

From: Allen, Meredith  
Sent: 11/17/2010 2:58:25 PM  
To: 'amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov' (amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: Re: PG&E's Compliance report assumptions

Amy,

Was that the one at the PRG? I will track it down.

Thanks,  
Meredith

**From:** Baker, Amy C. [mailto:amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 17, 2010 02:51 PM  
**To:** Allen, Meredith  
**Subject:** PG&E's Compliance report assumptions

Hi  
Meredith,

A while back [Redact] [Redacted] gave a great presentation on PG&E's August RPS Compliance Report. I was wondering if you could remind me of the assumptions behind the Generic Future Contracts section. I believe it included some percentage of re-contracting and possibly some of the shortlist.

Thanks,  
Amy

Amy Baker,  
Analyst

Renewable Procurement and Resource  
Planning

California Public Utilities  
Commission

415.703.1691

[amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov)

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables>