

From: Woo, Shirley A (Law

Sent: 11/29/2010 10:31:53 AM

Tan, Lee-Whei (lee-whei.tan@cpuc.ca.gov); Furuta, Norman J CIV NAVFAC SW (norman.furuta@navy.mil); steven@moss.net (steven@moss.net); douglass@energyattorney.com (douglass@energyattorney.com); matthew@turn.org (matthew@turn.org); enriqueg@greenlining.org (enriqueg@greenlining.org); [Redacted] [Redacted] Levin, Robert (robert.levin@cpuc.ca.gov); Mark Fulmer (mef@mrwassoc.com); Nora Sheriff (nes@a-klaw.com); Barbara Barkovich [Redacted]; William Booth (wbooth@booth-law.com); Chan, Cherie (cherie.chan@cpuc.ca.gov); Dan Geis (dgeis@dolphingroup.org); michael@kerntaxpayers.org (michael@kerntaxpayers.org); Wendy Illingworth (wendy@econinsights.com); Keith McCrea (keith.mccrea@sutherland.com); Allen, Larry R CIV NAVFAC HQ, ACQ (larry.r.allen@navy.mil); kmills@cfbf.com (kmills@cfbf.com); bill@jbsenergy.com (bill@jbsenergy.com); pk@utilitycostmanagement.com (pk@utilitycostmanagement.com); Roberts, Thomas (thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov); jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com (jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com); kfox@keyesandfox.com (kfox@keyesandfox.com); andykatz@sonic.net (andykatz@sonic.net); dbyers@landuselaw.com (dbyers@landuselaw.com); alison@bartlewells.com (alison@bartlewells.com); bruce.reed@sce.com (bruce.reed@sce.com); russell.garwacki@sce.com (russell.garwacki@sce.com); thomas.long@sfgov.org (thomas.long@sfgov.org); Kevin Simonsen (kjsimonsen@ems-ca.com); prabv@DWT.COM (prabv@DWT.COM); James A. Ross (jimross@r-c-s-inc.com); Ed Poole (epoole@adplaw.com); Reed Schmidt (rschmidt@bartlewells.com); mkasnitz@dralegal.org (mkasnitz@dralegal.org); Tom Beach (tomb@crossborderenergy.com); Carolyn Kehrein (cmkehrein@ems-ca.com); carlos.parente@sce.com (carlos.parente@sce.com); Jeff Nahigian (jeff@jbsenergy.com); jfrdrck@wziinc.com (jfrdrck@wziinc.com); tgoldner@co.kern.ca.us (tgoldner@co.kern.ca.us); Brubaker, Maurice (mbrubaker@consultbai.com); Charles Collins (CCOLLINS@co.kern.ca.us); Richard McCann (RMcCann@aspeng.com)

Cc: [Redacted] [Redacted]; GRC 2011 Phase II PMT (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=GRC2011PHASEIIPMT); [Redacted] Danforth, Christopher (christopher.danforth@cpuc.ca.gov) [Redacted] [Redacted] Khoury, Dexter (dexter.khoury@cpuc.ca.gov); Chan, Cherie (cherie.chan@cpuc.ca.gov)

Bcc:

Subject: Nov22_2010_RE: PG&E 2011 GRC Phase 3 schedule -- DRA proposed changes

Lee-Whei,

PG&E is ok with the schedule that you have suggested for GRC 3. Shirley

-----Original Message-----

From: Tan, Lee-Whei [<mailto:lee-whei.tan@cpuc.ca.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2010 14:06

To: [Redacted] michael@kerntaxpayers.org; enriqueg@greenlining.org; Mark Fulmer; Chan, Cherie; matthew@turn.org; Wendy Illingworth; William Booth; Barbara Barkovich; Nora Sheriff; Keith McCrea; douglass@energyattorney.com; Levin, Robert; Furuta, Norman J CIV NAVFAC SW; Allen, Larry R CIV NAVFAC HQ, ACQ; Dan Geis; steven@moss.net; alison@bartlewells.com; prabv@dwt.com; mkasnitz@dralegal.org; Reed Schmidt; andykatz@sonic.net; Ed Poole; jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com; Carlos.Parente@sce.com; Charles Collins; thomas.long@sfgov.org; kfox@keyesandfox.com; pk@utilitycostmanagement.com; James A. Ross; Kevin Simonsen; Jeff Nahigian; Richard McCann; Tom Beach; dbyers@landuselaw.com; tgoldner@co.kern.ca.us; Roberts, Thomas; Bruce.Reed@sce.com; Russell.Garwacki@sce.com; bill@jbsenergy.com; Carolyn Kehrein; jfrdrck@wziinc.com; kmills@cfbf.com; Brubaker, Maurice

Cc: GRC 2011 Phase II PMT; [Redacted] Danforth, Christopher; Chan, Cherie; Khoury, Dexter

Subject: RE: Nov22_2010_RE: PG&E 2011 GRC Phase 3 schedule -- DRA proposed changes

Hi, everyone,

After further discussion with Karen, we agree to keep the DRA/intervenor testimony due date as set by my earlier e-mail.

I revised the rebuttal testimony date as what Shirley requested.

I am keeping the rest of the dates. (Regarding Norman's hearing date conflicts, maybe we can accommodate him to let his witness and his issues addressed in teh week that he is available.) In addition, I am going to suggest that we keep the hearings/briefing schedules as preliminary, the parties may ask for further revision when the time gets closer so we can better assess the resource issues then.

Would that work for everyone?

Please see revised schedule below. Thank you very much for your time and willingness to work out things together.

PG&E GRC III, A.10-03-014, Current Application	PG&E GRC III, A.10-03-014, DRA Proposed Revision
March. 2010	March. 2010
DRA and	
Intervenor Testimony	2/5/2011 3/15/2011
Concurrent Rebuttal Testimony	2/26/2011 4/6/2011
Evidentiary Hearings	03/22-25/2011 4/20 - 4/26/2011
Opening Briefs	4/19/2011 5/20/2011
Reply Briefs	5/7/2011 6/7/2011
Proposed Decision	August. 2011 Sept. 2011

Lee-Whei

From: Tan, Lee-Whei

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2010 12:27 PM

To: Khoury, Dexter; [Redacted] 'michael@kern taxpayers.org'; 'enriqueg@greenlining.org'; 'Mark Fulmer'; Chan, Cherie; 'matthew@turn.org'; 'Wendy Illingworth'; 'William Booth'; 'Barbara Barkovich'; 'Nora Sheriff'; 'Keith McCrea'; 'douglass@energyattorney.com'; Levin, Robert; 'Norman J CIV NAVFAC SW Furuta'; 'larry.r.allen@navy.mil'; 'Dan Geis'; 'steven@moss.net'; 'alison@bartlewells.com'; 'prabv@dwt.com'; 'mkasnitz@dralegal.org'; 'Reed Schmidt'; 'andykatz@sonic.net'; 'Ed Poole'; 'jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com'; 'Carlos.Parente@sce.com'; 'Charles Collins'; 'thomas.long@sfgov.org'; 'kfox@keyesandfox.com'; 'pk@utilitycostmanagement.com'; 'James A. Ross'; 'Kevin Simonsen'; 'Jeff Nahigian'; 'Richard McCann'; 'Tom Beach'; 'dbyers@landuselaw.com'; 'tgoldner@co.kern.ca.us'; Roberts, Thomas; 'Bruce.Reed@sce.com'; 'Russell.Garwacki@sce.com'; 'bill@jbsenergy.com'; 'Carolyn Kehrein'; 'jfrdrck@wziinc.com'; 'kmills@cfbf.com'; 'Brubaker, Maurice'

Cc: 'GRC 2011 Phase II PMT'; [Redacted] Danforth, Christopher; Chan, Cherie

Subject: Nov22_2010_RE: PG&E 2011 GRC Phase 3 schedule -- DRA proposed changes

Dear all,

I know that all of us are focusing on hearings right now. However, the schedule for Phase 3 is a serious issue, and we intend to seek extension with the Judge and hope to get your support.

The current phase 3 schedule overlaps with the modified phase 2 (non-residential rate issues) schedule, and DRA's contract just got approved a couple weeks ago due to the long delay of California budget approval. The current phase 3 schedule requires that DRA and the intervenors file testimony on February 5, 2011, which is just about a little over two months. There are also holidays (Thanksgiving, Christmas and New Year) to consider. Overall, it will not provide sufficient time for DRA's consultants to conduct an adequate review of PG&E's IT revenue requirements and may deprive the Commission with a complete record for an informed decision-making.

DRA's proposed new schedule for the Phase 3 is shown below:

PG&E GRC III, A.10-03-014, Current Adopted Schedule	PG&E GRC III, A.10-03-014, DRA Proposed Revision
---	--

Application	March. 2010	March. 2010
DRA and		
Intervenor Testimony	2/5/2011	3/15/2011
Concurrent Rebuttal Testimony	2/26/2011	4/5/2011
Evidentiary Hearings	03/22-25/2011	4/20 - 4/26/2011
Opening Briefs	4/19/2011	5/20/2011
Reply Briefs	5/7/2011	6/7/2011
Proposed Decision	August. 2011	Sept. 2011

Please let us know by COB Tuesday (Nov. 23, 2010) if you have any suggested changes or objections.

If we don't hear from you, we would take that as you do not object to the changes.

Thank you for your attention and time to consider this.

Lee-Whei Tan
DRA, Co-Project Coordinator
(415) 703-2901