

From: Myers, Richard A.  
Sent: 12/15/2010 9:23:49 AM  
To: Marre, Charles (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CMM6); Cadenasso, Eugene (eugene.cadenasso@cpuc.ca.gov); Kahlon, Gurbux (gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc: Stock, William (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=WCS3); Yura, Jane (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JKY1); Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Line 132

Charles, Thanks for putting this together so quickly.  
It is very helpful.

Richard

**From:** Marre, Charles [mailto:CMM6@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 7:42 PM  
**To:** Kahlon, Gurbux; Cadenasso, Eugene; Myers, Richard A.  
**Cc:** Stock, William; Horner, Trina; Yura, Jane; [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Line 132

Gurbux

Per your request, and following up on the voice message I left, attached are a couple of schedules to respond to the questions below. The first attachment and to be used for question 1, GA IV Projects.xls, provides three separate worksheets which show the following for the years 2007 through 2009:

- A listing of all projects either forecast in Gas Accord IV or with recorded costs. This schedule shows both the capital forecast and recorded costs by category of work and planning order.

- Projects were forecast for Gas Accord and in which no recorded costs have been reported
- Projects in which there have been reported costs and which were not forecast in Gas Accord IV

The detailed forecast and recorded information for 2006 is currently being compiled and will be sent out tomorrow.

In response to the second question, I have attached PG&E's October 4, 2010, memo to Paul Clanon, which provides a comparison of the amount authorized versus spent on pipeline safety and pipeline replacements for the period of 2003 through 2009.

As you review the attachments, you may contact me to help explain or clarify the information. Thanks

Chuck Marre

973-1940

**From:** Kahlon, Gurbux  
[mailto:gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010  
4:06 PM  
**To:** Dowdell, Jennifer; Yura, Jane; Cadenasso, Eugene; Myers, Richard A.  
**Cc:** Marre, Charles; Stock, William; Horner, Trina  
**Subject:** RE: Line 132

Thanks. When you are ready, please send this information to Rich Myers ([ram@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:ram@cpuc.ca.gov)) and to Eugene Cadenasso ([cpe@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:cpe@cpuc.ca.gov)) also. .

**From:** Kahlon, Gurbux  
[mailto:gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010  
9:57 AM  
**To:** Dowdell, Jennifer; Yura, Jane  
**Subject:** Line 132

**Jennifer, we are getting questions on the \$5 million that was diverted from line 132. PG&E has maintained that it spent more money in total than was authorized but spent the money on other higher priority projects.**

**1. Please provide me an explanation of which higher priority project (s) the \$5 million was spent on along with a complete list of all projects done during that time.**

**2. Also need actual pipe line safety expenditures over the last 5 years.**

**I need this information by the end of the day today. Please give me a call if you have any questions. Thanks.**

*Gurbux  
Kahlon*

*Manager, Rate*

*Regulation, Analysis and Policy Branch*

*Energy  
Division*

*California Public  
Utilities Commission*

*505 Van Ness Ave, San  
Francisco Ca 94102*

*Tel:  
415-703-1775*

**E-mail: [gkk@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:gkk@cpuc.ca.gov)**