From: Baker, Amy C.

Sent: 11/17/2010 2:59:20 PM

To: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: Re: PG&E's Compliance report assumptions

Yep.

Amy Baker, Analyst

Renewable Procurement and Resource Planning

California Public Utilities Commission

415.703.1691

amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov

http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables

From: Allen, Meredith [mailto:MEAe@pge.com] Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2010 2:58 PM To: Baker, Amy C. Subject: Re: PG&E's Compliance report assumptions

Amy,

Was that the one at the PRG? I will track it down.

Thanks,

Meredith

From: Baker, Amy C. [mailto:amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2010 02:51 PM To: Allen, Meredith Subject: PG&E's Compliance report assumptions

Hi Meredith,

A while back Reda

Redacte gave a great presentation on PG&E's August RPS Compliance Report. I was wondering if you could remind me of the assumptions behind the Generic Future Contracts section. I believe it included some percentage of re-contracting and possibly some of the shortlist.

Thanks,

Amy

Amy Baker, Analyst

Renewable Procurement and Resource Planning

California Public Utilities Commission

415.703.1691

amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov

## http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables