

From: Dietz, Sidney
Sent: 12/5/2010 6:55:46 AM
To: 'marzia.zafar@cpuc.ca.gov' (marzia.zafar@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Fw: SM Annual Workshop 12-06-10 v3.ppt

Rsvps would be a tough way to exclude. The good news is that the presentation is pretty self explanatory, so if we get disrupted we can find other ways to get information to everyone.

Do I seem terse? Blame the thumb keyboard.

From: Nwamu, Chonda (Law)
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2010 10:37 PM
To: Dietz, Sidney; Meadows, James L; [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]; Brown, Jess A; DeRosa, Darleen
Subject: Re: SM Annual Workshop 12-06-10 v3.ppt

Yes. Elaine has the RSVP list which only has about 20 names of mostly CPUC Staff and 1 EMF person. The Public Advisor stated that they received many additional inquiries and expect a full house.

From: Dietz, Sidney
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2010 07:33 PM
To: Meadows, James L; [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]; Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Brown, Jess A; DeRosa, Darleen
Subject: Re: SM Annual Workshop 12-06-10 v3.ppt

Do we have a full set of rsvps?

Do I seem terse? Blame the thumb keyboard.

From: Meadows, James L
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2010 06:27 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]; Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Brown, Jess A; DeRosa, Darleen; Dietz, Sidney
Subject: RE: SM Annual Workshop 12-06-10 v3.ppt

Privileged & Confidential

I talked to Alope today and have an update on both meetings from the PUC perspective.

TAP: believes that TURN and probably DRA would rather discuss the alternatives to RF than the Structure response. Believes that we would be served to discuss the issues that need to be concured

to even get to an alternative: prove that it works, get a supply chain up etc, cost implications. The fact that there is nothing at all for gas alternatives. I asked him about the perception of 'growing' customer resistance to RF, and he asked if I could get recent stats on something relevant: our Do Not Install list or something that we can use as a benchmark. Do we have a report of the aging of the do not install list for consideration?

WORKSHOP: wants us to check if it is open to the public or only the service list. Says that our security guys should check with PUC guys Monday morning and coordinate. Stated that it is our meeting, so PUC will not step in if things get unruly. Understands that we may have to call the workshop off if things get out of hand.

I'll look at the 6pm package from yesterday.

Jim

From: Redacted
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2010 2:17 PM
To: Redacted
Cc: Redacted; Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Brown, Jess A; DeRosa, Darleen; Dietz, Sidney; Meadows, James L
Subject: RE: SM Annual Workshop 12-06-10 v3.ppt

Elaine,

I expect to make changes as people provide comments, particularly Jess, who has never seen the draft before. I don't really expect to see all comments until our Monday 8pm meeting, however. **But this is a handy reminder for those checking email -- any and all comments welcome!**

Please note that I will not be checking email after noon tomorrow due to a family commitment.

By the way, Colin and I are in a divide and conquer mode, with Colin focusing on the TAP agenda/deck and me on the workshop. So no need to bother him with comments unless they also affect the TAP agenda. I assume it's too late to change the TAP deck itself since it was already sent to the participants....

karen

From: Redacted
Sent: Saturday, December 04, 2010 2:07 PM
To: Redacted
Cc: Redacted
Subject: RE: SM Annual Workshop 12-06-10 v3.ppt

Karen,

Is this the final version or are there more changes coming?

Redacted

From: Redacted
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2010 6:26 PM
To: Brown, Jess A; Meadows, James L
Cc: Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Redacted Dietz, Sidney; Redacted
Subject: SM Annual Workshop 12-06-10 v3.ppt

<< File: SM Annual Workshop 12-06-10 v3.ppt >>

Most current version,
karen