

From: Allen, Meredith
Sent: 1/4/2011 10:07:44 AM
To: 'Simon, Sean A.' (sean.simon@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: PG&E's RPS position and strategy

Thanks. These are helpful. I will send to the team.

From: Simon, Sean A. [mailto:sean.simon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 04, 2011 10:06 AM
To: Allen, Meredith
Subject: PG&E's RPS position and strategy

Meredith,

Following up on our conversation about PG&E's RPS position and strategy. I think people here will be interested in the following information:

- RPS Position

- o current and historic (report past deficits and surplus; compliance position with flexible compliance)

- Portfolio Analysis

- o what are the portfolio characteristics: cost, risk (e.g., project a & b may fail) and time (e.g., project a & b may be delayed x-number of years)

- o please include some detailed information about cost

- RPS Need

- o approximate net short and in what years

- Strategy for Meeting RPS Need

- o specific resources (e.g., baseload vs. intermittent peaking)

- o specific contract types (e.g., short-term vs. long-term)
- o specific programs (e.g., RPS vs. RAM)

Regards,

Sean

Sean A. Simon | Energy Division - Analyst | CA Public Utilities Commission | Tel (415) 703-3791

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables>

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and it may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone call at the number listed above.