

From: Clanon, Paul
Sent: 12/13/2010 12:06:34 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Data Requests posed from you in last 24 hours

Thx. I did see this over the weekend, and I was glad to hear there weren't any overpressure events on 132. Then I wondered if there have been ANY overpressure events that would trigger an MAOP violation anywhere on your system since the baseline-assessments started being performed, and if you've done any ECDAs anywhere that violate the prohibition, with or without CPUC knowledge. That's what I'm trying to nail down now.

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2010 11:46 AM
To: Clanon,
Paul
Subject: Fw: Data Requests posed from you in last 24 hours

Fyi

From: Garber,
Stephen (Law)
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2010 11:41 AM
To:
Stock, William; Cherry, Brian K
Cc: Horner, Trina
Subject:
FW: Data Requests posed from you in last 24 hours

The email attachment below was sent by Glen to Raffy, and is the basis for what we told (or should have told) the reporter. We today are telling the reporter, in response to a follow up question, that there have not been any overpressure events on Line 132 from 2004 - 2009.

From: Carter, Glen E
Sent: Saturday, December 11, 2010 3:17 PM
To: [Redacted] Raffy Stepanian (rst@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Garber, Stephen (Law); Horner, Trina
Subject: Data Requests posed from you in last 24 hours

Raffy Stepanian :

Based on the instructions from your voice mail, I am sending this response to your personal e-mail due to the CPUC system currently not being active.

We have had several conversations over the last 24 hours and I wanted to ensure that I close the loop and ensure that your needs are being met.

Request #1 – Receive a copy of PG&E's response to the 12/9 media request of 4 questions posed by the SF Chronicle **(response is included below)**

<<FW: Media Inquiry: San Francisco Chronicle - Index No. 956 (updated)>>
Request #2a – Has PG&E experienced any Overpressurization (110+% of MAOP) events within the last 5 years on Transmission Low frequency ERW or Lap welded pipe? (reference to 192.917 (4) of code) – The response for this item will obviously be coordinated with Request#3 below

Request #2b – If so, how did PG&E account for this in their selection of integrity assessment method? PG&E will not be able to provide until results of 2a are tabulated.

Request #3 – Provide a listing of all Transmission and Distribution Overpressurization (110+% of MAOP) events that have occurred within the PG&E system over the last 5 year period. – I will enter this request into the Data Request log for response with an ASAP date. To ensure a timely response, I will split this response into two segments, readily available query of Gas Event reporting tool data since inception in 2008 and a manual research of prior events recorded which will obviously take longer to provide.

Request #4 -- Has the CPUC ever stopped PG&E from performing ECDA due to an overpressurization event? As we discussed, this would seem to be better responded to from the CPUC, but PG&E will research and respond for the record.

If hope I have adequately captured our discussions and trust that if not, you will redirect my efforts ASAP.

I will proceed with entering these four questions into our database for a formal response

Thank you for continuing to keep the communication lines open and seeking clarity of the requests.

Glen