

From: Baker, Amy C.
Sent: 11/18/2010 9:21:44 AM
To: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Re: PG&E's Compliance report assumptions

Perfect, thanks.

Amy Baker,
Analyst

Renewable Procurement and Resource
Planning

California Public Utilities
Commission

415.703.1691

amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables>

From: Allen, Meredith [mailto:MEAe@pge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2010 5:26 PM
To: Baker, Amy
C.
Subject: Re: PG&E's Compliance report
assumptions

Amy,

Generic

Future Contracts included:

- Recontracting of expired contracts at 75% of previous contract amounts
- 2010 and 2011 RFOs. Each RFO yields 1200 GWh

coming on line in 400 GWh tranches starting 4 years after each RFO.

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Thanks,
Meredith

From: Baker,
Amy C. [mailto:amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, November 17,
2010 02:51 PM
To: Allen, Meredith
Subject: PG&E's
Compliance report assumptions

Hi
Meredith,

A while back John Pappas gave a great presentation on PG&E's August RPS Compliance Report. I was wondering if you could remind me of the assumptions behind the Generic Future Contracts section. I believe it included some percentage of re-contracting and possibly some of the shortlist.

Thanks,
Amy

Amy Baker,
Analyst

Renewable Procurement and Resource
Planning

California Public Utilities
Commission

415.703.1691

amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables>