		 ^	0	1	1	
	Resources for Independent Central Valley Resources for Independent Living Inc Sacramento		$\frac{\hat{x}}{x}$	 		0	5	5	
	Richland School District		X	 		6	1	7	
	Rising Sun Energy Center		$\frac{\hat{x}}{x}$			0	37	37	
	Ritter Center		X	 		0	1	1	
	Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency		X	1		0	30	30	
	Sacred Heart Community Service		X			0	5	5	
	Salvation Army Golden State Divisional Headquarters		Х			38	62	100	
_	San Francisco Chamber of Commerce Foundation /SF Works		Х			0	18	18	
139	San Francisco Community Power Cooperative		Х			0	2	2	
140	San Francisco Women's Center		Х			0	0	0	
141	Second Harvest Food Bank of Santa Cruz and San Benito Counties		Х			1	1	2	
	Self-Help for the Elderly		Х			0	38	38	
	Seniors First, Inc		Х			2	7	9	
	Shasta County Child Abuse Prevention Council		X			0	1	1	
	Silicon Valley Independent Living Center		Х			0	3	3	
	Slavic Community Center		Х			0	8	8	
	Southeast Asian Community Center		X			0	4	4	
_	St Helena Family Center		X			15	7	22	
_	The Global Center for Success		X			0	17	17	
	The Resource Connection of Amador and Calavares Counties, Inc		X			25	5	30	
	Tri-County Independent Living, Inc Tri Valley Haven		X	-		0	0 2	0 2	
	Una Nueva Esperanza		X			0	0	0	
	United Way of Fresno County		X	 		0	1	1	
	Upwardly Global		X			0	0	0	
	Valley Oak Children's Services, Inc		 ^			30	0	30	
	Vietnamese Elderly Mutual Assistant Association		X			0	3	3	
158	Vineyard Workers Services		X	1		0	0	0	
	Volunteer Center of Sonoma County		X	<u> </u>		3	10	13	
	West Bay Pilipino Multi-Service Center		X	1		0	0	0	
	West Valley Community Services		X			0	7	7	
162	Y-FY Consulting	Х				0	685	685	
163	YMCA of the East Bay West Contra Costa Branch		Х			0	5	5	
164	Yolo County Housing Authority		Х			3	3	6	
	Yolo Family Resource Center		Х			3	4	7	
	Yuba Sutter Legal Center		Х			0	3	3	
	Total Enrollments and Expenditures					551	4,194	4,745	
168									

	Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
1			CARE Table 8	3 - Participant	s as of Month-	End - PG&E		
2			Т	hrough Septe	mber 30, 2010			
3	2010	Gas and Electric	Gas Only	Electric Only	Total	Eligible Households	Penetration Rate	% Change ¹
4	January	816,685	223,751	327,238	1,367,674	1,607,597	85%	1.2%
5	February	832,100	230,908	336,749	1,399,757	1,607,597	87%	2.3%
6	March	848,646	235,426	346,817	1,430,889	1,607,597	89%	2.2%
7	April	856,486	237,000	348,440	1,441,926	1,616,201	89%	0.8%
8	May	860,839	236,955	351,161	1,448,955	1,616,201	90%	0.5%
9	June	868,387	240,512	354,298	1,463,197	1,616,201	91%	1.0%
10	July	868,078	239,120	353,533	1,460,731	1,617,125	90%	-0.2%
11	August	876,653	239,977	357,242	1,473,872	1,617,125	91%	0.9%
12	September	880,979	240,842	357,753	1,479,574	1,617,125	91%	0.4%
13	October							
14	November							
15	December							
16								
17	¹ Explain any monthly	y variance of 5% or more	e in the number of part	icipants.				

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY ELECTRONIC MAIL

I, the undersigned, state that I am a citizen of the United States and am employed in the City and County of San Francisco; that I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within cause; and that my business address is 77 Beale Street, San Francisco, California 94105

On October 21, 2010, I served a true copy of:

ONE-HUNDRED AND THIRTEENTH STATUS REPORT OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39 M) ON THE RESULTS OF ITS LOW INCOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND CARE PROGRAM EFFORTS IN COMPLIANCE WITH ORDERING PARAGRAPH 17 OF DECISION 01-05-033, ISSUED MAY 7, 2001

- [XX] By Electronic Mail serving the enclosed via e-mail transmission to each of the parties listed on the official service lists for A.08-05-022, et al., with an e-mail address.
- [XX] By U.S. Mail by placing the enclosed for collection and mailing, in the course of ordinary business practice, with other correspondence of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage fully prepaid, addressed to those parties listed on the official service lists for, A.08-05-022, et al., without an e-mail address.

I certify and declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 21st day of October 2010, at San Francisco, California.

/s/
JENNIFER S. NEWMAN