

From: Baker, Amy C.
Sent: 11/18/2010 9:21:44 AM
To: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Re: PG&E's Compliance report assumptions

Perfect, thanks.

Amy Baker, Analyst
Renewable Procurement and Resource Planning
California Public Utilities Commission
415.703.1691
amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov
<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables>

From: Allen, Meredith [mailto:MEAe@pge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2010 5:26 PM
To: Baker, Amy C.
Subject: Re: PG&E's Compliance report assumptions

Amy,

Generic Future Contracts included:

- Recontracting of expired contracts at 75% of previous contract amounts
- 2010 and 2011 RFOs. Each RFO yields 1200 GWh coming on line in 400 GWh tranches starting 4 years after each RFO.

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Thanks,
Meredith

From: Baker, Amy C. [mailto:amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2010 02:51 PM
To: Allen, Meredith
Subject: PG&E's Compliance report assumptions

Hi Meredith,
A while back [Redacted] gave a great presentation on PG&E's August RPS Compliance Report. I was wondering if you could remind me of the assumptions behind the Generic Future Contracts section. I believe it included some percentage of re-contracting and possibly some of the shortlist.

Thanks,

Amy

Amy Baker, Analyst
Renewable Procurement and Resource Planning
California Public Utilities Commission
415.703.1691
amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov
<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables>