

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 11/15/2010 9:14:04 AM
To: peter.lai@CPUC.CA.GOV (peter.lai@CPUC.CA.GOV); zenaida.tapawan-conway@cpuc.ca.gov (zenaida.tapawan-conway@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: Energy Efficient Televisions Revision 3 (PGECOAPP104 R3) Revised Non-DEER Deemed Product Work Paper

Zenaida Tapawan-Conway and Peter Lai:

As you know, Energy Division's practices for submittal, review, and 'freezing' of Utility developed non-DEER ex ante energy efficiency measures have been clarified as a result of the Commission's process for addressing the Utilities September 17, 2010 petition for Modification of Decision 09-09-047.

Timely approval of work papers is essential to PG&E's effort to manage its Energy Efficiency portfolio in a cost effective manner.

This email is to confirm that the "Energy Efficient Televisions Revision 3 (PGECOAPP104 R3)" revised non-DEER deemed product work paper is approved by the Energy Division pursuant to the November 18, 2009 ALJ Ruling Regarding Non-DEER Measure Ex Ante Values.

This work paper was posted to the Energy Division Basecamp website on June 4, 2010 by PG&E. Pursuant to the process laid out for "Conditional Approval" in the November 18 ruling all recommendations from ED regarding the PG&E Energy Efficient Televisions Revision 2 (PGECOAPP104 R2) work paper review were incorporated into Revision 3.

In addition to incorporating these recommendations, the Incremental Measure Cost section methodology was revised from the methodology presented in Revision 2. Given the process from the November 18, 2009 ruling PG&E followed all necessary steps of submitting the change, providing a brief description of the changes and dating the submission¹. The date of IMC section update was identified in the Basecamp message posted by PG&E on June 4, 2010 along with the work paper documents. A follow-up message by PG&E requesting a status update on this Revision 3 work paper was posted to the ED Basecamp website on June 29, 2010. Given that ED has not responded to the original submission within the defined 20 day time frame PG&E must consider the "Energy Efficient Televisions Revision 3 (PGECOAPP104 R3)" work papers accepted.

Sincerely,

Redacted

PG&E

Principal, IDSM Energy Efficiency Statewide Lead

Redacted

1 – See page 8 of the attachment to the November 18, 2009 ALJ Ruling regarding the Resubmission Process