

From: Dietz, Sidney
Sent: 11/18/2010 5:16:54 PM
To: 'bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov' (bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: 'steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov' (steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov);
'aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov' (aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: PG&E SmartMeters: Energy Division Data Request

Sorry, thumb keyboard. The patch went out to ten meters last night. Five more planned on Mon.

++++++

Bruce --

Thanks for the note and for the speedy consult.

I have a question -- do you mean for the credit to be the larger of the two possibilities for both the 95% group and the 5%? We were thinking that such an approach would be applied to the 5% group only.

The patch went out last night, and we have three or four days to wait to check these before starting with a new group. But so far it looks good.

I agree on the TAP and am working on it.

I just got Steve's second data request on this issue, and have the team working on it.

yours,

sid

Do I seem terse? Blame the thumb keyboard.

From: Kaneshiro, Bruce [mailto:bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2010 11:34 AM
To: Dietz, Sidney
Cc: Gupta, Alope <aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov>; Roscow, Steve <steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: PG&E SmartMeters: Energy Division Data Request

Sid-

We have briefed Julie Fitch about all of the issues that were discussed in our last meeting with you regarding the commercial smart meter problems. She agrees with the suggestion we provided to you on Monday: that in addition to the adjustments proposed by PG&E, PG&E should also review the

customer's demand prior to smart meter installation. If the customer's past demand is still lower than the adjusted demand, PG&E should make a second adjustment so that the adjusted demand for a bill credit is no higher than the historical demand. Julie will likely be contacting upper PG&E management about this.

Julie also feels strongly that PG&E should inform its TAP about the issue. Alope will be contacting Jim Meadows to discuss this further.

Steve is developing another data request regarding the billing adjustment. That should be coming your way later today.

Can you provide us an update as to how the patch deployment is going? I recall that PG&E was installing in on Monday night for 5 accounts.

Let us know if you have any questions,

Bruce

From: Dietz, Sidney [mailto:SBD4@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2010 4:23 PM
To: Roscow, Steve
Cc: Kaneshiro, Bruce; Gupta, Alope
Subject: Re: PG&E SmartMeters: Energy Division Data Request

Steve --

Thanks for the note, we will respond quickly.

yours,

sid

Do I seem terse? Blame the thumb keyboard.

From: Roscow, Steve [mailto:steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2010 04:09 PM
To: Dietz, Sidney
Cc: Kaneshiro, Bruce <bruce.kaneshiro@cpuc.ca.gov>; Gupta, Alope <aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: PG&E SmartMeters: Energy Division Data Request

Sid,

Thanks again for coming in to brief ED staff yesterday. In order to better focus our discussions about PG&E's proposed accounting for the Smartmeter bill credits and illustrate the actual net impact of the credits, please respond to the data request below. The short due date is meant to accommodate PG&E's request for a quick turnaround from ED staff, so just let us know if you need additional time.

DATA REQUEST

Please respond to the request below by COB Friday, November 19th:

In a meeting with Energy Division staff on November 15, 2010, PG&E presented material related to "The Demand Function in Commercial/Industrial SmartMeters", including a proposal to provide customers affected by inaccurate demand charge billing with bill credits totaling approximately \$4.390 million (sum of \$3.84 million and \$.550 million).

- 1 Please provide a set of accounting workpapers that show how PG&E proposes to account for these bill credits. The workpapers should be formatted in the same format and level of detail as what would eventually be provided to the Commission in PG&E's monthly "Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) Activity Report".
- 2 Please provide an alternate set of workpapers, formatted in the same manner as for question 1, showing how PG&E would account for these bill credits by reducing revenues to PG&E shareholders, in the event PG&E were directed to do so by the California Public Utilities Commission.

END OF REQUEST

Steve Roscow

Program and Project Supervisor

CPUC Energy Division

415-703-1189

