From: Redacted

Sent: 12/7/2010 3:46:15 PM

To: Simon, Sean A. (sean.simon@cpuc.ca.gov); Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)

Cc: Douglas, Paul (paul.douglas@cpuc.ca.gov)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Energy Division Data Request_RPS Portfolio Analysis

CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

Sean:

Attached is the revised request.

As to your questions below, the pink highlighting was to indicate that no project viability score was calculated for these projects because they are either 1) FIT contracts or 2) PV PPA program. Given the PV PPA Program will be selected only on price, there will be no viability score calculated. To make it less confusing, we have changed the pink cells to say "No Score Calculated."

For contracts under negotiation, we used 100% of the expected contract volumes, as we discussed during last week's call. For expiring contracts, we did not assume any volumes, which was also discussed during that call.

One additional thing to be aware of is how we framed the PV UOG for this request. Although no project viability score was calculated for the PV UOG program, for the purpose of this data request, PG&E has assigned a score of 100, given its high viability.

Hope this clears everything up.

Redact

From: Simon, Sean A. [mailto:sean.simon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, December 06, 2010 3:45 PM
To: Redacted Allen, Meredith
Cc: Douglas, Paul
Subject: RE: Energy Division Data Request_RPS Portfolio Analysis

Meredith,

Following up on our discussion regarding the updated data request. These projects (at a minimum) incorrectly report the contract status: Redacted Redacted Also, please identify the legend for highlighted cells, e.g., pink highlight in column x, and what assumptions were made concerning forecasted generation from contracts under negotiation and expiring contracts.

Regards,
Sean

Sean A. Simon | Energy Division - Analyst | CA Public Utilities Commission | Tel (415) 703-3791

http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables

From: Redacted
Sent: Friday, December 03, 2010 1:57 PM
To: Simon, Sean A.
Cc: Allen, Meredith; Douglas, Paul
Subject: RE: Energy Division Data Request_RPS Portfolio Analysis

Confidential Information Per D.06-06-066, D.08-04-023 and General Order 66-C

Sean:

As requested, attached is PG&E's updated response. Please note that for Covanta Oroville, because this is an existing QF and already in our baseline, it is listed in the "Contracts Expiring Before 2020" section. We have added a note stating that the amendment extends the contract date out one year. Please let us know if you have any questions. Have a nice weekend.

Redacted

From: Simon, Sean A. [mailto:sean.simon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2010 5:15 PM
To: Redacted
Cc: Allen, Meredith; Douglas, Paul
Subject: RE: Energy Division Data Request_RPS Portfolio Analysis

PG&E,

Please submit an updated response to this data request using the same excel file on Wednesday, December 1 that does the following:

- Accurately reports all executed contracts (even if the AL hasn't been filed yet) (e.g., are the Agri Waste and Covanta Oroville contacts included here?)
- Accurately reports the contract status for all contracts (several 2010 contracts that have been filed are shown as under negotiation)
- Includes all contracts in active negotiation from the RPS solicitations (e.g., Tier 1 contracts?)
- Includes all bilateral negotiations in active negotiations (e.g., October 8, 2010 PRG presentation)
- Include project viability scores for all projects added per this data request

Contact me directly if you have any questions.

Regards,
Sean

Sean A. Simon | Energy Division - Analyst | CA Public Utilities Commission | Tel (415) 703-3791

http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables

From: Redacted
Sent: Monday, November 22, 2010 3:18 PM
To: Simon, Sean A.
Cc: Allen, Meredith
Subject: RE: Energy Division Data Request_RPS Portfolio Analysis

CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

Sean:

Attached is PG&E's response. Please let us know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Redacte

From: Simon, Sean A.
Sent: Monday, November 08, 2010 5:51 PM
To: 'Allen, Meredith'
Subject: Energy Division Data Request_RPS Portfolio Analysis

PG&E,

Please respond to this two-part data request from Energy Division staff by November 22, 2010. Any questions concerning this data request should be directed to Sean Simon at svn@cpuc.ca.gov or 415-703-3791.

A. PG&E RPS Portfolio Analysis

The spreadsheet is based on the RPS compliance reporting template. Please input the requested information in yellow cells, as PG&E customarily does for its biannual RPS compliance filing. One additional column has been added to the spreadsheet to collect project specific Project Viability Calculator scores. See Procurement Detail tab, Column X "PVC Score."

B. Current Net Market Valuation for Pending Contracts

For all pending contracts, please update the Net Market Value using a single current forecast for the "Levelized Energy Value." Also, update any other information, as necessary, to provide a current and accurate Net Market Value for each pending contract. For any contract with pricing provisions that establish a range of potential prices where the total costs may increase or decrease by 5% or more, identify an "expected" and "maximum" Levellized TOD-Adjusted Price and corresponding Net Market Value. Please provide the information in the following table format.

Contract/Project	Levelized TOD-Adjusted Price	Levelized Energy Value	Levelized Capacity Value	Transmission Adder	Net Market Value
Contract A					
Contract B					

Regards,
Sean

Sean A. Simon | Energy Division - Analyst | CA Public Utilities Commission | Tel (415) 703-3791

http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables