

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 1/24/2011 11:21:44 AM
To: Baker, Simon (simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov); cfl@cpuc.ca.gov (cfl@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd)
Bcc:
Subject: PG&E's CA Multi-Family New Homes Program

Simon and Cathy:

On July 7, 2010, PG&E responded to the Energy Division's data request, ED_010-03 (EEGA 1327), to provide a summary of the similarities and differences between the multifamily program offerings in PG&E's third party multifamily program and in the statewide California Advanced Homes Program (CAHP). Please see a copy of the data request response attached. This data request noted that PG&E does not offer performance bonuses as part of our third party multifamily program.

On December 16, 2010, the Commission issued Decision 10-12-054, approving the IOU's petition to modify Decision 09-09-047. PG&E notes that Ordering Paragraph 6 states:

"6. Ordering Paragraph 24(b) of Decision 09-09-047 is modified to read: "For the CAHP program Southern California Edison Company, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Gas Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric Company shall offer a \$1,000 performance bonus per single family unit and a \$200 bonus or a territory-specific incentive (e.g., marketing dollars, customized engineering reports, etc.) per for each multi-family unit that is built at or above Title 24 by 30% and participates in the NSHP at the Tier 2 level."

We would like to confirm that the directive for a \$200 bonus for multifamily units as ordered in OP6 does not apply to PG&E since our third party multifamily program does not offer performance bonuses.

Thanks in advance for the clarification.

-Vanessa

[Redacted] | Pacific Gas and Electric Company | IDSM Regulatory Reporting | [Redacted]