

From: Steven Kelly
Sent: 12/8/2010 10:16:06 PM
To: Mike Florio (mflorio@turn.org)
Cc: akbar.jazayeri@sce.com (akbar.jazayeri@sce.com); Beth Vaughan (beth@beth411.com); Middlekauff, Charles (Law) (CRMd@PGE.COM); [Redacted] [Redacted] carol.schmidfrazee@sce.com (carol.schmidfrazee@sce.com); Mike.Marelli@sce.com (Mike.Marelli@sce.com); Baker, Georgetta (GBaker@semprautilities.com); Salvacion, Lisa-Marie (lms@cpuc.ca.gov); Bloom, Jerry R. (JBloom@winston.com); Gerome.Torribio@sce.com (Gerome.Torribio@sce.com); Gandesbery, Mary (Law) (MAGq@pge.com); Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (EBJ1@pge.com); Horner, Trina (TNHc@pge.com); Strauss, Todd (TxSq@pge.com); Garcia, Sue E. - E&FP (SGarcia@semprautilities.com); Michael Alcantar (mpa@a-klaw.com); Doug Kerner [Redacted] [Redacted] Kuga, Roy M (RMK4@PGE.COM); [Redacted] [Redacted] Williams, Ray (RDW0@pge.com)

Bcc:

Subject: Re: CMUA comments on the QF settlement PD

I very much agree with Mike Florio's analysis and recommendation to find a way to settle with CMUA. Settling with CMUA will send strong message/signal to commission that we are reasonable and, by colliery, ESPs are not.

I know Scott well and offer my service to that end, if desired.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 8, 2010, at 8:41 PM, "Mike Florio" <mflorio@turn.org> wrote:

> In light of what I at least perceive to be pretty strong comments from CMUA on the MDL issue, is there any interest in continuing to pursue a possible resolution with Scott Blaising separately from the ESPs and CCAs?? I think we can overcome the challenges from those parties, but the munies appear to me to be in the strongest position of any of the protesting parties. Just asking . . . Mike

>
>