

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 12/14/2010 8:41:10 PM
To: 'paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov' (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE:

It is a great organization that does super work but needs to get its finances in order.

From: Clanon, Paul [mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, December 14, 2010 07:04 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: Re:

You seem to be enjoying the BBBS board. That's great.

On Dec 14, 2010, at 6:42 PM, "Cherry, Brian K" <BKC7@pge.com> wrote:

Preliminary results indicate taking Line 153 and 131 to 80% is doable with two caveats. First, Line 153 at 80% is 1 lb away from setting off alarms if there is a dig-in or some problem with the pipe. How big a problem this is, I'm not sure. Second issue, Russell City is attached to Line 131 (or 153 - can't remember perfectly right now). Taking the line to 80% presents contractual problems for customers because we have guaranteed them a certain pressure. Beyond the monetary damages, we can't operate the pipeline at 80% once gas starts flowing to Russell City.

The Top 100 for 2007 and 2008. Should we go ahead and release it Friday as planned or defer? We'd prefer to finally get it out there.

Will send you criteria we talked about earlier later tonight that I think will be a helpful guide for you.

I'M OFF TO A Board meeting with Big Brother Big Sisters.