

From: Steven Kelly
Sent: 12/8/2010 10:16:06 PM
To: Mike Florio (mflorio@turn.org)
Middlekauff, Charles (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CRMd);
akbar.iazaveri@sce.com (akbar.iazaveri@sce.com); Redacted
Cc: Redacted; Beth Vaughan
(beth@beth411.com); Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1); carol.schmidfrazee@sce.com
(carol.schmidfrazee@sce.com); Gandesbery, Mary (Law)
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MAGq); Mike.Marelli@sce.com
(Mike.Marelli@sce.com); Baker, Georgetta (GBaker@semprautilities.com);
Salvacion, Lisa-Marie (lms@cpuc.ca.gov); Bloom, Jerry R.
(JBloom@winston.com); Gerome.Torribio@sce.com (Gerome.Torribio@sce.com);
Homer, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);
Williams, Ray (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=RDW0); Strauss, Todd
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=TxSq); Kuga, Roy M
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=RMK4); Louie, Stephanie
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SYW1); Redacted
Redacted; Garcia, Sue E. - E&FP
(SGarcia@semprautilities.com); Michael Alcantar (mpa@a-klaw.com); Doug
Kerner (Redacted)

Bcc:

Subject: Re: CMUA comments on the QF settlement PD

I very much agree with Mike Florio's analysis and recommendation to find a way to settle with CMUA. Settling with CMUA will send strong message/signal to commission that we are reasonable and, by colliery, ESPs are not.

I know Scott well and offer my service to that end, if desired.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 8, 2010, at 8:41 PM, "Mike Florio" <mflorio@turn.org> wrote:

> In light of what I at least perceive to be pretty strong comments from CMUA on the MDL issue, is there any interest in continuing to pursue a possible resolution with Scott Blaising separately from the ESPs and CCAs?? I think we can overcome the challenges from those parties, but the munies appear to me to be in the strongest position of any of the protesting parties. Just asking . . . Mike

>
>