

From: Fitch, Julie A.  
Sent: 1/14/2011 6:07:12 PM  
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);  
Clanon, Paul (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE:

I don't have an exact timetable yet. It's a priority; we are meeting as a group with Legal/Energy mgmt on Tuesday to discuss. I may be able to give you a better expectation then. A reporter asked us this yesterday, and we said only that it was suspended for 120 days but we expected to issue a resolution much sooner than that. How much sooner, I can't say for sure yet. One thing I do know that might be worth thinking about at PG&E: would you folks consider bifurcating and filing separately requests for the 1) Pipeline 2020 program as distinct from 2) any additional operating or inspection costs associated with whatever we and the NTSB order as a result of the San Bruno investigation? Better yet, how about covering #2 within existing operating revenues as a gesture of good faith? For your consideration.

Julie

**From:** Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Fri 1/14/2011 5:56 PM  
**To:** Clanon, Paul; Fitch, Julie A.  
**Subject:** Fw:

Oops. Julie, I defer to you.

**From:** Clanon, Paul [mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 14, 2011 05:42 PM  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Subject:** RE:

Julie question.

**From:** Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 14, 2011 5:40 PM  
**To:** Clanon, Paul  
**Subject:**

I understand Eugene Cadenasso is working on a Resolution regarding the request for a memo account for Pipeline 2020 expenses. Can we expect to see something in the near future ?