

From: Clanon, Paul  
Sent: 1/4/2011 8:31:28 AM  
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)  
Cc: Lindh, Frank (frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Bcc:  
Subject: Re: San Bruno Independent Review Panel -- Jan 12/13 with PG&E

Oh sorry, typo in my note below. Should read "they want PG&E to decide to sacrifice Brian Cherry".

**From:** Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 04, 2011 8:27 AM  
**To:** Clanon,  
Paul  
**Cc:** Lindh, Frank  
**Subject:** RE: San Bruno Independent  
Review Panel -- Jan 12/13 with PG&E

Thanks.

**From:** Clanon, Paul  
[mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 04, 2011 8:26  
AM  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Cc:** Lindh, Frank  
**Subject:**  
RE: San Bruno Independent Review Panel -- Jan 12/13 with  
PG&E

It's the Panel's language, but they specifically told us they want PG&E to decide who to send. Let the topics guide you, not the titles.

**From:** Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, January 04, 2011 8:24 AM  
**To:** Clanon,  
Paul  
**Cc:** Lindh, Frank  
**Subject:** RE: San Bruno Independent  
Review Panel -- Jan 12/13 with PG&E

Just a check. One page lists discussions with COO of  
PG&E ? What does that mean ? The Chief Operating Officer  
Jack Keenan ? Or do you want Chris Johns there ? We can go into more  
detail later but I need to get a note out without panicking internal parties.

**From:** Clanon, Paul  
[mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 04, 2011 8:19  
AM  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Cc:** Lindh, Frank  
**Subject:**  
RE: San Bruno Independent Review Panel -- Jan 12/13 with  
PG&E

Brian, I'm attaching two documents from the Independent Review  
Panel, with topics they'd like PG&E to be prepared to discuss with them on  
the 12th and 13th. They'd like PG&E to decide who the right people are  
to address these topics; I'd appreciate your including Frank and me in  
your decision on that, in case we have suggestions.  
Thanks.

**From:** Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, December 31, 2010 9:15 AM  
**To:** Clanon,  
Paul  
**Cc:** Lindh, Frank  
**Subject:** Re: San Bruno Independent  
Review Panel -- Jan 12/13 with PG&E

Thanks  
Paul. We will be prepared to discuss within their time constraints. As you hear  
more specifics, let us know so that we can be prepared to answer their  
questions.

**From:** Clanon,  
Paul [mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, December 31, 2010  
07:35 AM  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Cc:** Frank Lindh  
<frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov>  
**Subject:** San Bruno Independent Review  
Panel -- Jan 12/13 with PG&E

Brian: See below for info on PG&E presentations to the Panel. The format is private and informal. I'll have some guidance for you early next week on the specific topic areas the Panel would like PG&E to cover in these first sessions, and we can settle on the appropriate people to present. Thx.

Dear Paul,

As you know, the Panel wants to meet with PG&E representatives January 12, 9am-1pm, and January 13, 11am-4pm. We would like the PG&E representatives to discuss; "The Policies and Practices for Monitoring Pipeline Integrity That Were in Force at the Time of the San Bruno Incident." PLEASE INFORM PG&E OF THESE MEETING DATES AND TIMES.

[snip]

Finally, the second day of the Jan 12&13 meetings is intended to make sure that PG&E has sufficient time to present all their material. The Panel will need an hour at the end of the second day to discuss our next steps. If PG&E finishes earlier than 3pm, we will move our schedule appropriately. PLEASE SO INFORM PG&E.