

From: Anderson, Vanessa M
 Sent: 1/12/2011 1:35:55 PM
 To: Baker, Simon (simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov); Clinton, Jeanne (jeanne.clinton@cpuc.ca.gov); Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); ABesa@SempraUtilities.com (ABesa@SempraUtilities.com); JYamagata@SempraUtilities.com (JYamagata@SempraUtilities.com); Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G. (zenaida.tapawan-conway@cpuc.ca.gov); Hitson, Brian J (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=BJH9); Michael.Hoover@sce.com (Michael.Hoover@sce.com); pete.skala@cpuc.ca.gov (pete.skala@cpuc.ca.gov); Gomez, Laurie (LGomez@semprautilities.com); don.arambula@sce.com (don.arambula@sce.com); 'Michelle.Thomas@sce.com' ('Michelle.Thomas@sce.com')
 Cc:
 Bcc:
 Subject: Resend: ED/IOU Coordination Call - Notes

Hi All: I am resending this to include two attachments that were discussed yesterday for easy reference

ED / IOU COORDINATION CALL

January 11, 2011

Name	On Call	Name	On Call
Simon Baker (ED/EE Planning)	√	Athena Besa (Sempra)	√
Jeanne Clinton (ED/EE Planning)	√	Joy Yamagata (Sempra)	
Pete Skala (ED/ EE EM&V)	√	Laurie Gomez (Sempra)	
Zenaida Tapawan-Conway (ED/ EE EM&V)	√	Michael Hoover (SCE)	
Shilpa Ramaiya (PG&E)	√	Don Arambula (SCE)	√
Vanessa Anderson (PG&E)	√	Michelle Thomas (SCE)	
Brian Hitson (PG&E)	√		

-

-

Agenda and Discussion

1. Follow up on data request issues (10 day response period expectation)

- No specific requirements in CPUC code, but ALJ-released document shows customary time
- CPUC ALJ-document provided by Brian H. specifies the following:

The customary response time for data requests is 10 business days. The propounding party may indicate if a shorter response period is required or a longer response period is acceptable to it. A responding party may indicate if a longer response period is required, but should do so promptly and should indicate the date upon which the data response will be provided. Parties are encouraged to work together to identify a reasonable response time.

2. Update on workshop process for extension of 2010-2013 program cycle

- Workshop scheduled tentatively for February 3 to discuss extension for 1 or 2 years.
- ED staff preparing option analysis that will focus on schedule options and the potential for a mid-cycle program review
- Options Analysis from ED staff will go out 1 week in advance of the workshop so that IOUs and other parties can review and come prepared to discuss
- Anticipate that the workshop will include an overview by ED staff followed by a panel type discussion with diverse range of views represented

3. Work paper review process (PFM procedural update?)

- Working on resolving issues per ALJ direction for DEER and custom projects ongoing
- IOUs meeting with Energy Division on January 13, 2011
- ED will provide status report to ALJ on January 18, 2011
- ALJ not expected to release ruling on issue until after the status report date

4. Codes and Standards (Federal) *(Ayat says feedback expected in a few weeks)*

- Discussed the need to keep this group in the loop on any procedural steps that may be taken by the IOUs.

5. EM&V Budget and Roles

- 1/19/11 – ED/IOU meeting to discuss tabled issues
- No plans to have official adoption; it is a living document
- Will continue to work collaboratively

- Process to be added to resolve IOU and Energy Division disagreements

6. Coordination of ED/IOU Meeting and the monthly ED&V meetings - Discuss the best way to coordinate the ED-IOU EE management meetings with monthly (4-hour) meetings that EM&V team has scheduled to coordinate with IOUs on EM&V matters - Simon / Zeny

- Want to minimize any duplication of topics/efforts
- Agreed that EM&V (Zeny and Pete) should still participate in these (ED/IOU) meetings to ensure that everyone is on the same page relative to EM&V and planning

7. Statewide Reporting Templates

- Copy of template was not provided but Don described some of features
- Identified that IOUs had not received the updated SW Meeting Guidelines document from ED – Simon will resend
- IOUs will provide comments on Guidelines document in 10 days from receiving Simon's document and will ensure template reflects what is agreed to on the guidance document

8. EE Policy Manual Update - *The CPUC made a number of statements about how the policy manual would need to be updated regarding fund-shifting rules, rolling budgets, shifts into advanced lighting, etc. Had discussion with Anne about fund-shifting and have/are speaking with George about budget reporting templates.*

- Last updated March 2008
- Had been discussing with Anne Premo before she left and reporting currently being discussed with George Tagnipes
- Per Simon, no staff at this time to work on it but it is on the list to be addressed in the near future

9. PIP Process Update and Schedule

- Vanessa walked through the PIP update plan and schedule
- IOUs will post the updated PIPs in EEGA and email service with link to revised PIPs
- One notification will be sent to the Service list once all of the Statewide PIPs are completed and another notification once all local/3Party are completed.

10. Semi Annual IOU Meetings

- Julie Fitch would like to start having these meetings with each IOU starting late March
 - First meeting will be with PG&E
- Purpose is to discuss EE savings, IOU specific directives, other IOU specific implementation issues but focus will be on issues other than statewide
- IOU attendees would be at the program level, not VP level (lead person overseeing program implementation and a regulatory person (2 people at the meetings – probably 3 for PG&E))

11. ED Staffing Update

- Jennifer Finnegan is out on maternity leave
 - Kay Hardy is covering Industrial and Ag
 - Becky Minton is covering Financing as well as Multi-Family
 - Will not be able to begin filling Anne Premo vacancy for about 4 months
 - Will be recruiting for two additional staff: Lighting and Retrofit programs

Vanessa Anderson | Pacific Gas and Electric Company | IDSM Regulatory Reporting | (415) 973-7749 | vma1@pge.com