From: Steven Kelly Sent: 12/8/2010 10:16:06 PM To: Mike Florio (mflorio@turn.org) Middlekauff, Charles (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CRMd); akbar.jazayeri@sce.com (akbar.jazayeri@sce.com) Cc: Redacted Beth Vaughan (beth@beth411.com); Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1); carol.schmidfrazee@sce.com (carol.schmidfrazee@sce.com); Gandesbery, Mary (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MAGq); Mike.Marelli@sce.com (Mike.Marelli@sce.com); Baker, Georgetta (GBaker@semprautilities.com); Salvacion, Lisa-Marie (lms@cpuc.ca.gov); Bloom, Jerry R. (JBloom@winston.com); Gerome.Torribio@sce.com (Gerome.Torribio@sce.com); Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC); Williams, Ray (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=RDW0); Strauss, Todd (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=TxSq); Kuga, Roy M (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=RMK4): Louie. Stephanie (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SYW1):|Redacted Redacted Garcia. Sue E. - E&FP (SGarcia@semprautilities.com); Michael Alcantar (mpa@a-klaw.com); Doug Kerner Redacted

Bcc:

Subject: Re: CMUA comments on the QF settlement PD

I very much agree with Mike Florio's analysis and recommendation to find a way to settle with CMUA. Settling with CMUA will send strong message/signal to commission that we are reasonable and, by colliery, ESPs are not.

I know Scott well and offer my service to that end, if desired.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 8, 2010, at 8:41 PM, "Mike Florio" <mflorio@turn.org> wrote:

> In light of what I at least perceive to be pretty strong comments from CMUA on the MDL issue, is there any interest in continuing to pursue a possible resolution with Scott Blaising separately from the ESPs and CCAs?? I think we can overcome the challenges from those parties, but the munies appear to me to be in the strongest position of any of the protesting parties. Just asking . . . Mike

>