

From: [Redacted]  
Sent: 11/4/2010 12:33:55 PM  
To: 'Bawa, Niki' (niki.bawa@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Bottle Rock AL 3668-E

Niki:

The nameplate capacity of the facility is 55 MW, but maximum output for PG&E's purposes is 50.5 MW. The gross turbine capacity is 55 MW minus the facility's 4.5 MW of parasitic load. See page A-3 of the IE report. We should have made this more clear in the advice letter itself. Let me know if you want to discuss further.

Thanks,  
[Redacted]

**From:** Bawa, Niki [mailto:niki.bawa@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 04, 2010 9:11 AM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Cc:** Allen, Meredith  
**Subject:** Bottle Rock AL 3668-E

Hi David,

I was reviewing the Advice Letter for Bottle Rock (AL 3668-E) and came across a discrepancy between what is stated in the contract and what is stated in the Advice Letter regarding the maximum capacity of the Bottle Rock facility. On p. 2 of the Advice Letter and elsewhere the maximum capacity is stated as 50.5 MW while the contract (Confidential Appendix D) refers to the maximum capacity of the facility as 55 MW in Articles 1.51, 1.144, 3.1(f)(ii), and Appendix IV. Could you let me know which is the actual maximum contracted capacity of the facility? I have attached both documents to this email. Thanks.

Regards,

Niki

Niki S. Bawa [Redacted]

Renewable Procurement and Resource Planning

California Public Utilities Commission

505 Van Ness Ave

San Francisco, CA 94102

415.703.2197

[niki.bawa@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:niki.bawa@cpuc.ca.gov)

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables>