

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
Sent: 12/16/2010 5:14:30 PM
To: 'merideth.sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov' (merideth.sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: 'jack.fulcher@cpuc.ca.gov' (jack.fulcher@cpuc.ca.gov); 'damon.franz@cpuc.ca.gov' (damon.franz@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Protests to Sequoia Pacific advice filing
Thanks....we will send out the courtesy email to the protesting parties.

From: Sterkel, Merideth "Molly" [mailto:merideth.sterkel@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 16, 2010 04:56 PM
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
Cc: Fulcher, Jack <jack.fulcher@cpuc.ca.gov>; Franz, Damon A. <damon.franz@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Protests to Sequoia Pacific advice filing

Erik,
I am fine with you responding to the protests after the second protest period provided you email the protesting parties as a courtesy and explain the situation, i.e. you are refiling, there will be a new protest period, their existing protests will be reviewed after that new protest period, they don't need to refile their existing protest, but they can Add to it, if you have added supplemental information when you reserve.

Jack and Damon – Looping you in, I can fill you in verbally since this email may or may not make sense....

Molly

Molly Tirpak Sterkel

California Public Utilities Commission, Energy Division

Supervisor, California Solar Initiative and Distributed Generation Section

415-703-1873

mts@cpuc.ca.gov

Sign up for the monthly [Go Solar California newsletter!](#)

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 16, 2010 4:11 PM
To: Sterkel, Merideth "Molly"
Subject: RE: Protests to Sequoia Pacific advice filing

Molly,

I just left you a voice message regarding the due date for our reply to these two protests from CARE and CCSF regarding AL 3170-G/3763-E. We thought that since we will be re-opening the protest period for this advice letter when we re-serve it on the CSI docket list, it might make most sense to respond no later than 5 days after the end of the new protest period pursuant to Rule 7.4.3 of G.O. 96B -- rather than this filing our reply this Monday which is based on the original protest period. That way, we could respond to all the protests for the advice filing at the same time. We can do it either way, but I wanted to get your opinion on how best to handle that procedural issue.

Thanks, Erik

From: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2010 6:08 PM
To: 'Sterkel, Merideth "Molly"'
Subject: Protests to Sequoia Pacific advice filing

Molly,

Thanks for your time and guidance today. I'll be following up with folks internally to figure out the best way to get the supplemental information we discussed submitted and served on the appropriate parties.

Attached are protests we received from CARE and CCSF on our most recent advice filing regarding creation of the affiliate, Sequoia Pacific. PG&E will file its reply on Monday.

Best regards,

Erik

<< File: Protest to AL - 3170-G 3763-E.pdf >> << File: CARE Protest Advice 3170-G_3763-E.pdf >> << File: HBDPaceWhitepaper-2.pdf >> << File: IVPC Mafia Police Report English Translation.pdf >>