

From: Lindh, Frank  
Sent: 11/16/2010 7:59:14 AM  
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: FW: open letter to Frank R. Lindh, General Counsel CPUC

Brian -

Has PG&E exhausted its options in trying to find an amicable solution to this dispute in the Town of Pt. Reyes Station?

Thanks.

Frank

**From:** Redacted  
**Sent:** Friday, November 05, 2010 10:35 AM  
**To:** Lindh, Frank  
**Cc:** Redacted  
Redacted bcrawford@co.marin.ca.us  
**Subject:** open letter to Frank R. Lindh, General Counsel CPUC

Mr. Lindh,

I recently had occasion to read your letter of September 22, 2010 to the Community Development Director of San Rafael ("Re: Question regarding the permitting of repeater antennas") in which you seem to be asserting CPUC's jurisdiction regarding repeater antenna review and location over the towns of San Rafael, Fairfax, and by my inference, the unincorporated areas of west Marin county.

I am writing you today regarding the placement of a repeater antenna at Redacted immediately adjacent the Redacted and the CLAM affordable housing units, which interests and concerns me. I have made numerous polite inquiries to PG&E, the County of Marin, and the CPUC to ascertain basic information to no avail. Judging from the absence of promised call-backs, there appears to be a policy of information black-out from PG&E; the County of Marin states they have no jurisdiction or knowledge in this matter; and representatives of the CPUC have unequivocally stated that the CPUC likewise has neither knowledge nor jurisdiction. On the advice of the CPUC I have submitted a formal complaint to obtain emission standards and compliance information, so far without response.

I therefore am turning to you. The CLAM housing units have several infants in residence, and of course there are children from an early age attending the elementary school.

1) What are the emissions standards and guidelines for the placement of a repeater adjacent to a public school? What are the emissions of this particular repeater?

2) What are the background long term health studies for cumulative EMF absorption in infants and children from a repeater antenna of this type, design and placement?

3) As a blanket response "FCC regulations" are often cited as justification for any wireless installation. My understanding of the EMF studies on which those regulations are written are based on radiation absorption from a single exposure for a 6', 180lb man, not on the effects of cumulative absorption in children. Is this correct? Are there in fact, any FCC guidelines that are written specifically for the new technology of repeater antennas?

Thank you for your consideration of this matter, and I await your prompt response.

Redacted